

CFLR Project(Name/Number): Northeast Washington Forest Vision 2020/HPRP001_____

National Forest(s): Colville_____

Responses to the prompts on this annual report should be typed directly into this template, including narratives and tables:

1. Match and Leverage funds:

a. FY12 Matching Funds Documentation

Fund Source	Total Funds Expended in Fiscal Year 2012(\$)
CFLR Funds Expended ¹	0
Carryover funds expended ² (please include a new row for each BLI)	CMRD \$76,000 NFTM \$308,000 NFVW \$424,000 allotted. \$390,589 spent.* NFWF \$60,000 allotted. \$55,845 spent.** WFHF \$100,000
FS Matching Funds (please include a new row for each BLI) ³	BDBD \$40,642 CMLG \$50,321 CMRD \$151,066 CMTL \$100,566 CWK2 \$52,410 CWKV \$31,966 NFTM \$94,033 NFVW \$0 NFWF \$0 SSSS \$24,391 S2I6F2 \$31,335 S2I6F3 \$6,950 WFHF \$9,442
Funds contributed through agreements ⁴	Washington Trails Assoc. \$3,250 Northwest Youth Corps \$15,154 Pacific NW Trails Assoc \$42,874
Partner In-Kind Contributions ⁵	Kettle Range Conservation Group \$910
Service work accomplishment through goods-for services funding within a stewardship contract ⁶	0

¹ This amount should match the amount of CFLR/CFLN dollars obligated in the PAS report titled CFLR Job Code Listing and Expenditure Report – Detailed Analysis by Fiscal Year.

² This value should reflect the amount of carryover funds allocated to a project as indicated in the program direction, but does not necessarily need to be in the same BLIs as indicated in the program direction. These funds should total the matching funds obligated in the PAS report titled Listing and Expenditure Report – Detailed Analysis by Fiscal Year minus the below matching funds.

³ This amount should match the amount of matching funds obligated in the PAS report titled CFLR Job Code Listing and Expenditure Report – Detailed Analysis by Fiscal Year minus the above carryover/HPRP funds.

⁴ Please document any partner contributions to implementation and monitoring of the CFLR project through an agreement (this should only include funds that weren't already captured through the PAS job code structure for CFLR matching funds). Please list the partner organizations involved in the agreement.

⁵ Total partner in-kind contributions for implementation and monitoring of a CFLR project. Please list the partner organizations that provided in-kind contributions. See "Annual Report instructions" for instructions on how to document in-kind contributions.

⁶ This should be the amount in the "stewardship credits charged" column at the end of the fiscal year in the TSA report TSA90R-01.

*Some end-of-year contracts came in under bid. Plan to expend funds to complete this allotment when FY13 CFLR/Carryover funds are distributed. Most likely to be used on culvert replacement

**Difference will be added to monitoring FY13.

Please provide a narrative or table describing leveraged funds in your landscape in FY2012 (one page maximum)

Approved by _____/s/ LAURA JO WEST _____ 12/11/2012 _____
 Forest Supervisor Date

2. Discuss how the CLFR project contributes to accomplishment of the performance measures in the *10 year Comprehensive Strategy Implementation Plan*⁷, dated December 2006. Please comment on the cumulative contributions over the life of the project if appropriate. This may also include a description of the fire year (fire activity that occurred in the project area) as a backdrop to your response (please limit answer to one page).

The updated 10-Year Comprehensive Strategy Implementation Plan of December 2006 emphasizes:

- Information sharing and monitoring of accomplishments and forest conditions to improve transparency,
- A long-term commitment to maintaining the essential resources for implementation,
- Landscape-level vision for restoration of fire adapted ecosystems,
- The importance of using fire as a management tool, and
- Continuing to improve collaboration

The NEW Forest Vision 2020 proposal was developed in 2010 with the involvement of a 13-member steering team comprised of national forest leadership and members of the timber, conservation, and tribal community, and with the explicit objective of maintaining the same transparency and collaboration throughout the life of the project. This spring, the Northeastern Washington Forestry Coalition (NEWFC) was involved in the initial meetings and discussions involving FY 2012 project prioritization and, since this year was the first year of funding for this project, they were able to learn about CFLRP guidelines and processes along with the Colville National Forest staff.

