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Session #13: City and County Open Space Programs

This program presents growth and open space conservation planning for cities and counties. Speakers will present the Trust for Public Land's Conservation Almanac and LandVote resources that are available online for researching conservation activities, and public funding for land conservation. We will also learn about open space conservation planning processes, ordinances, funding mechanisms, and partnerships employed in Missoula, Montana, and Baltimore County, Maryland.

- Mary Bruce Alford Trust for Public Land
- Jackie Corday City of Missoula, Montana
- Don Outen Baltimore County, Maryland
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Link to PDF Presentation
Link to resources from this webinar

Session #12: Greening Grey Infrastructure: Federal Highway Administration’s Eco-Logical Approach and Case Studies from National Forests in Ohio and Washington

Session #11: An All Lands Approach to Ecosystem Services for Water

Session #10: Tools for Conservation Planning

Click on the session titles for more info on recordings, slide presentations, and featured resources.
Find relevant resources for each webinar session here!
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Future Webinar Topics

• **August** - More Conservation Planning Tools
• **September** – Climate Change Adaptation
• **October** – Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration
• **November** – Community Wildfire Protection Planning
• **December** – Planning for Urban Forests
Forest Service
Open Space News

Wildfire, Wildlands, and People (Jan 2013) Forests on the Edge (FOTE) Report Released

FOTE feature in Chapter 4:
Urban–Rural Interfaces: Linking People and Nature (Laband, Lockaby, and Zipperer 2012)

Open Space Conservation Strategy Implementation
Surveys available at www.fs.fed.us/openspace
- Research and Development
- Washington Office
- Regional Offices
Session #15:
National Forest Plan Revisions and the New Planning Rule: Considering open space and ‘all lands’

Ken Landgraf  
USFS George Washington National Forest
Virginia

Bill Connelly  
USFS Planning Staff
Washington D.C.

Jo Ann Fites-Kaufman  
USFS Southern Sierra Nevada National Forests
California
Logistics – Q&A

• Continuing Education Credits
  – Attend entire presentation

• Questions for speakers – chat pod

• Technical difficulties – chat pod or email Susan Guynn: SGUYNN@clemson.edu
Getting to Know You!
FOREST PLAN REVISION
GEORGE WASHINGTON NATIONAL FOREST
COLLABORATION

• From the beginning of our revision process we placed a high level of emphasis on taking a collaborative approach.
• Numerous meetings at varying locations around the Forest Service
• Meetings designed to promote discussions among the participants
COLLABORATION

• Maintained our traditional partnerships (State Game Agencies, State Forester, National Park Service, Appalachian Trail Conservancy)

• Developed and enhanced other partnerships (The Nature Conservancy)

• Met early and individually with County officials in each of our 17 Counties in Virginia and West Virginia
COLLABORATION

Reviewed the county plans and most had only general references to the National Forest

- Tourism
- Timber production
- Other jobs
- Connection opportunities for trails
Formation of a stakeholder group

- New group of old participants
- They saw a need and benefit for working together
- We participated and provided information, but did not lead and were not “voting” members
Stakeholders formula for success

• Excellent diversity of participants
• Chose their issues
• Developed rules and procedures
COLLABORATION
STAKEHOLDERS GROUP

Excellent diversity of participants

- Ruffed Grouse Society
- Virginia Wilderness Committee
- Virginia Forestry Association
- The Nature Conservancy
- Sierra Club
- Wild Virginia

- Southern Environmental Law Center
- Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries
- Trout Unlimited
- National Wild Turkey Federation
- Virginia Bear Hunters
- International Mountain Bicycling Association
COLLABORATION
STAKEHOLDERS GROUP

Chose their issues
• Timber harvest level
• Prescribed burning level
• Allocation of Potential Wilderness Areas
COLLABORATION
STAKEHOLDERS GROUP

Developed rules and procedures
• Adapted as they went
COLLABORATION
STAKEHOLDERS GROUP

• Able to generally come to an agreement on the issues they addressed
• Have a strong desire to continue to work together through implementation of the revised plan
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES

- ENERGY DEVELOPMENT
- CLEAN WATER
- RESTORATION
- NON-NATIVE INVASIVE SPECIES
- HABITAT CONNECTIVITY
- CLIMATE CHANGE
- INCREASING DEVELOPMENT
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES

ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

• Role of Federal lands in meeting energy demands
  • Particularly greener energy
• Marcellus gas issue developed from private land action
• Visual impacts and other impacts extend well beyond Forest boundary
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

• Strong interest from several County Boards of Supervisors
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
CLEAN WATER

• Key issue from the beginning of planning process
• Much interest from local counties and towns
• Good recognition of the relationship between the National Forest and water supplies
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES

RESTORATION

• Forest Plan is heavily based on ecological values
• Used the Region 8 Ecological Sustainability Evaluation tool to identify and evaluate ecological systems and individual species stresses, threats, and needs
• This tool is based on TNC’s Conservation Action Planning process
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES

RESTORATION

- Plan identified desired conditions and objectives for each ecological system on the Forest
- Additional objectives and standards established to meet species’ specific needs
- Land allocations to emphasize remote cores with active management in areas with good access
• Increased the acreage of special biological areas and geologic areas to protect rare communities
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
NON-NATIVE INVASIVE SPECIES

Management Approach in Forest Plan:
• Education of forest users
• Early detection and response strategy
• Aggressive treatment of established invasive species
  • Requires working beyond Forest boundaries, and continued work with partners.
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
CONNECTIVITY
Climate change strategies focus on:
1) reducing vulnerability by maintaining and restoring resilient native ecosystems;
2) providing watershed health;
3) providing carbon sinks for sequestration;
4) reducing existing stresses;
5) responding to demands for cleaner energy including renewable or alternative energy; and
6) providing sustainable operations and engaging in partnerships across landscapes and ownerships.
Reduce Vulnerability / Restore Resilient Native Ecosystems

- Increase the use of wildland fire
- Maintain or restore ecological conditions that are rare
- Manage to maintain connections of forested landscapes
- Manage to maintain remote settings for core reserves.
- Identify land adjustments for connectivity corridors.
ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
CLIMATE CHANGE

Watershed Health.

• Re-establish habitat connectivity in streams.
• Protect and restore beaver habitat, riparian forests
• Revegetate bare soil as soon as possible.
• Identify soils highly sensitive to acid deposition and nutrient loss.
• Relocate, close or decommission roads causing significant resource damage.
Sustainable Operations and Partnerships.

• Reduce environmental footprint and decrease the greenhouse gases emitted through day-to-day operations.

ISSUES THAT EXTEND ACROSS BOUNDARIES
INCREASING DEVELOPMENT

GWNF: most acreage of increases in housing density by 2030.

Significant implications for impacts on:
• native fish and wildlife;
• invasive species,
• recreation experiences;
• fire management;
• water quality; and
• law enforcement.
USDA FOREST SERVICE PLANNING RULE
REQUIREMENTS FOR PARTICIPATION AND
COORDINATION IN LAND MANAGEMENT
PLANNING AND REQUIREMENTS FOR
OPEN SPACE
What is the Planning Rule?

- All NFS units are required to have land management plans.
- A rule is required to provide procedures to amend, revise, and develop land management plans.
- Required by the National Forest Management Act (NFMA) of 1976.
- All plans must be revised every 10-15 years according to NFMA.
Focus of Our Discussion

National Forest Management Act

USFS Planning Rule

Land Management Plans (Forests/Grasslands)

Project or Activity Decision

USFS has revised the Planning Rule
What is in the Planning Rule?

- Minimum content of Plans
- When and how plans are revised
- When and how plans are amended
- Who makes the Plan decisions
- How the public is involved
- Analysis, assessment, or evaluation requirements
- How the decisions are subject to appeals or objections
- Relationship of Plans to Projects
Collaboration required throughout all phases of the framework
Participation in Planning

- Planning rule requires:
  - Engaging the public early and throughout the planning process, including required outreach to state, counties and local governments
  - Encourage states, counties, and other local governments to seek cooperating agency status in the NEPA process
  - Forest Service may participate in planning efforts of states, counties and local governments.
Coordination in Planning

- Planning rule requires Forest Service to:
  - Coordinate planning with related planning efforts of state and local governments.
  - In plan revision, review the planning and land use policies of state and local governments. Display results of the review in the EIS. Review includes:
    - Objectives of state and local governments
    - Compatibility of plans and policies
    - Opportunities to address impacts or contribute to joint objectives.
    - Opportunities to resolve or reduce conflicts
  - Respective governments retain decision authority
Assessments

Planning rule requires Forest Service to:

- Identify and evaluate conditions and trends based on existing information for 15 items, including:
  - Social, cultural, and economic conditions
  - Ecosystem services, multiple uses and their contributions to local, and national economies
  - Land status and ownership, use, and access patterns
- Provide opportunities for governments to provide information.
- Identify and consider information from state or local government assessments and plans
Plan components that govern consistency of projects:

- Desired Conditions
- Objectives
- Standards
- Guidelines
- Suitability of areas
- Where plan components apply - Management areas or geographic areas.
Other Plan content

- Identify distinctive role and contribution of the plan area in the broader landscape
- May describe management approaches, strategies, partnerships or coordination opportunities.
- Planning rule requires in the process of planning:
  - Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
  - Inventory and evaluation of areas for wilderness
  - Inventory and evaluation of Wild and Scenic Rivers and other designated areas
Plan components must

Within Forest Service Authority and the inherent capability of the land,

Provide for:

- Ecological Sustainability
- Provide for Species Diversity
- Social and Economic Sustainability
- Ecosystem Services and Multiple Uses (outdoor recreation, range, timber, watershed, wildlife, and fish)
Planning Process

Must consider the following:

- Plan area contribution to ecological conditions in the broader landscape
- Influences of the broader landscape on the ecosystems of the plan area
- Opportunities for landscape scale restoration
- Ecological connectivity
Planning Process

Must also consider:

