Stable isotope fingerprinting: a novel method for identifying plant, fungal, or bacterial origins of amino acids
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Abstract. Amino acids play an important role in ecology as essential nutrients for animals and as currencies in symbiotic associations. Here we present a new approach to tracing the origins of amino acids by identifying unique patterns of carbon isotope signatures generated by amino acid synthesis in plants, fungi, and bacteria (13C fingerprints). We measured amino acid 813C from 10 C3 plants, 13 fungi, and 10 bacteria collected and isolated from a boreal forest in interior Alaska, USA, using gas chromatography-combustion-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS). Microorganisms were cultured under amino-acid-free conditions and identified based on DNA sequences. Bacteria, fungi, and plants generated consistent, unique 13C fingerprints based on the more complex amino acids (five or more biosynthetic steps) that are classified as essential for animals. Linear discriminant analysis classified all samples correctly with >99% certainty and correctly classified nearly all insect samples from a previous study by diet. Our results suggest that 13C fingerprints of amino acids could provide a powerful in situ assay of the biosynthetic sources of amino acids and a potential new tool for understanding nutritional linkages in food webs.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding nutritional linkages is a central but at times elusive goal of both organismal biology and ecosystem ecology. Amino acids, especially those that are essential for animals, are key nutrients shaping foraging behavior (O’Brien et al. 2003, Gietzen et al. 2007) and creating nutritional interdependencies, such as between phytophagous insects and their microbial endosymbionts (Baumann et al. 2006, Janson et al. 2008). Amino acid exchange may also occur in symbiotic associations between plants and fungi, a situation in which each partner has the ability to synthesize amino acids but fungi may also absorb amino acids from the substrate (Read and Perez-Moreno 2003, Brundrett 2004). A marker of amino acid biosynthetic origin would be a powerful tool for investigations of amino acid exchange in symbiotic and trophic relationships, as well as a way to monitor diet in organisms that are otherwise intractable for studies of foraging behavior such as soil microarthropods (Chahartaghi et al. 2005, Larsen et al. 2007). Here we present a new approach to tracing the origins of amino acids: using unique patterns of carbon isotope signatures generated by amino acid synthesis in plants, fungi, and bacteria (here termed a 13C fingerprint”).

Amino acids vary widely in isotope composition within organisms (Abelson and Hoering 1987, Hare et al. 1991, Fantle et al. 1999, Fogel and Tuross 1999, Abraham and Hesse 2003, McCarthy et al. 2004), due to isotopic discrimination by biosynthetic pathways (Hayes 1993, 2001). Organisms that share similar enzymes and pathway architecture should exhibit similar patterns of isotope fractionation; however, identifying patterns characteristic of particular lineages has been hampered by limited taxonomic sampling, differences among studies in the amino acids measured, and varying growth conditions (Hayes 2001). Recently amino acid 813C was surveyed in a large sample of microorganisms (Scott et al. 2006); these authors found that patterns of amino acid variation grouped organisms by their mode of carbon acquisition and intermediary carbon metabolism. Similarly, characteristic patterns of amino acid 813C have been shown to distinguish fungal lineages (Abraham and Hesse 2003). We suggest that differences in amino acid metabolism among major prokaryotic and eukaryotic lineages could generate unique patterns of amino acid 813C. For example, plants and fungi have unique pathways for lysine synthesis (Hudson et al. 2005), and their pathways for leucine biosynthesis are compartmentalized differently (Hagelstein et al. 1997, Kohlhaw 2003). No studies have yet systematically characterized the 13C fingerprints of amino acids from fungi, plants, and bacteria, to see whether they are consistent within and distinct between lineages and whether they could be used to identify the origin of amino acids important in trophic or symbiotic...
relationships. The advantage of such a fingerprint for ecological studies is that organisms would generate them naturally; thus, it could provide a powerful tool for identifying amino acid sources in situ.