One priority this year has been to develop a working monitoring plan that will be implemented during the 2013 Fiscal year. A project monitoring committee is being assembled and so far is comprised of representatives from the Colville National Forest (CNF), NEWFC, and the Confederated Colville Tribe (CCT). The monitoring committee is incorporating that landscape and project scale vision for the restoration of fire-adapted ecosystems into the monitoring plan, and has brought some key researchers and specialists to the table to help throughout the entire process. With the feedback of the monitoring committee, a draft monitoring plan proposal is being developed over the winter and a final plan will be ready in the spring for implementation.

This first year was a learning process for the Colville National Forest. Throughout the process, the constructive feedback from NEWFC members and CNF employees has enabled us to adapt, and thus better the collaborative process for everyone involved. In future years, the CNF hopes to gain further involvement from the timber, conservation, tribal, and academic community.

⁷ The 10-year Comprehensive Strategy was developed in response to the Conference Report for the Fiscal Year 2001, Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act (Public Law 106-291).

3. What assumptions were used in generating the numbers and/or percentages you plugged into the TREAT tool?

FY 2012 Jobs Created/Maintained (FY12 CFLR/CFLN/HPRP/Carryover funding only):

Type of projects	Direct part and full-time jobs	Total part and full-time jobs	Direct Labor Income	Total Labor Income ⁸
Commercial Forest Product Activities	45.4	97.9	3,164,305	5,044,235
Other Project Activities	10.6	12.8	350,578	407,950
TOTALS:	55.9	110.7	3,514,883	5,452,185

FY 2012 Jobs Created/Maintained (FY12 CFLR/CFLN/HPRP/Carryover and matching funding):

Type of projects	Direct part and full-time jobs	Total part and full-time jobs	Direct Labor Income	Total Labor Income ⁹
Commercial Forest Product Activities	88.8	191.6	6,071,702	9,766,401
Other Project Activities	13.3	16.4	432,711	513,895
TOTALS:	102.1	208	6,504,412	10,280,297

4. Describe other community benefits achieved and the methods used to gather information about these benefits (Please limit answer to two pages).

This fall/winter, the NEW Forest Vision 2020 monitoring committee will be defining monitoring needs within the project area and developing a monitoring plan to be implemented during the spring/summer of FY 2013. The monitoring committee is committed to developing the most appropriate and current protocols for implementation during the FY 2013, and there has been discussion around monitoring with respect to the socioeconomic aspect. Next year, following the completion and approval of the final monitoring plan, employment opportunities for University Graduate students and other non-federal groups could be provided through NEW Forest Vision 2020 dollars that have been put aside for Monitoring within the project area. Outside of discussion, there have been some tangible community benefits observed as a result of project implementation utilizing FY 2011 CFLRP funding.

NEW Forest Vision 2020 dollars were obligated to contractors through existing contracts and the issuance of task orders, which helped to create employment opportunities. NEW Forest Vision 2020 funding has also provided the opportunity to expand the work tours of seasonal Forest Service Crews where necessary.

5. Describe the multiparty monitoring, evaluation, and accountability process (please limit answer to two pages).

As discussed in question 2, the Colville National Forest is assembling a monitoring committee comprised of Forest Service and key collaborative representatives. This monitoring committee has been discussing the development of a monitoring plan for the NEW Forest Vision 2020 High Priority Restoration Area. The Monitoring Program Coordinator

⁸ Values obtained from Treatment for Restoration Economic Analysis Tool (TREAT) spreadsheet, "Impacts-Jobs and Income" tab. Spreadsheet and directions available at <http://www.fs.fed.us/restoration/CFLR/submittingproposals.shtml#tools>.

