Introduction

Measuring airborne particulate concentrations is very important to land managers as managed forest and rangeland burning increases. Airborne particulates, especially particles smaller than 2.5 μm in diameter (PM$_{2.5}$), pose potential health, visibility, safety, and nuisance problems. Managing smoke to protect human health and public welfare is an essential part of each prescribed burn plan. The proper use of ambient air monitoring can help ensure that wildland burning complies with State and Federal air-quality laws and regulations while satisfying land management objectives.

Two common types of instruments that measure particle concentration use gravimetric or optical techniques. Gravimetric instruments collect particulates on ventilated filters. The filters are processed at special laboratory facilities to determine the mass concentration of particulate. Optical instruments can use light-scattering or light-absorbing principles to estimate the mass concentrations of airborne particulates. Optical instruments offer several advantages, including real-time, mass-concentration estimates, portability, low power consumption, and relatively low cost. This study focused on evaluating optical instruments alongside a Federal Reference Method (FRM) gravimetric device.

Several commercial vendors manufacture real-time particulate monitoring instruments for estimating airborne mass concentrations. MTDC has evaluated several monitors to identify the strengths and weaknesses of each instrument for settings where air quality is primarily influenced by smoke from biomass burning. The key items evaluated were:

- Accuracy in measuring or estimating smoke concentrations.
- Comparison of results from identical instruments (instrument comparison).
- Reliability.
- Operational characteristics such as portability, power requirements, data collection, and cost. The data were collected in the laboratory and in field settings.

This evaluation will provide forest and fire managers, and air-quality specialists, with information on a variety of commercially available real-time particulate monitors for settings where air quality is primarily influenced by smoke particulates. This information is expected to be useful in smoke management. This evaluation does not recommend one instrument over another, nor does it verify the optical characteristics of any instruments.
Background

A comprehensive smoke management plan is essential for the successful use of fire as a wildland management tool. Monitoring ambient air to ensure that smoke does not threaten people or protected areas is an essential element of such a plan. The demands on a particle measurement device in a wildland fire situation are severe.

Ideally, the instrument should be portable (not require line power), be rugged, (it will be transported in remote areas), be easy to set up and operate by one person, retrieve data in useful forms, and have known accuracy even at low particulate concentrations where smoke would be just a nuisance.

The estimated mass concentrations from real-time instruments can be used for a variety of purposes. Real-time smoke concentration data can be used by the Forest Service to help manage a fire and its production of smoke. The data may also be used to anticipate public notification needs as well as to verify the smoke concentration that actually occurs for regulatory purposes. In the future, real-time smoke data may be used with smoke models to produce more accurate predictions of smoke concentrations.

Gravimetric or filter-based monitoring techniques have been used for years to quantify mass concentration levels of airborne particulate matter. Filter-based sampling is labor intensive. Filters must be conditioned, weighed before sampling, installed and removed from the instrument, and reconditioned and weighed again at special facilities. Results from the weighing may not be available for days or weeks, depending on the workload at the laboratory. Also, airflow rates and elapsed sampling time must be carefully monitored and recorded to ensure accurate results. Filter-based techniques integrate samples over a long period of time, usually 24 h (depending on the exposure), to obtain the required minimum mass for analysis. Filter-based techniques can have inaccuracies in both mass and composition due to the loss of volatile and semivolatile components. The components can be lost during collection or after collection but before the filter has been analyzed.

Optical, real-time, continuous particulate monitoring instruments do not have many of the problems associated with the gravimetric technique. Optical instruments do not require that filters be weighed. They provide concentration estimates that can be released immediately to land managers and the public. Many of these instruments are portable. They can be sited in the areas of greatest interest, usually downwind of burns.
The evaluation criteria for the study are designed to look at the overall use of the optical instrument, its accuracy, comparisons among instruments, and cost. In future field applications, the instruments will probably be used by field technicians at various locations throughout the burning season. Portability, ease of set up, ease of data collection, and ease of data manipulation are extremely important. Other considerations a smoke manager should consider when deciding which instrument is most appropriate for each application include: power consumption, calibration requirements, reliability, and similar factors. The list of criteria developed for the evaluation includes:

**Suitability**—The instrument should be able to estimate particulate concentrations generated from smoke at the nuisance level, continuously and in real time. The instrument should be portable, operate on low power, (preferably batteries), be housed in a weatherproof enclosure, and be affordable for a National Forest.

**Ease of Use**—The instrument should be easy to operate and set up. Data collection and manipulation should be straightforward. Calibration should be simple.