To investigate whether fungi, bacteria, and plants generate unique amino acid $^{13}$C fingerprints, we cultured 10 or more specimens from each group on amino-acid-free media and analyzed their amino acid $^{13}$C composition using gas chromatography-combustion-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS) (Silfer et al. 1991; Meier-Augenstein 1999). Most of the specimens were cultured from a boreal forest site near Fairbanks, Alaska, USA, and all plants had the C$_3$ photosynthetic pathway. We hypothesized that fungi, bacteria, and plants generate distinct, consistent isotope fingerprints that could be used to classify samples to each lineage. We expect the amino acids that are essential for animals to be particularly informative because these amino acids have longer and more complex biosynthetic pathways (five or more biosynthetic steps), providing more potential for lineage-specific isotope effects (Lehninger 1975, Stephanopoulos et al. 1998). Furthermore, because animals depend on diet (Robbins 1993) or microbial symbionts (Robbins 1993, Moran and Bau- mann 2000, Wernegreen 2002) for essential amino acids, these compounds are particularly well suited for investigating trophic and symbiotic relationships (Fantle et al. 1999, O’Brien et al. 2002, 2005). Thus, we make an operational distinction between essential and nonessential amino acids in this study even though the terms do not apply in a functionally relevant sense to plants, fungi, or bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens

A total of 33 specimens, 13 fungi, 10 bacteria, and 10 plants were included in this study (Table 1). The majority of these specimens, 21 out of 31, were collected or isolated from a boreal forest site in interior Alaska with permafrost dominated by black spruce (64°52’19” N, 147°50’59” W). We also included specimens of fungi and bacteria from existing laboratory cultures at the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF), as well as domesticated plants grown in the UAF West Ridge greenhouse.

The soil samples from which we isolated fungi and bacteria were collected in April 2007. We selected our fungal and microbial specimens according to two criteria: that they were able to grow on amino-acid-free media and that the specimens appeared morphologically different from one another. Specimens from existing laboratory cultures were included to diversify our sample. We used amino-acid-free media to culture our microbial specimens to ensure that all the amino acids were synthesized de novo. The specimens were either cultured in liquid broth or on solid agar media (Bacto Agar BD, Sparks, Maryland, USA) with 15 g/L of one of the nutrient mixes, here given with their carbon and nitrogen sources: Czapek (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) with 30 g/L sucrose and 3 g/L sodium nitrate, modified arabinose gluconate (MAG; Van Berkum 1990; modified from Cole and Elkan [1973]) with 1 g/L L-arabinose, 1 g/L D-glucic acid, and 0.32 g/L ammonium chloride and Melin-Norkrans (MMN) agar medium (Marx 1969) With 10 g/L D-glucose and 0.25 g/L ammonium phosphate. The bulk $^{13}$C values of the substrates were ($N = 3$): bacto agar, -19.6$\%$ + 0.0$\%$ (mean + SE); D-glucose, -9.5$\%$ + 0.2$\%$; Czapek, -23.4$\%$ + 0.0$\%$; MAG with agar, -20.0$\%$ + 0.0$\%$; and MMN with agar, -14.4$\%$ + 0.2$\%$. Microbial cultures grown in liquid media were incubated at 25°C in 250-mL flasks in a shaking incubator (Innova 4230, New Brunswick Scientific, Edison, New Jersey, USA) and harvested after one to three weeks. Cultures grown on agar media were incubated at ambient temperature (22°C) in Petri dishes and harvested after one to five weeks. In addition to the laboratory-cultured fungi, we also collected fungal fruiting bodies in July 2007 at the boreal forest site to compare fungi with a variety of organic N sources to those cultured on amino-acid-free media.

Plants grown in the greenhouse were planted from seed in April 2007 and harvested in June 2007. They were grown on sphagnum and fertilized with Excel 15-5-15 Cal-Mag (Peters, Marysville, Ohio, USA). Plants from the boreal forest site were collected in July 2007. After collection, plant leaves were washed thoroughly in tap water to avoid contamination with soil and microorganisms.