⁹ Values obtained from Treatment for Restoration Economic Analysis Tool (TREAT) spreadsheet, "Impacts-Jobs and Income" tab. Spreadsheet and directions available at <http://www.fs.fed.us/restoration/CFLR/submittingproposals.shtml#tools>.

and Enterprise Team Member from Above & Beyond Ecosystems will be writing this plan over the fall and winter of the 2012 Fiscal Year, along with the frequent feedback of our collaborators. The goal is to implement the new monitoring plan on a “test case basis” during the field season of the 2013 Fiscal Year.

The Colville National Forest plans on implementing monitoring as part of an adaptive management approach. Information gathered through monitoring will be used to validate the appropriateness of restoration prescriptions and provide insight into necessary adjustments should they be indicated. Additional information regarding monitoring and evaluation will be available as it is developed during the Fall and Winter of 2012/2013.

6. FY 2012 accomplishments

Performance Measure	Unit of measure	Total Units Accomplished ¹⁰	Total Treatment Cost (\$)	Type of Funds (CFLR, Specific FS BLI, Partner Match) ¹¹
Acres treated annually to sustain or restore watershed function and resilience	Acres	0		
Acres of forest vegetation established	Acres	79	\$28,846	CWKV
Acres of forest vegetation improved	Acres	676 63	\$158,860 \$12,222	NFVW WFHF
Manage noxious weeds and invasive plants	Acre	534	\$30,335	NFVW
Highest priority acres treated for invasive terrestrial and aquatic species on NFS lands	Acres	0		
Acres of water or soil resources protected, maintained or improved to achieve desired watershed conditions.	Acres	0		
Acres of lake habitat restored or enhanced	Acres	0		
Miles of stream habitat restored or enhanced	Miles	1.6 7.4	\$45,655 \$101,181	NFVW NFWF
Acres of terrestrial habitat restored or enhanced	Acres	40 15 1,624	\$0*** \$1,200 \$136,862	NFTM NFWF WFHF
Acres of rangeland vegetation improved	Acres	0		
Miles of high clearance system roads receiving maintenance	Miles	39	\$45,914	CMRD
Miles of passenger car system roads receiving maintenance	Miles	70 79	\$48,194 \$91,829	CMLG CMRD
Miles of road decommissioned	Miles	0		
Miles of passenger car system roads improved	Miles	42 1	\$89,334 \$2,127	CMRD CMLG
Miles of high clearance system road improved	Miles	0		
Number of stream crossings constructed or	Number	1	\$50,655	NFWF

¹⁰ Units accomplished should match the accomplishments recorded in the Databases of Record.

¹¹ Please use a new line for each BLI or type of fund used. For example, you may have three lines with the same performance measure, but the type of funding might be two different BLIs and CFLR/CFLN.

Performance Measure	Unit of measure	Total Units Accomplished ¹⁰	Total Treatment Cost (\$)	Type of Funds (CFLR, Specific FS BLI, Partner Match) ¹¹
reconstructed to provide for aquatic organism passage			\$96,000	NFVW
Miles of system trail maintained to standard	Miles	69 26 2 11 1 30	\$97,791 \$38,305 \$3,250 \$15,154 \$910 \$42,874	CMTL SRS2 Washington Trails Association Northwest Youth Corps Kettle Range Conservation Group Pacific Northwest Trails Assoc
Miles of system trail improved to standard	Miles	3.7	\$2,775	CMTL
Miles of property line marked/maintained to standard	Miles	32	\$100,000	NFTM
Acres of forestlands treated using timber sales	Acres	1,834* 181* 393*	\$243,000 \$24,391 \$52,410	NFTM SSSS CWK2
Volume of timber sold (CCF)	CCF	27,753 5,551 3,701	\$243,000 \$24,391 \$52,410	NFTM SSSS CWK2
Green tons from small diameter and low value trees removed from NFS lands and made available for bio-energy production	Green tons	0		
Acres of hazardous fuels treated outside the wildland/urban interface (WUI) to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fire	Acre	1228 40 164**	\$73,820 \$3,120 \$3,125	WFHF CWKV BDBD
<i>Acres of wildland/urban interface (WUI) high priority hazardous fuels treated to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fire.</i>	Acres	282 2,073 1,726 943 515 1,206 1,969**	\$52,410 \$ 0*** \$243,000 \$199,240 \$24,391 \$49,576 \$37,517	CWK2 NFTM NFTM NFVW SSSS WFHF BDBD
Number of priority acres treated annually for invasive species on Federal lands	Acres	0		
Number of priority acres treated annually for native pests on Federal lands	Acres	0		