**Reliability**—The instrument should be reliable and have strong manufacturer support.

**Accuracy and Instrument Comparison**—Accuracy is based on each instrument's real-time mass concentration estimates compared to a gravimetric standard. Estimates from identical instruments were compared to each other. The instruments should be reasonably stable so that accuracy or precision does not vary markedly for a given instrument.
Instruments

Two basic types of instruments were used in the evaluation: optical real-time continuous particulate monitors and gravimetric instruments. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the specifications and accessories for the real-time instruments.

Optical Real-Time, Continuous Particulate Monitors

The optical real-time, continuous particulate monitors used in the evaluation may be classified into two types: predominantly light-scattering instruments and predominantly light-absorbing instruments. Light-scattering instruments, called nephelometers, measure the amount of light scattered over a known path length and then use a mathematical relationship to estimate the aerosol mass concentration. The particle light scattering ($b_{sp}$) value is determined by illuminating particles, individually or as a group, and measuring the scattered intensity at different orientations from an incident light source. The orientation of the light source to the particles and receiver will determine whether the instrument is primarily back scattering, forward scattering, or total integrating.

Light-absorbing instruments, called aethalometers, quantify the light-absorbing aerosol (black carbon, for example) by depositing the aerosol on a quartz-fiber filter and measuring the light transmission or reflectivity. Aethalometers also compute measured light attenuation due to black carbon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet heater (standard)</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ cutoff</th>
<th>Environmental enclosure ($^1$standard)</th>
<th>Relative humidity ($^2$standard)</th>
<th>Ambient temperature</th>
<th>Meteorological equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Met One GT-640</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$^1$Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$^3$X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIE DataRam</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiance Research</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic NGN-3</td>
<td>$^4$X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen aethalometer</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$^6$Standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Self-contained fiberglass enclosure.
2 Internal relative humidity sensor standard, ambient relative humidity sensor optional.
3 Continuous heater or proportional, selectable heater.
4 Wind sensor, ambient temperature, ambient relative humidity.
5 Internal temperature standard, external temperature optional.
6 Continuous heater or proportional, selectable heater.
Instruments

The DataRam (figure 2) is a compact, self-contained instrument that internally estimates mass concentration from the measured scattering of light. The instrument can measure particulate concentrations from 0.1 to 400,000 µg/m³, according to the vendor. The instrument continuously displays the current and time-weighted average mass concentration while logging up to 10,000 data points. Data can be downloaded from the instrument through an RS-232 port. The DataRam can be configured with either a PM₂.₅ or PM₁₀ impactor head to prevent particles larger than 2.5 or 10 µm, respectively, from entering the optical chamber. For custom calibrations, or to analyze the chemical composition of particulates, the particulates can be collected on a 37-mm filter located in the instrument’s base. An inline heater may also be installed for monitoring in humid conditions (the manufacturer suggests using the heater when the relative humidity is higher than 70 percent). The instrument’s tubular heater is designed to heat the sampled air stream to evaporate liquid water from airborne particles or to eliminate fog droplets. The DataRam is powered by an internal rechargeable battery or by an external dc or ac power source. The DataRam has a built-in, internal calibration device.
The Radiance Research Portable Nephelometer, Model M903 (figure 3) is a lightweight, low-power instrument designed for portable operation as well as general environmental monitoring. The M903 measures and displays $B_{\text{scat}}$ (backscattering). It does not display a computed estimate of mass concentration as do the other nephelometers in the evaluation. Mass concentrations can be estimated from the $B_{\text{scat}}$ readings. The instrument has a particulate measurement range of approximately 1 to 1,000 $\mu g/m^3$ when mass concentration is estimated from $B_{\text{scat}}$. The instrument has an internal data logger that will store scattering coefficient averages and the operating parameters that are used to estimate the $B_{\text{scat}}$. The stored data can be retrieved using a personal computer through an RS-232 port. Different averaging times and log intervals may be set. The instrument can store approximately 2 weeks of 5-minute averages. A source of a gas, such as Freon, is required for calibration. The unit can be powered by an ac or dc power source.

