



United States
Department
of Agriculture

Forest Service

Rocky Mountain
Research Station

Research Note
RMRS-RN-22-5-WWWW

December 2004

Fuels Planning: Science Synthesis and Integration

Forest Structure and Fire Hazard Fact Sheet: 5 Fuel Treatment Principles for Complex Landscapes



Pacific Northwest
Research Station



North Central
Research Station

*Synthesizing
Scientific Information
for Fire and Fuels
Project Managers*

The information for this fact sheet was provided by David Peterson, PNW Research Station, and Sarah McCaffrey, North Central Research Station, USDA.

Forest Structure and Fire Hazard
Team Leader

David L. Peterson

USDA Forest Service
PNW Research Station

400 N. 34th Street, Suite 201
Seattle, WA 98103

Fuels planning: Science synthesis and integration, an interagency research/management partnership to support the Ten-Year Fire Plan, led by Russell T. Graham, RMRS, and Sarah M. McCaffrey, NCRS.

Natural Systems, Fuels, and Fire Are Inherently Complex

Forest and shrubland ecosystems have complex patterns and biophysical processes, and the effectiveness of site-specific modification of fire hazard is directly proportional to the quantity and quality of local data on vegetation structure and fuels. Realistic expectations for fuel treatment plans should be based on scientific principles and data, but they must also consider the inherent complexity of natural systems. The growing empirical database on how forest structure and fuels affect large wildfires will inform adaptive fuel management and provide new quantitative insights in the years ahead.



Any particular forest landscape may have a wide range of vegetation structures, fuels, and topography. This complexity must be addressed in fire management and fuel treatments at large spatial scales.

Fire behavior modeling is reasonably accurate for surface fires, and data are available on how prescribed fires and mechanical thinning affect forest structure, fuels, and other ecological conditions at small spatial scales. Modeling is less accurate for crown fires and large landscapes, which make the outcomes of decisionmaking at large scales less certain. In addition, steep slopes and extreme fire weather always pose a challenge for fire management, and typically require higher removal of fuels to reduce fire hazard.

One challenge is “scaling up” our knowledge about small-scale phenomena to large, complex landscapes. Quantitative techniques for accomplishing this exist, but can be difficult to apply. We need the expertise of local resource managers to complement the quantitative output from modeling in order to make good judgments about potential fire hazard and fire behavior for a particular location. An interdisciplinary team of managers and researchers is an effective approach for integrating logistical, scientific, and regulatory issues relative to fuel treatments.

A Focus on Basic Principles

Appropriate types of thinning and surface fuel treatments are clearly useful in reducing surface and crown

fire hazards under a wide range of fuels and topographic situations. Well-established scientific principles (table 1) and simulation tools can be used to adjust fuel treatments to attain specific risk levels. For example, fire managers can reduce risk of future crown fires by planning for fuel and forest structures that achieve a specific fire hazard or predicted fire behavior outcome for extreme fire weather conditions (for example, the 97th percentile worst conditions). This provides greater resource protection and lower risk than basing fuel planning on moderate fire weather (for example, the 50th percentile worst conditions).

In the face of this complexity, focusing on basic scientific principles can facilitate decisionmaking and guide future data collection.

Table 1—Fuel treatment principles^a.

Principle	Effect	Advantage	Concerns
Reduce surface fuels	Reduces potential flame length	Improves control, reduces torching	Need to keep surface disturbance relatively low
Increase canopy base height	Requires longer flame length to start torching	Reduces torching	Opens understory, surface wind may increase
Decrease crown density	Makes tree-to-tree crown fire less likely	Reduces potential for crown fire	Surface wind may increase, surface fuels may be drier
Retain larger trees	Increases proportion of trees with thicker bark, taller crowns	Increases tree survival	Removing smaller trees is economically less profitable

^aAdapted from Agee, J. K. 2002. Fire behavior and fire-resilient forests. In: Fitzgerald, S. A., ed. Fire in Oregon’s forests: risks, effects, and treatment options. Portland, OR: Oregon Forest Resources Institute: 119–126.

Note: The relative emphasis on these four principles may vary from stand to stand and from watershed to watershed. However, they should all be considered in planning effective fuel treatments across complex landscapes.

Forest Structure and Fire Hazard Fact Sheets

Look for other fact sheet topics from the Forest Structure and Fire Hazard Team with information about fire hazard, visualization, silviculture, uncertainty, and larger scale treatments.

Fuels Planning: Synthesis and Integration

This fact sheet is one in a series being produced as part of a larger project supported by the USDA Forest Service to synthesize new knowledge and information relevant to fire and fuels management. Fact sheets address topics related to stand structure, environmental impacts, economics, and human responses to these factors. Information in the fact sheets is targeted for the dry forests of the Inland West, but is often applicable across broad regions of the country. For more information, please visit our Web site at: www.fs.fed.us/fire/tech_transfer/synthesis/synthesis_index

The Fuels Planning fact sheets are based on preliminary findings. Information from fact sheets will be synthesized in an upcoming publication.