*From STWD-CNTRCT-AGR-AC in PAS

**From TMBR-BRSH-DSPSL in PAS

***Acres reported as accomplished in PAS based on Stewardship Contract Award. Funding will be accomplished in FY13 when final funding allocated to purchaser.

7. FY 2012 accomplishment narrative (summarize key accomplishments and evaluate project progress) (please limit answer to three pages).

Priorities of the NEW Forest Vision 2020 Project: The 10-year priority of the Northeastern Washington Forest Vision 2020 (NEW Forest Vision 2020) Project is to increase ecosystem resilience in light of disturbance, restore old growth structure and function, and reduce wildfire risk and fire management costs. The Colville National Forest plans to accomplish this through the thinning of small trees and reduction of ladder fuels; increasing the number of fire breaks throughout the project landscape; employing fire as a resource management tool; and establishing a low fuels buffer on the northern boundary of the Colville Indian Reservation.

Summary of this Year's Performance: In 2012, the NEW Forest Vision 2020 project was funded by carry-over funds that matched our FY 2011 requested amount of \$968,000. See the table in question 1 of this document for details on project spending and match.

2012 Highlights:

- During the 2012 fiscal year, 8,012 acres of fuels were treated within the NEW Forest Vision 2020 landscape. Of that, 1,268 acres were Non-WUI acres and 6,745 acres were WUI. Projected accomplishments for WUI treatment acres were exceeded this year. This spring, WUI accomplishments were predicted to be around 6,496 acres.
- This spring, we expected to accomplish around 59,269 CCF in the category of Volume of Timber Sold at a cost of around \$37 per CCF. We accomplished 37,005 CCF at a cost of around \$8.64 per CCF.
- This spring, thirty miles of property line were expected to be marked and maintained to standard, whereas 32 miles of property line were marked.
- This spring, major stream crossing was reconstructed with the help of partner contributions.
- This FY 2012, about 143 miles of trail system were maintained or improved to cost with the help of funds contributed through agreements with the WA Trails Association, Northwest Youth Corps, and the Pacific NW Trails Association.
- This FY 2012, a total of \$65,000 was obligated towards the development and implementation of a monitoring program for the NEW Forest Vision 2020 project area. This monitoring plan is being developed in order to maintain consistency with the project objectives outlined in the NEW Forest Vision 2020 project proposal. With the help of this monitoring plan, an adaptive management approach will be utilized, helping the Colville National Forest and its collaborators make informed and timely changes to project management in order to make sure restoration objectives are met.

8. Describe the total acres treated in the course of the CFLR project (cumulative footprint acres; not a cumulative total of performance accomplishments). What was the total number of acres treated?¹²

¹² This metric is separate from the annual performance measurement reporting as recorded in the databases of record. Please see the instructions document for further clarification.