- Social, cultural, and economic conditions relevant to area influenced by the plan
- Multiple Uses (recreation, timber, grazing, watershed, fish and wildlife and wilderness) that contribute to economies and ecosystem services
- Opportunities to coordinate with neighboring landowners to link open spaces and take into account joint management objectives
- Land status and ownership, use, and access patterns
Monitoring Program

- Monitoring at a broad (regional scale) and at the level of individual national forest or grassland.
- Monitoring program consists of questions and indicators
- Monitoring program should take into account monitoring of other governmental entities and may be designed as multi-party monitoring with state and local governments.
- Biennial monitoring evaluation report
Planning work under way

- 17 national forests in revision under previous rule (George Washington)
- 10 national forests in revision under new rule (Southern Sierra national forests)
- Proposed Directives released with greater detail on planning process and products. Final directives expected in March 2014.
- National level Federal Advisory Committee
Thanks for your Interest and Attention in National Forest Planning!
Jo Ann Fites-Kaufman
Southern Sierra Nevada National Forests Plan Revision - California
Open Space
New Planning Rule
Forest Plan Revision Approach
Pacific Southwest Region (California)

Jo Ann Fites-Kaufman, Ph.D.
Regional Planning Ecologist
6-4-2013
Region 5 Approach

- **Overall**
  - Integrating Social, Economic and Ecological Sustainability
  - Bioregional Context
  - Individual Forest Plan Revisions

- **Open Space**
  - All lands
  - Connectivity
  - Wildland Urban Interface
How we are integrating?

Externally

• Collaboration and Communication Plans
• Sierra Cascade Dialog
• Our Forest Place and Living Assessment (WIKI – topic papers)
• California Biodiversity Council
• Youth & Underrepresented Communities
• Tribal Consultation
Drivers and Stressors are interdependent important consideration in

Human Element influences

Land Management influences

Ecological System affects

Ecosystem Services contribute to improving conditions affect

CREATED BY: REGIONAL PLANNING TEAM FEBRUARY 5, 2013
Integration Tool Example

- influences
  - are interdependent
  - important consideration in
  - contribute to improving

- affects
  - conditions affect
Open Space

• Crosses all issues and lands
  • Wildland Urban Interface, fire, aesthetics, ecological integrity (connectivity, biodiversity), range
• Climate Vulnerability
• Energy and Emissions Policies
ASSESSING OPEN SPACE

Connectivity and Wildland Urban Interface
Ownership Patterns

Sierra Nevada and Cascades Region

10,000-ac Habitat Use Area by Ecosubregion

Percent Private Land in Habitat-Use Area
- 0-5%
- 5-25%
- 25-50%
- 50-75%
- 75-100%
- Waterbody

Map Credits for: CA Department of Fish and Game Wildlife Diversity Project 2003

Data Sources: Forest, Fish, and Game; California Research Agency Data Project 2006
Connectivity: Scale of Pattern Related to Home Range Size

1,000-ac Habitat Use Area

10,000-ac Habitat Use Area

25,000-ac Habitat Use Area

Percent Private Land in Habitat-Use Area

0-5%

5-25%

25-50%

50-75%

75-100%

Waterbody
All Species Connectivity Network Proposal by California State Agencies
Species at Risk: Movement and Re-establishment Across All Lands

25,000-ac Habitat Use Area

Fisher Observation Locations

Percent Private Land in Habitat-Use Area
- 0-5%
- 5-25%
- 25-50%
- 50-75%
- 75-100%

Waterbody

Fisher Potential Habitat and Corridors
- Predicted probability of den occurrence >= 0.4
- Cores (predicted probability of occurrence >= 0.4, area >= 2500 ha)
- Normalized least cost corridors (0-10 km distance weighted)

- Rivers
- Lakes
- National Forests
- National Parks/Monuments
- Outside Study Area
- Major Cities
- Smaller Cities and Towns
- Interstate, US, State Hwys
- Secondary State, County, Local Rds

Map showing the habitat use area and fisher observation locations in California. The map highlights the distribution of private land and fisher potential habitat and corridors.
Example WUI

• Collaborative – multi-agency analysis
  • Fire Behavior and human safety
  • Ecological fire resilience
• County & Fire Safe Council Plans
• Mapping
  • Agree on generalities, specifics tougher
  • What is it? Where is it?
  • What are the conditions? (Fuel hazard)
Treated Inner WUI
And Untreated Outer WUI
South Lake Tahoe,
Angora Fire

Wildland Urban Interface* (WUI)

* Derived from California Fire and Range Assessment Program (2010)
Wildland Context – Forested easier than Grassland and Sagebrush
Smoke –
Sequoia National Forest and Park

Setting: very tough emission standards

Tradeoffs:
During Wildfires
Pre-treatment
Difficulties

- All lands data on fuels in WUI is not available
- Different agencies collect differently
- Cannot assess together at this time

Dealing with Difficulties?

KEEP TALKING and Working toward shared data
Questions and Answers

Ask questions through the chat pod
Give us your feedback!

www.fs.fed.us/openspace/webinars

Or Contact
Susan Stein – sstein@fs.fed.us
Sara Comas - scomas@fs.fed.us
Rick Pringle – rpringle@fs.fed.us
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