Identification

The microbial specimens that we isolated or collected from the boreal forest site were taxonomically identified using rRNA gene sequence analyses (see Appendix A for methods). We identified all except three specimens at the level of genus or species based upon >96% sequence similarity to known entities (Table 1). The remaining three specimens, one fungus and two bacteria, were identified at the level of Division or Order. Nine out of 13 fungal specimens belonged to the Phylum Ascomycota, three specimens to Basidiomycota (two of which were fruiting bodies collected in the boreal forest), and one specimen to Zygomycota. All three phyla are common in interior Alaska boreal forest soils, as described by Taylor et al. (2008). Of the 10 bacterial specimens in our study, seven specimens belonged to the Phylum Proteobacteria, two specimens to Actinobacteria, and one specimen to Bacteroidetes. This assemblage of phyla is representative of microbial diversity in Alaska soils, as found by Schloss and Handelsman (2006): 48.6% of bacterial 16S rRNA sequences belonged to Proteobacteria, 5.8% to Actinobacteria, and 9.3% to Bacteroidetes. Proteobacteria are gram-negative bacteria and Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes are gram-positive.
Amino acid extraction and isotope analysis

Samples were freeze dried and homogenized to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle and then weighed into 3-10 mg aliquots and transferred to Pyrex culture tubes (13 x 100 mm: Corning Glass, Corning, New York, USA). The samples were flushed with N\textsubscript{2} gas, sealed, and hydrolyzed in 1 mL HCl (6N Sequanal grade; Pierce Chemical, Rockford, Illinois, USA) at 110°C for 24 h. After hydrolysis, the samples were centrifuged at 600 g for 3 min and the liquid phase transferred to 3.8 mL dram vials (VWR International, West Chester, Pennsylvania, USA) before evaporating the samples to dryness under a stream of N\textsubscript{2} gas for 30 min at 110°C. To volatilize the amino acids, we derivatized the dried samples with acidified isopropyl and N-trifluoroacetate as described elsewhere (Silfer et al. 1991, O'Brien et al. 2002) with the exception that samples were evaporated to dryness at 60°C instead of at ambient temperature after the formation of amino acid isopropyl esters. To reduce oxidation during the derivatization, the vials were flushed or sealed with N\textsubscript{2} gas in every step involving heating of the samples. The samples were derivatized in batches of six samples, including one mixed standard of 14 amino acids with known \^{13}C. After derivatization, the samples were stored in dichloromethane for two to three weeks at 4°C. Samples were prescreened with an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph (GC; Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA) to verify and optimize peak heights prior to isotope analysis. Isotope measurements via GC-C-IRMS took place at the Alaska Stable Isotope Facility, UAF. Amino acid derivatives were injected into an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph (GC) using an autosampler and separated on an HP Ultra-1 column (Agilent). The GC was interfaced with a Finnigan Delta Plus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) via the GC-Ifl combustion (C) interface (Thermo-Finnigan, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Data are expressed in delta notation as [\((R_{\text{smpl}}/R_{\text{std}}) - 1) \times 10000]\% where \(R_{\text{smpl}}\) is from the sample, and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Phylogenic affiliation</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek liquid</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aureobasidium pullulans</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>MAG liquid</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botryotrichia orrhidea</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoecia pachybasiosids</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecythophora sp.</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nectria vitior</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencillium sp.</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaena glomeraria</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichoderma viridi</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Czapek agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortinarius cyanus</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hericium racemosum</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Czapek liquid</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydnum repandum</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortierella alpina</td>
<td>Zygomycota</td>
<td>MMN agar</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Czapek liquid</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodococcus spp.</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Czapek liquid</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradyrhizobium sp. 1</td>
<td>Alpha Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG agar</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradyrhizobium sp. 2</td>
<td>Alpha Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG agar</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylobacterium sp.</td>
<td>Alpha Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG liquid</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkholderia xenovora</td>
<td>Beta Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG liquid</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Gamma Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG liquid</td>
<td>culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>Gamma Proteobacteria</td>
<td>MAG agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluyveria sp.</td>
<td>Spingobacteria</td>
<td>MAG agar</td>
<td>isolated from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedobacter sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Phylogenic affiliation</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calonagrostis purpurascens</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsicum annuum</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from greenhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex bigelovii</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucumis sativus</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from greenhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus annuus</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from greenhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryzaaum vulgare</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from greenhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanum lycopersicum</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from greenhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinium uliginosum</td>
<td>Anthophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equisetum paterne</td>
<td>Pteridophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equisetum scirpoides</td>
<td>Pteridophyta</td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>harvested from boreal forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All fungi and bacteria that were isolated from the boreal forest or originated from an existing culture collection were cultured and harvested in the laboratory. Three samples were discarded due to chromatographic co-elution or overloading: Inocybe pudica (wild fungus), Hericium racemosum (wild fungus), and Bradyrhizobium sp. 3 (culture collection bacterium). Key to abbreviations: MAG, modified arabinose gluconate; MMN, Melin-Norkans.