The Optec NGN-3 PM$_{2.5}$ size-cut nephelometer (figure 4) is a self-contained instrument developed to estimate PM$_{2.5}$ aerosol scattering and mass concentrations. The NGN-3 is based on the Optec NGN-2 ambient nephelometer that is used by the IMPROVE program for visibility studies and in other applications. The NGN-3 integrates the optical design of the NGN-2 ambient nephelometer with both a PM$_{2.5}$ size-cut separator and an inline sample heater to measure the dry scattering fraction of extinction by fine-mass aerosols. Once measured, the $B_{\text{scat}}$ is converted to mass concentration using a region-specific, user-selected empirical conversion factor. The NGN-3 continuously outputs both $B_{\text{scat}}$ and a fine-mass concentration estimate with a minimum integration time of 2 minutes. The NGN-3 has no internal data storage capabilities, outputting data in serial or analog form. Portable remote monitoring data loggers such as the Campbell

**Figure 3**—The Radiance Research nephelometer, Model M903, with an attached inlet heater.

**Figure 4**—The Optec NGN-3 PM$_{2.5}$ size-cut nephelometer.
Instruments

Scientific CR-23X or a laptop computer are needed for data storage.

The NGN-3 draws ambient air through a sample inlet line. An SKC spiral inlet mounted at the sample air inlet removes coarse particulates from the sample stream. The sample air is heated (to lower the relative humidity) as it enters the nephelometer. The temperature of the heated air is output continuously. To ensure monitoring accuracy, the instrument performs automatic zero calibrations at user-defined intervals. Manual zero and span calibration checks may be performed at any time. An external span gas such as Freon is required for calibration. The NGN-3 can be powered by either an ac or dc power source.

Gravimetric-Based Instruments

Gravimetric-based instruments were used in the evaluation to compare their results to those of the real-time samplers. Gravimetric- or filter-based instruments work by drawing air at a controlled rate through a filter that collects the fine particulate matter. The filter is carefully weighed at a special facility before and after sampling the air. This method provides very accurate results of the quantity of particulate that was collected during the test period. The particulate mass value is divided by the total volume of air drawn through the filter, yielding the average mass concentration for the test period, typically in $\mu g/m^3$. The gravimetric instruments can be configured with impactor or cyclone size-selector devices to remove large particles. Three different gravimetric instruments were used in the evaluation; two Federal Reference Method PM$_{2.5}$ air samplers and a PM$_{2.5}$ sampler developed by the Rocky Mountain Research Station’s Fire Sciences Laboratory (Fire Sciences Laboratory) in Missoula, MT.

Light-Absorbing Instruments

The Andersen RTAA 800 aethalometer (figure 5) collects “elemental” or “black” carbon (BC) on a quartz fiber tape, measuring the optical absorption continuously while the spot of aerosol accumulates. The optical absorption estimations are converted to estimate the mass concentration of BC in $\mu g/m^3$. A display on the front of the instrument shows the calculated BC concentration. A keypad allows the user to interact with the embedded computer. Data is automatically stored on an internal floppy disk. The instrument also has an RS-232 port and an analog output. The instrument can be configured with a particulate-size cutoff device for selective measurements. The instrument runs on 110 V ac.

Figure 5—The Andersen Instruments RTAA 800 aethalometer.
Federal Reference Method (FRM) PM$_{2.5}$ Air Samplers

Two different manufacturers of FRM PM$_{2.5}$ samplers were used in the evaluation: the BGI, Inc., PQ200 (figure 6) and the Partisol FRM Model 2000 manufactured by Rupprecht and Patanchick (R&P). They both have similar design, performance characteristics, and operational requirements. Both are microprocessor-controlled, volumetric flow rate air-sampling instruments that obtain a valid PM$_{2.5}$ air sample. The particulates are collected on 47-mm Teflon membrane at a volumetric sample rate of 16.67 L/min after being size discriminated through two inertial separators designed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Ambient temperature and barometric pressure measurements are made at actual sample conditions. A microprocessor and volumetric flow control system are integrated to maintain sampling parameters while sampling data are continuously logged into the processor memory. Memory stores 5-minute actual ambient temperature and pressure conditions along with volumetric sample flow rate, filter temperature, and pressure. The operator recovers measured values and flags indicating anomalies by downloading the summary to a laptop computer. The instruments may be powered by an internal battery, by external batteries, or by solar power.

Filters collected by the BGI PQ 200 were weighed at the Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services Environmental Laboratory in Helena, MT. Filters collected by the R&P Partisol Model 2000 sampler were weighed at IML Air Science in Sheridan, WY.

Fire Sciences Laboratory PM$_{2.5}$ Air Sampler

This gravimetric instrument was developed by the Fire Sciences Laboratory for conducting airborne smoke studies. The instrument uses a computer-controlled volumetric airflow controller to draw air over a 37-mm Teflon filter at a rate of 28.8 L/min. The air is first drawn through a size-selected cyclone device to remove particulate larger than 2.5 µm. The filters were weighed in a special environmentally controlled facility located at the Fire Sciences Laboratory.