Fiscal Year	Total number of acres treated (treatment footprint)
FY12	6,855
FY10, FY11, and FY12	6,855 (2012 was first year of the project)

9. In no more than two pages (large landscapes or very active fire seasons may need more space), describe other relevant fire management activities within the project area (hazardous fuel treatments are already documented in Question #6):

None. N/A

10. Describe any reasons that the FY 2012 annual report does not reflect your project proposal, previously reported planned accomplishments, or work plan. Did you face any unexpected challenges this year that caused you to change what was outlined in your proposal? (please limit answer to two pages)

Because NEW Vision 2020 received carryover money, one project involved gravel accumulation and storage for road/watershed projects in the next few years, but none of the NEW Vision 2020 BLI's were appropriate for this work, although it definitely fit into the definition of restoration. The Forest was able to trade with the RO NFWW money for CMRD money and accomplish the work.

Many of the inconsistencies between the projected accomplishments that were sent out this spring and the accomplishments that have been reported in PAS this fall were due to this being our first year of project funding, and getting used to the annual process. This first year has been a fast-paced learning process for everyone involved. After experiencing the process this year, we hope to streamline our process and accomplish even more within the project area during the 2013 fiscal year.

11. Planned FY 2014 Accomplishments

Performance Measure Code¹³	Unit of measure	Planned Accomplishment	Amount (\$)
Acres treated annually to sustain or restore watershed function and resilience	Acres	40	\$80,000
Acres of forest vegetation established	Acres		
Acres of forest vegetation improved	Acres	1050	\$187,500
Manage noxious weeds and invasive plants	Acre	1000	\$38,000
Highest priority acres treated for invasive terrestrial and aquatic species on NFS lands	Acres		
Acres of water or soil resources protected, maintained or improved to achieve desired watershed conditions.	Acres		
Acres of lake habitat restored or enhanced	Acres		
Miles of stream habitat restored or enhanced	Miles		
Acres of terrestrial habitat restored or enhanced	Acres	87	\$58,000
Acres of rangeland vegetation improved	Acres	272	\$75,000
Miles of high clearance system roads receiving maintenance	Miles	82	\$292,000
Miles of passenger car system roads receiving maintenance	Miles	100	\$72,000
Miles of road decommissioned	Miles	4	\$45,000
Miles of passenger car system roads improved	Miles		
Miles of high clearance system road improved	Miles		
Number of stream crossings constructed or reconstructed to provide for aquatic organism passage	Number	4	\$800,000
Miles of system trail maintained to standard	Miles		

¹³ Please include all relevant planned accomplishments, assuming that funding specified in the CFLRP project proposal for FY 2014 is available. Use actual planned funding if quantity is less than specified in CFLRP project work plan, and justify deviation from project work plan in question 13 of this template.

Performance Measure Code¹³	Unit of measure	Planned Accomplishment	Amount (\$)
Miles of system trail improved to standard	Miles	100	\$16,000
Miles of property line marked/maintained to standard	Miles	30	\$70,000
Acres of forestlands treated using timber sales	Acres	5000	\$870,000
Volume of timber sold (CCF)	CCF	47,800	\$870,000
Green tons from small diameter and low value trees removed from NFS lands and made available for bio-energy production	Green tons		
Acres of hazardous fuels treated outside the wildland/urban interface (WUI) to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fire	Acre	1467	\$186,270
Acres of wildland/urban interface (WUI) high priority hazardous fuels treated to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fire	Acres	5281	\$591,230
Number of priority acres treated annually for invasive species on Federal lands	Acres		
Number of priority acres treated annually for native pests on Federal lands	Acres		

12. Planned FY 2014 accomplishment narrative (no more than 1 page):

The FY 2014 program will continue to work under the guidance of the original project proposal, the Colville National Forest Restoration Strategy, and with the feedback of our collaborators.

13. Describe and provide narrative justification if planned FY 2013/14 accomplishments and/or funding differs from CFLRP project work plan (no more than 1 page):

Currently, we don't expect any significant changes from our original project proposal. There have been adjustments made with respect to the prioritization and timing of project completion, as well as transition from project to project. Any changes in the timing of project implementation are not expected to result in any significant divergence from the objectives outlined in the original NEW Forest Vision 2020 project proposal.