

Enclosure 1:

Language to include in NEPA documents for Projects Developed under the Terms of the 2011 Consent Decree (also referred to as “Settlement Agreement”)

On December 2009, the District Court for the Western District of Washington issued an order on partial summary judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs finding inadequacies in the NEPA analysis supporting the *Record of Decision to Remove the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measure Standards and Guidelines from Bureau of Land Management Resource Management Plans Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl* (FS et al. 2007)(2007 ROD). The District Court did not issue a remedy or injunction at that time.

Plaintiffs and Defendants entered into settlement negotiations that resulted in the 2011 Survey and Manage Consent Decree, adopted by the District Court on July 6, 2011.

The Defendant-Intervenor subsequently appealed the 2011 Consent Decree to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The April 25, 2013 ruling in favor of Defendant-Intervener remanded the case back to the District Court.

On February 18, 2014, the District Court vacated the 2007 RODs. Vacatur of the 2007 RODs has the result of returning the Forest Service to the status quo in existence prior to the 2007 RODs.

The District Court and all parties agreed that projects begun in reliance on the Settlement Agreement should not be halted. The District Court order allowed for the Forest Service and BLM to continue developing and implementing projects that met the 2011 Settlement Agreement exemptions or species list, for three categories of projects. These categories include:

- 1) Projects in which any Survey and Manage pre-disturbance survey(s) has been initiated (defined as at least one occurrence of actual in-the-field surveying undertaken according to applicable protocol) in reliance upon the Settlement Agreement on or before April 25, 2013;
- 2) Projects, at any stage of project planning, in which any known site(s) (as defined by the 2001 Record of Decision) has been identified and has had known site-management recommendations for that particular species applied to the project in reliance upon the Settlement Agreement on or before April 25, 2013; and
- 3) Projects, at any stage of project planning, that the Agencies designed to be consistent with one or more of the new exemptions contained in the Settlement Agreement on or before April 25, 2013.

The project is consistent with category (1, 2 and/or 3 above; *Forests to fill out*). (*Forests then need to provide a narrative that demonstrates compliance with 1, 2 and/or 3.*)