



Forests of Massachusetts, 2014

This report provides an overview of forest resources in Massachusetts based on an inventory conducted by the U.S. Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) program of the Northern Research Station. Estimates are based on field data collected using the FIA annualized sample design. Results are for the measurement years 2009-2014 with comparisons made to 2005-2009¹ (see footnote on bottom of page 2). Forest resource measurements were taken on 524 plots with about 20 percent of the plots measured each year. Estimates will be updated and published annually.

For core tables and more information, including definitions and technical details, please refer to the inventory citations on page 4 of this report or visit <http://fia.fs.fed.us>.

Overview

As of 2014, Massachusetts has an estimated 3.0 million acres of forest land (Table 1). The forest land area has not substantially changed since 2009. The estimated number of live trees on Massachusetts forest land in 2014 is 1.6 billion trees containing a total aboveground biomass of 218 million tons. The estimated volume of trees, ≥5 inch diameter at breast height, is 8.4 billion ft³. The estimated annual net growth of these trees is 169 million ft³/yr with annual mortality, harvest removals, and other removals, such as land clearing, of 74, 34, and 5 million ft³/yr, respectively.

Table 1.—Massachusetts forest statistics, change between 2005-2009 and 2009-2014

	2009 Estimate	Sampling error (percent)	2014 Estimate	Sampling error (percent)	Change since 2009 (percent)
Forest Land					
Area (thousand acres)	3,001.7	1.7	3,032.4	1.5	1.0
Number of live trees ≥1 in diameter (million trees)	1,519.3	3.0	1,588.6	3.0	4.6
Live tree aboveground biomass (thousand oven-dry tons)	204,291.8	2.4	217,761.4	2.1	6.6
Net volume live trees ≥5 in diameter (million ft ³)	7,854.1	2.6	8,425.7	2.4	7.3
Net growth live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	160,218.1	11.5	169,038.9	7.5	5.5
Annual mortality of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	59,944.5	14.4	73,907.7	9.2	23.3
Annual harvest removals of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	32,549.0	40.4	33,686.3	25.3	3.5
Annual other removals of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	13,857.1	45.6	5,457.7	38.6	-60.6
Timberland					
Area (thousand acres)	2,838.0	2.0	2,900.3	1.8	2.2
Number of live trees ≥1 in diameter (million trees)	1,448.7	3.2	1,534.0	3.2	5.9
Live tree aboveground biomass (thousand oven-dry tons)	196,529.6	2.6	209,543.4	2.3	6.6
Net volume live trees ≥5 in diameter (million ft ³)	7,583.7	2.8	8,135.0	2.5	7.3
Net volume of growing stock trees (million ft ³)	6,882.2	2.9	7,336.3	2.7	6.6
Net growth live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	145,146.3	10.5	145,196.7	7.0	0.0
Annual mortality of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	38,150.5	16.6	49,791.0	10.3	30.5
Annual harvest removals of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	26,837.7	38.4	27,193.0	26.0	1.3
Annual other removals of live trees ≥5 in (thousand ft ³ /yr)	11,686.3	47.0	5,354.5	69.3	-54.2



Forest Area




Figure 1.—Forest and other land cover, Massachusetts, 2011.
Source: National Land Cover Database (Jin et al. 2013).

An estimated 61 percent of the land area of Massachusetts meets the FIA definition of forest land. This forest land is not evenly distributed across the Commonwealth (Fig. 1). The distribution is largely determined by development patterns and, to a lesser extent, arable lands – if left alone, most land in the Commonwealth would naturally revert to forest. Areas surrounding Boston, Springfield, and Worcester, along the coast and the major transportation corridors have the lowest occurrences of forest land.

The area of forest land in Massachusetts has decreased from an estimated 3,288,000 acres of in 1952, the first year FIA started collecting data in the Commonwealth, to an estimated 3,032,000 acres in 2014, the nominal year of the most recent inventory results (Fig. 2). The general decrease from the earliest estimates is presumably due to increased development. The difference between the forest land estimates for 2009 and 2014 are not substantially different, but FIA will continue to monitor this trend to see if the economic recession or other factors may be allowing increased reversion of nonforest land to forest land.

There have been relatively few stand replacing events over the past few decades and this has resulted in the percentage of the forest land that is in the largest stand size class² steadily increasing (Fig. 3). This has important implications for forest resilience (i.e., the ability of the forests to withstand severe weather events or insect infestations), wildlife habitat, and other ecological functions.




Figure 2.—Area of forest land and timberland, Massachusetts, 1952-2014.

Note: Sampling errors and error bars shown in the tables and figures in this report represent 68 percent confidence intervals for the estimated values.




Figure 3.—Area of timberland by stand-size class², Massachusetts, 1972-2014.

¹One-fifth of the plots were measured annually from 1999 thru 2013 resulting in a complete set of samples for every 5 years of data collection. In 2014, this 5-year cycle was changed to 7 years, wherein 1/7th of the plots are measured annually. The complete set of plots will be retained. All inventory estimates (both current and change) will continue to be based on the most recent measurements and remeasurements taken on these plots.

²Small: dominated by trees less than 5.0 inches diameter at breast height (d.b.h.); Medium: dominated by trees 5.0 to 8.9 inches d.b.h. for softwoods and 5.0 to 10.9 inches d.b.h. for hardwoods; Large: dominated by trees ≥9.0 inches for softwoods and 11.0 inches d.b.h. for hardwoods.

Forest Composition

There are many different ways to characterize the composition of forests, three are presented here: forest-type groups, volume, and numbers of trees. Each provides a somewhat different view of the resource and there are many other potential metrics that can be examined.