Table 1. Species identification of organisms used in this study, their carbon sources, and their origins.
standard (std) is PDB, as provided by pulses of calibrated CO$_2$ reference gas. We achieved consistently good chromatographic separation for 13 amino acids, here given in the ascending order of retention times: alanine (Ala), glycine (Gly), threonine (Thr), serine (Ser), valine (Val), leucine (Leu), isoleucine (Ile), proline (Pro), aspartate/asparagine (Asx), methionine (Met), glutamate/glutamine (Glx), phenylalanine (Phe), and lysine (Lys) (see Appendix B). All samples were analyzed in triplicate. Amino acid $^{83}$C values were corrected for the carbon added during derivatization following O’Brien et al. (2002). Of 36 samples analyzed, three samples were discarded due to poor chromatography: Inocybe pudica (wild fungus), Hericium racemosum (wild fungus), and Bradyrhizobium sp. 3 (culture collection bacterium).

Statistical analysis

For the purposes of analysis we make an operational distinction between amino acids that have long biosynthetic pathways and are essential for animals and those with short biosynthetic pathways that are nonessential for animals, and analyze these data separately. We evaluated the factors affecting amino acid $^{83}$C values with a multivariate, nested ANOVA analysis, in which taxon (fungi, bacteria, or plant), growth medium, amino acid identity, and the taxon x amino acid interactions were the independent variables. Because some growth media were fungi- or bacteria-specific, growth medium was nested within taxon. We tested the normality of the residuals with Shapiro-Wilks test. Amino acid data used for graphical purposes and initial comparisons among taxa were normalized to their respective means. Differences between taxa for individual amino acids were found using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s hsd post hoc tests on amino acid $^{83}$C$_N$ values. Data used in multivariate analysis were not normalized. We determined the set of $^{83}$C variations among all seven essential amino acids (the independent variables) that best classified the three taxa (the dependent variables) by applying linear discriminant analysis to maximize the ratio of between-taxon variance to within-taxon variance. We used Pillai-Barlett trace to test whether the three taxa had different mean discriminant scores. All statistical analyses were performed in S-Plus version 6.2 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, California, USA). The linear discriminant function of the MASS library (Venables and Ripley 2002) was used for the linear discriminant analysis. Values are given as mean ± SE unless otherwise noted.

Validation

We tested whether our classification of fungal, bacterial, and plant amino acids would successfully classify novel samples, using previously analyzed samples of plants (O’Brien et al. 2005), fungi (O’Brien et al. 2008), bacteria (Scott et al. 2006), and insect consumers with known plant or fungal diets (O’Brien et al. 2002, 2005, 2008). Most of these analyses were conducted in a different laboratory, but using nearly identical methods of derivatization and chromatography. The samples included 15 bacteria, three butterfly host plants, one yeast, five butterfly species, including at least two representatives of each, and six samples of fruit flies or fruit fly eggs grown on yeast (44 samples in total). For inclusion, the samples needed to have $^{83}$C measurements of Thr, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, and Lys. Methionine was omitted from this list because it was not reported in any of these studies. We also attempted to classify three lichen specimens that we collected from the UAF boreal forest and one previously reported lichen (Scott et al. 2006). To classify these "unknown" samples into one of the three known taxa (fungi, bacteria, or plants) classified in this study we applied the prediction function of the linear discriminant analysis.

RESULTS

The identification of organisms used in this study is presented in Table I. We measured $^{83}$C from 13 amino acids, seven essential (Thr, Val, Leu, Ile, Met, Phe, and Lys) and six nonessential (Ala, Gly, Ser, Pro, Asx, and Glx; see Appendix C). For both essential and nonessential amino acids, taxon, amino acid identity, and their interaction had highly significant effects on amino acid $^{83}$C (all $P < 0.0001$; see Appendix D). Growth medium also had a highly significant effect, as the different carbon sources varied significantly in $^{83}$C (see Appendix D). This ANOVA model accounted for most of the variation in our data set ($R^2 = 0.912$ for essential amino acids and 0.793 for nonessential amino acids). The presence of a highly significant interaction between taxon and amino acid demonstrates that isotopic variations among individual amino acids were taxon dependent. We examined these variations separately for essential and nonessential amino acids.

Essential amino acids

Fungi, bacteria, and plants exhibited very different patterns of $^{83}$C variation among essential amino acids (Fig. 1), especially between plants and bacteria. Variation in $^{83}$C values among the seven essential amino acids analyzed in this study was greatest in plants (ranging 20.9% ± 0.9%, intermediate in fungi (ranging 11.8% ± 0.4%),and smallest in bacteria (ranging 6.0% ± 1.1%) (Fig. 1). Comparisons between taxa for each essential amino acid are presented in Table 2. Lysine and leucine exhibited highly significant differences in normalized ($^{83}$C$_N$) values between all three taxa ($P < 0.0001$), as did threonine and valine ($P < 0.0001$; Table 2). Isoleucine and methionine $^{83}$C$_N$ values were significantly higher in fungi compared to plants and bacteria ($P < 0.0001$), but plants and bacteria were indistinguishable. Phenylalanine $^{83}$C$_N$ values were the
samples made a distinct cluster regardless of origin (Fig. 2A). For this reason we subsequently did not draw a distinction between wild and cultured specimens in our analyses.

We also examined whether samples could be classified using $\delta^{13}$C values from fewer amino acids. For this purpose we selected the three most informative amino acids: Leu, Ile, and Lys. The linear discriminant model with only three amino acids was highly significant (Pillai trace $= 1.77$, $F_{2,6} = 76.1$, $P < 0.0001$), separating bacteria from plants on the first linear discriminant axis and fungi from bacteria and plants on the second linear discriminant axis (see Appendix E). All samples were classified with >99.99% certainty. However, the overall separation was stronger using seven amino acids.

Simply plotting the differences in $\delta^{13}$C values between the three most informative amino acids (Ile-Leu vs. Ile-Lys) created three highly distinct clusters for each taxon (Fig. 3). Thus, multivariate statistics, while valuable for making statistical assignments, are not necessary to visualize the isotopic clustering of each group.

### Nonessential amino acids

Our ANOVA analysis of nonessential amino acids also revealed significant interactions between taxa and amino acid identities (all $P < 0.0001$; see Appendix C). The pattern of amino acid variation in $\delta^{13}$C$_N$ values was very similar between fungi and bacteria and different from that of plants (see Appendix F). When we compared $\delta^{13}$C$_N$ values between fungi, bacteria, and plants for each nonessential amino acid, fungi and bacteria were never different (Table 2). Bacteria and plants only differed in Ala and Asx, and plants differed significantly from fungi in all nonessential amino acid except Ser (Table 2). Our linear discriminant model for the nonessential amino acids was significant (Pillai trace $= 1.11$, $F_{2,12} = 5.50$, $P < 0.001$) but with a poorer separation than with essential amino acids; the clusters for bacteria and fungi overlapped and the clusters for fungi and plants were less distinct (Fig. 2B).

### Classification/validation

Our linear discriminant model correctly classified 40 out of 46 samples (87%) from previously published studies (Table 3). Essential amino acids from the host plants and the butterflies that fed on them as larvae were least variable among taxa; plants and fungi were significantly different ($P < 0.0001$), but the magnitude of that difference was quite small (2.3%).

Linear discriminant analysis revealed highly significant differences between taxa, based on non-normalized $\delta^{13}$C values from seven essential amino acids (Pillai trace $= 1.86$, $F_{2,14} = 48.1$, $P < 0.0001$). The first discriminant axis separated fungi from plants and bacteria, and the second discriminant axis separated plants from fungi and bacteria, creating highly distinct isotopic clusters for each of the three taxa (Fig. 2A). All samples were classified with >99.99% certainty. The essential amino acids that correlated the most strongly with the two linear discriminant axes were Leu, He, and Lys (all coefficients $> 0.5$; Appendix C).

In our sample set we included wild specimens of plants and fungi, which have access to soil amino acids (Nasholm et al. 1998). To test whether wild and cultured plants clustered differently due to the influence of exogenous amino acids or other factors, we classified them separately before conducting linear discriminant analysis. We did not find any evidence that wild and cultured specimens had different $^{13}$C fingerprints, as their clusters overlapped in the linear discriminant plot. We did not have sufficient sample sizes of wild-collected fungi to test wild and cultured separately, but all fungal

### Table 2. Significant differences between normalized $\delta^{13}$C amino acid values from fungi ($N = 13$), bacteria ($N = 10$), and plants ($N = 10$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Essential amino acids (EAA)</th>
<th>Nonessential amino acids (NAA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ile Leu Lys Met Phe Thr Val</td>
<td>Ala Asx Glx Gly Pro Ser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria–fungi</td>
<td>$\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria–plants</td>
<td>$\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi–plants</td>
<td>$\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$ $\dagger$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Abbreviations are: Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Lys, lysine; Met, methionine; Phe, phenylalanine; Thr, threonine; Val, valine; Ala, alanine; Asx, aspartate/asparagine; Glx, glutamate/glutamine; Gly, glycine; Pro, proline; Ser, serine. Confidence intervals: $\dagger$, >99%; $\ddagger$, >99.9%; $\dagger\dagger$, >99.99%.
variability in bulk $^{13}$C. The C$_3$ plant species characterized by enriched Thr and bacteria by enriched Leu, consistent with three recent studies examining amino acid $^{13}$C in five plant species and 21 bacterial species (O'Brien et al. 2003, 2005, Scott et al. 2006). We found the isotopic difference between Ile and Leu to be particularly valuable in distinguishing plant and fungal from bacterial samples (Fig. 3), in that the difference is on the order of $\Delta^{13}$C = 6% to 12% in plants and fungi and $\Delta^{13}$C = -3% to 2% in bacteria. This result is consistent with all the previous studies we examined, dating back to the early 1960s (Abelson and Hoering 1961, Winters 1971, Macko et al. 1987, Hare et al. 1991, Fogel and Tuross 1999, Abraham and Hesse 2003, O'Brien et al. 2003, 2005, Scott et al. 2006) with the exception of a single fungal specimen (Abraham and Hesse 2003) and a bacterium grown on glutamate medium (Macko et al. 1987). The contrast of Thr and Leu was informative, but more variable and less consistent with previous studies. Fungi exhibited enriched Ile and Met, and the contrast between Ile and Lys was particularly useful for separating fungal from plant samples (Figs. 1 and 3). While discriminant function analysis provides the most robust way to classify samples, we found that samples could be categorized based on the three most informative amino acids: Ile, Leu, and Lys (Fig. 3; Appendix E).

When we applied our linear discriminant function to previously published data from plants (three; O'Brien et al. 2005), fungi (one; O'Brien et al. 2008), and bacteria (15; Scott et al. 2006), as well as insect consumers feeding on plants (five; O'Brien et al. 2002, 2005) and fungi (one; O'Brien et al. 2008), the model classified all plants, all fungi, most (67%) of the bacteria, and most classified as plants (probability > 96%), with the exception of two butterflies (Heliconius charithonia) that were categorized as bacteria (probability = 77%) primarily due to their Lys and Val $^{13}$C values. Essential amino acids from both the yeast and the fruit flies that fed on them were correctly classified as fungal in origin. Of the fifteen bacterial samples reported in Scott et al. (2006), 10 were categorized as bacteria (probability = 100%), three could not be reliably classified, and two were miscategorized as plants (Table 3). All four lichen samples, three from this study and one from Scott et al. (2006), were classified as fungi with a very high probability (probability = 100%; Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates for the first time that fungi, bacteria, and plants generate distinct patterns of essential amino acid $^{13}$C during biosynthesis and that these patterns or fingerprints can be used to identify the origin of amino acids even when there is considerable variation in bulk $^{13}$C. The C$_3$ plants were characterized by enriched Thr and bacteria by enriched Leu, consistent with three recent studies examining amino acid $^{13}$C in five plant species and 21 bacterial species (O'Brien et al. 2003, 2005, Scott et al. 2006). We found the isotopic difference between Ile and Leu to be particularly valuable in distinguishing plant and fungal from bacterial samples (Fig. 3), in that the difference is on the order of $\Delta^{13}$C = 6% to 12% in plants and fungi and $\Delta^{13}$C = -3% to 2% in bacteria. This result is consistent with all the previous studies we examined, dating back to the early 1960s (Abelson and Hoering 1961, Winters 1971, Macko et al. 1987, Hare et al. 1991, Fogel and Tuross 1999, Abraham and Hesse 2003, O'Brien et al. 2003, 2005, Scott et al. 2006) with the exception of a single fungal specimen (Abraham and Hesse 2003) and a bacterium grown on glutamate medium (Macko et al. 1987). The contrast of Thr and Leu was informative, but more variable and less consistent with previous studies. Fungi exhibited enriched Ile and Met, and the contrast between Ile and Lys was particularly useful for separating fungal from plant samples (Figs. 1 and 3). While discriminant function analysis provides the most robust way to classify samples, we found that samples could be categorized based on the three most informative amino acids: Ile, Leu, and Lys (Fig. 3; Appendix E).

When we applied our linear discriminant function to previously published data from plants (three; O'Brien et al. 2005), fungi (one; O'Brien et al. 2008), and bacteria (15; Scott et al. 2006), as well as insect consumers feeding on plants (five; O'Brien et al. 2002, 2005) and fungi (one; O'Brien et al. 2008), the model classified all plants, all fungi, most (67%) of the bacteria, and most...
Notes: Consumers were expected to have the same classification as their diets because only essential amino acids (threonine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, and lysine) were included in this analysis. The actual classification of the three lichen species was unknown (U) because they are symbionts between cyanobacteria and fungi. The lichens Cetraria pinastri, Parmelia sulcata, and Peltigera aphthosa were collected from the boreal forest site in May 2007 and analyzed along with the other samples of this study. Sources are identified by superscripts: 1, O'Brien et al. (2005); 2, O'Brien et al. (2008); 3, Scott et al. (2006); 4, O'Brien et al. (2002).

(92%) of the consumers correctly (based on diet). The model's success in assigning consumers was particularly encouraging, as one of the most obvious applications for an amino acid $^{13}$C fingerprint would be to identify dietary sources of essential amino acids in animals with unknown or mixed diets. That the performance of the model was not quite as good for bacterial samples is perhaps not surprising given the variety of growing conditions and great diversity of bacterial taxa in the study by Scott et al. (2006); certainly the diversity exceeded that used to "train" the linear discriminant model in the present study. If we generated a new discriminant analysis including Scott's bacterial samples as well as those of bacteria, plants, and fungi from the present study, only one specimen, Desulfuvibrio, fell outside this more broadly defined bacterial fingerprint (see Appendix G). This data set loses some discriminatory power by omitting but demonstrates the distinctness of the bacterial fingerprint over a broader range of taxa. The classification of the four lichens as fungi illustrates how $^{13}$C fingerprints can be used to investigate amino acid synthesis by symbiotic relationships, with the caveat that we did not sample the photobionts, green algae and cyanobacteria. We conclude that the method is fairly robust even when applied across different laboratories and studies. However, because analytical conditions can vary, we want to emphasize that the best way to classify dietary sources based on amino acids would be to analyze known potential amino acid sources alongside the samples of interest.

Lysine was among the most informative amino acids, exhibiting little within-taxon variation and distinct clusters for each group. However, the enrichment of Lys in bacteria relative to plants is not consistent with data compiled from recent previous studies (O'Brien et al. 2002, 2005, Scott et al. 2006). In plants and bacteria, Lys biosynthesis is known to occur via a pathway that utilizes aspartate as a precursor and diaminopimelic acid (DAP) as an intermediate (Hudson et al. 2005, 2006). Four established variants of that pathway are known, of which one is specific to plants and bypasses three reactions otherwise found in bacteria. In contrast, fungi synthesize Lys by the o-aminoadipate pathway, which uses 2-oxoglutarate as a precursor (Nishida and Nishiyama 2000). Thus, plants, fungi, and prokaryotes use different precursors, intermediates, and reactions in Lys biosynthesis, and these differences generate a distinct Lys signature in each taxon, relative to average amino acid $^{13}$C. The lack of consistency of bacterial Lys between this study and Scott et al. (2006) may be an effect of taxonomic sampling, given that at least three distinct Lys biosynthesis pathways exist in bacteria (Kosuge and Hoshino 1999, Vauterin et al. 2000). Members of the pyruvate family (Ala, Val, Leu, and Ile) were also highly informative in distinguishing the biosynthetic origin of amino acids, particularly in distinguishing plants from fungi and bacteria. In contrast to Lys biosynthesis, the pathways leading to synthesis of the amino acids of the pyruvate family are similar in fungi, bacteria, and plants (Hagelstein et al. 1997, Kohlhaw 2003, Keseler et al. 2005). However, several studies have demonstrated that organisms have different isotope effects associated with pyruvate dehydrogenase, which could cause differential enrichment of the pool of available pyruvate for biosynthesis (Blair et
al. 1985, Melzer and Schmidt 1987). Our data suggest in particular that pyruvate may be especially $^{13}$C depleted in plants, as Ala, which is synthesized in one step from pyruvate, was depleted in plants by 4-5% relative to fungi and bacteria. Plants also exhibited a larger depletion of Ile relative to Thr compared to fungi and bacteria, which reflects the addition of acetyl carbon from pyruvate.

We found that plants, fungi, and bacteria generated distinctly different isotope fingerprints in spite of organisms from each lineage being grown on a range of different carbon sources, from soil to amino-acid-free broth. This is promising for moving the isotope fingerprinting tool to the field, since the $^{13}$C values of individual amino acids within one lineage ranged more than 20%, depending on the particular growth medium. However, it needs to be addressed in detail how external conditions and amino acid availability may affect isotopic variation. Because microorganisms have enormous flexibility within central metabolism to supply precursors for the synthesis of amino acids (Walsh and Koshland 1985, Marx et al. 1997), further studies on how growing conditions induce isotope variations would be useful for applying isotope fingerprinting to field studies. Likewise, it needs to be systematically addressed how external amino acids are routed or processed in organisms such as boreal plants, for which amino acids are considered to be an important nitrogen source (Nasholm et al. 1998, Chalot et al. 2006, Kielland et al. 2006). For the limited number of samples in our study, we did not find any difference in patterns of amino acid $^{13}$C between plants collected in the boreal forest and plants cultured in the greenhouse. Finally, to provide a wider scope for applying the isotope fingerprinting tool in ecological studies it would be useful to expand the $^{13}$C fingerprinting library of $C_3$ plants to include $C_4$ and crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants, since their different modes of carbon acquisitions and associated metabolic fluxes could yield different isotope fingerprints.

Classification models such as linear discriminant analysis are suitable for predicting membership in one or another group, but do not effectively estimate the relative contributions of two or more sources to a mixed sample, as would a mixing model (Phillips and Gregg 2003). We found that plotting the differences in $^{13}$C values between the three most informative amino acids (Ile-Leu vs. Ile-Lys) created three distinct clusters for each taxon (Fig. 3). We propose that such differences could serve as the endpoints in a dietary mixing model (Phillips 2001, Phillips and Gregg 2003) to demonstrate the relative input of plant, fungal, and microbial essential amino acids to an animal’s diet. We only included three amino acids for the purpose of visualization, but mixing models can include a large number of endpoints as long as there are sufficient isotopic dimensions to resolve them. Thus, contrasts between essential amino acid $^{13}$C could provide a tool for estimating the contribution of diet sources to consumers.

In conclusion, we found that fungi, bacteria, and plants impart characteristic patterns of $^{13}$C variation during synthesis of amino acids, particularly those with longer and more complex pathways that are essential in animal diets. Because these patterns are diagnostic of the biosynthetic origin of amino acids, they can be used as a $^{13}$C fingerprint to assign unknown samples or sources of amino acids as plant, fungal, or bacterial. Lysine, Leu, and Ile were, in combination, the most informative amino acids; however, samples were categorized with the greatest accuracy using all of the essential amino acids measured. Our results suggest that $^{13}$C fingerprinting of amino acids could provide a powerful in situ assay of amino acid sources in terrestrial ecosystems, whether for understanding symbiotic associations between animals and microorganisms or between plants and fungi, or in identifying the primary contributors of amino acids in animals.
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