Forest-type groups are amalgamations of forest types which are based on the plurality of trees within the plot/condition. In Massachusetts, the oak/hickory and maple/beech/birch are the most common forest type groups, representing 34 and 24 percent of the Commonwealth's forest land, respectively (Fig. 4).




Figure 4.—Area of forest land by forest-type group, Massachusetts, 2009-2014. * Represented by the pitch pine forest type in Massachusetts.

The forests of Massachusetts contain a wide variety of tree species, with 72 species observed on the FIA plots inventoried between 2009 and 2014. In terms of total volume (Table 2) eastern white pine is the most common tree in the Commonwealth, but in terms of number of stems (Fig. 5) red maple is the most common. Rankings of the next most common species vary depending on whether volume or number of trees are examined, but in addition to these two species includes a number of oak species, eastern hemlock, sugar maple, white ash, and sweet birch. Collectively, the 10 most common tree species account for 84 percent of the volume of the live trees and 75 percent of the number of trees in the Commonwealth.




Figure 5.—Number of trees ≥ 1 in diameter by species, Massachusetts, 2009-2014.

Table 2.—Top 10 trees species by volume estimates, Massachusetts, 2009-2014

Rank	Species	Volume of live trees on forest land (million ft ³)	Sampling error (%)	Change since 2009 (%)	Volume of sawtimber trees on timberland (million board ft)	Sampling error (%)	Change since 2009 (%)
1	Eastern white pine	2,099.8	7.8	13.2	9,291.2	8.5	17.0
2	Red maple	1439.1	5.8	4.3	3018.9	8.6	7.5
3	Northern red oak	988.8	8.2	9.4	3,633.5	9.1	11.0
4	Eastern hemlock	858	10.3	7.5	2278.6	11.9	4.2
5	Black oak	353.6	10.5	8.8	1,120.0	13.6	14.7
6	Sugar maple	320.2	12.8	-3.5	788.4	15.5	-1.9
7	White ash	305.7	14.0	-0.9	993.2	17.7	2.3
8	Sweet birch	269.1	11.5	12.1	568.2	17.1	15.4
9	White oak	243.4	10.3	15.1	744.5	14.2	18.8
10	Scarlet oak	225.6	13.2	2.7	645.6	15.6	1.5
	Other softwoods	223.2	15.2	8.7	547.0	18.2	14.3
	Other hardwoods	1099.4	6.2	2.4	2840.6	9.2	6.3
	All species	8,425.7	2.4	7.3	26,469.6	3.5	10.9

Emerald Ash Borer and the Ash Resource in Massachusetts



Emerald ash borer. Photo by Leah Bauer,
U.S. Forest Service, Bugwood.org

The emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*; EAB) was first identified in western Massachusetts in 2012. Native to Asia, this wood-boring beetle is a pest of ash and all major ash species are susceptible regardless of size or vigor (Poland and McCullough 2006). Tree mortality is rapid, occurring within 1 to 4 years depending on tree size and beetle intensity. It has recently been found to also colonize white fringetree (*Chionanthus virginicus*), a native tree of the southern United States. (Cipollini 2015).

Literature Cited

- Bechtold, W.A.; Patterson, P.L., eds. 2005. **The enhanced Forest Inventory and Analysis program: national sampling design and estimation procedures.** Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-80. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 85 p.
- Cipollini, D. 2015. White fringetree as a novel larval host for emerald ash borer. **Journal of Economic Entomology.** 108(1): 370-375.
- Jin, S.; Yang, L.; Danielson, P.; Homer, C.; Fry, J.; Xian, G. 2013. A comprehensive change detection method for updating the National Land Cover database to circa 2011. **Remote Sensing of Environment.** 132:159-175.
- O'Connell, B.M.; LaPoint, E.B.; Turner, J.A.; Ridley, T.; Boyer, D.; Wilson, A.M.; Waddell, K.L.; Pugh, S.A.; Conkling, B.L. 2013. **The Forest Inventory and Analysis database: database description and users manual version 5.16 for Phase 2.** Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 564 p.
- Poland, T.M.; McCullough, D.G. 2006. **Emerald ash borer: invasion of the urban forest and the threat to North America's ash resource.** J. For. 104(3): 118-124.

How to Cite This Publication

Butler, Brett J.; Crocker, Susan J. 2015. **Forests of Massachusetts, 2014.** Resource Update FS-57. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. 4 p.

White ash (*Fraxinus americana*) is the dominant ash species in Massachusetts. There are an estimated 39.5 million white ash trees (≥ 1 -inch d.b.h.) that account for 305.7 million ft³ of volume in the forests of Massachusetts. The highest ash densities are in the western half of the Commonwealth (Fig. 6). White ash annual mortality is currently at 0.9 percent across the Commonwealth and this percentage is anticipated to increase substantially as EAB becomes more established. There are also many ash trees in nonforest areas, such as street and yard trees, that will be impacted by EAB.

EAB has caused extensive ash mortality throughout the northeastern United States and represents a significant threat to Massachusetts' ash resource. Continued monitoring will help to identify the long-term impacts of EAB. Information about EAB identification, current status, steps to prevent further spread (including not moving firewood), and potential treatments can be found at: www.emeraldashborer.info.




Figure 6.—Relative abundance of white ash in Massachusetts.

Contact Information

Brett J. Butler, Research Forester
USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station
160 Holdsworth Way
Amherst, MA 01002
Ph: 413-545-1387 / Fax: 413-545-1860
bbutler01@fs.fed.us
Northern FIA: <http://nrs.fs.fed.us/fia/>
National FIA: <http://fia.fs.fed.us>

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer