Growth of canopy red oak near its northern range limit: current trends, potential drivers, and implications for the future
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Abstract: Red oak (Quercus rubra L.) is projected to expand into the northern hardwood forest over the coming century. We explored the connection between red oak basal area growth and a number of factors (tree age and size, stand dynamics, site elevation, and climate and acid deposition variables) for 213 trees in 11 plots throughout Vermont, USA. Red oak growth generally increased over the course of the chronology (1935–2014) and has been particularly high in recent decades. Growth differed among elevational groups but did not differ between age or size groups. Summer moisture metrics were consistently and positively associated with growth, whereas fall moisture was associated with reduced growth in recent decades. Higher summer temperatures were often negatively associated with growth, though there was evidence that low temperatures in the summer (higher elevations) and fall (lower elevations) constrain growth. Several pollution metrics were associated with reduced growth, a surprising result for a species not known to be sensitive to inputs of acid deposition that have predisposed other species in the region to decline. While red oak growth is currently robust, increases in summer temperatures, reductions in growing season precipitation, or increases in fall precipitation could reduce future growth potential.
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Introduction

As climate change progresses, efforts are underway to understand how and when forests and constituent tree species will respond. Numerous studies have applied models to estimate the composition and dynamics of future forests and help in resilience planning (e.g., Gray and Hamann 2013; Iversen et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017); however, with any model, some fundamental limitations exist in accounting for the future performance of any given species. In particular, empirical evidence is needed to evaluate the fitness and climate response of species projected to experience changes in future suitable habitat.

Areas of noteworthy interest for answering questions around shifting tree performance are transition zones, where different forest types converge. In the northeastern United States (US), the state of Vermont (VT) is one such area where forest types mix (Cleland et al. 2007). Here, maple–beech–birch forests currently predominate, but climate conditions are projected to shift towards those favoring oak–hickory forests (a forest type that currently provides the greatest total live-tree biomass on US timberland; Oswalt et al. 2014) over time as the climate warms. Indeed, by the year 2100, the oak–hickory forest, which includes northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), is anticipated to gain a significant amount of suitable habitat within the northeastern US under all atmospheric carbon dioxide emission scenarios (Prasad et al. 2007–ongoing). For red oak specifically, increases in growing season (May through September) temperatures are projected to have a particularly strong influence on improving habitat suitabil-
ity in the north (Prasad et al. 2007–ongoing), though this awaits field verification.

Anthropogenic drivers other than climate can also have a detectable influence on tree growth. For example, defoliation from introduced gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar (Linnaeus, 1758)) larvae have periodically been associated with significant reductions in radial growth within eastern forests (e.g., Naidoo and Lechowicz 2001), though this has historically had less of an influence in VT (Vermont Department of Forests Parks and Recreation 2019). Acid deposition has also been shown to predispose a number of northeastern tree species to health and productivity declines (e.g., Kosiba et al. 2018; Schaberg et al. 2001; Schaberg et al. 2010), though detrimental effects on oak growth have not yet been documented (e.g., Levesque et al. 2017; LeBlanc 1998). Furthermore, red oak’s ability to expand regionally likely also depends on the legacies of changing land use, including the reduced prevalence of fire that might have favored oak regeneration previously (Nowacki and Abrams 2008).

Numerous factors may differentially impact the growth of red oak within the northern forest. Tree-ring data can provide valuable evidence with its unique ability to document growth data necessary to develop historical relationships between tree growth and climate. With a chronology spanning decades to centuries, tree rings can highlight how these relationships have shifted in accordance with changes in environmental cues, notably climate (Bishop et al. 2015). Moreover, trees near a species’ range limit may provide a particularly good indication of possible responses because these populations are often climate sensitive (e.g., Hacket-Pain et al. 2015; Pederson et al. 2004).

Our objective for this study was to identify the climate and potential pollution variables that have been best associated with red oak growth. We measured tree rings for 213 dominant and co-dominant red oak trees at 11 VT sites and statistically compared growth with tree and stand characteristics and regional climate and pollutant deposition data. Because red oak wood is ring-porous, exhibiting a bimodal distribution of vessels (Woodcock 1989), xylem growth can be easily partitioned into earlywood (EW) and latewood (LW) growth, as well as whole ring widths (WRW). A variety of EW vessel parameters (i.e., the width, area, and number of vessels) have been shown to be especially sensitive to different climate factors as compared with measures of LW or WRW (e.g., Tardif and Conciantori 2006a). Therefore, we evaluated relationships between WRW, LW, and EW growth and environmental factors that may influence growth. Overall, we hypothesized that

(H_1) growth will be positively related to growing season temperature (mean, minimum, and possibly maximum) because sampled populations are near the northern edge of the species’ range (Burns and Honkala 1990);

(H_2) growth will be positively related to moisture variables because the species is vulnerable to catastrophic xylem embolism (Cochard and Tyree 1990);

(H_3) growth will not be related to sulfur and nitrogen deposition inputs because red oak is not known to be sensitive to acid deposition (LeBlanc 1998); and

(H_4) growth response will differ little based on tree and site characteristics (e.g., tree age, size, and stand elevation) because most sites likely originated during a similar period of agricultural land abandonment (Foster 1992) and because oak occupy a relatively uniform elevational band within VT (Gudex-Cross et al. 2019).

Methods

Study area

The study area is located in western VT, ranging in latitude from 42.75°N to 44.82°N and in longitude from 73.02°W to 73.24°W (Fig. 1), situated in the western valleys of the Green Mountains. Mean temperature (1935–2014) is warmest in July (19.5 °C) and coldest in January (–8.5 °C); mean annual precipitation is 108 cm, with 48% of precipitation falling from May through September (Fig. 2; NOAA National Climatic Data Center 2018). Over the past century in this region, both temperature and total annual precipitation have increased (Janowiak et al. 2018). Within this area, 11 second-growth forest stands at varying elevations that have recovered from intensive land use in the early 20th century were selected for study. Soils at the 11 sites are loamy or coarse-loamy, derived from glacial till (Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture 2019). We were not selective in choosing sites with specific intrinsc characteristics such as aspect, soil type, or elevation; rather, we were interested in choosing sites that would provide a broad representation of mature red oak trees in the northern part of the species’ range. We avoided areas with a known history of management over the past several decades, because human disturbance can influence and obscure other potential drivers of growth (e.g., climatic or pollutant). In addition to red oak, other deciduous tree species at these sites included Quercus alba L., Fagus grandifolia Ehrh., and Acer rubrum L., and coniferous species included Pinus strobus L. and Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carrière.

Dendrochronological techniques

The goal of this study was to assess the possible sensitivities of red oak growth to climate and pollution factors in the species’ northern range. To better isolate environmental signals in this species, we focused on sampling dominant and co-dominant trees rather than conducting a complete ecological analysis that included intermediate and suppressed trees. The growth of intermediate and suppressed trees would include trends such as those related to competition, which could obscure the environmental signals that we were seeking to understand. Thus, to detect the influence of the environmental factors on red oak growth, we collected increment cores only from dominant and co-dominant red oak trees (n = 213) following standard dendrochronological techniques (Stokes and Smiley 1968). Two xylem increment cores (5 mm in diameter) were collected from each tree at breast height (1.37 m above ground level), 180° from each other and perpendicular to the slope. Between 15 and 20 trees were cored per site for all but three sites (Supplementary Table S1).1 Trees with observablebole or crown damage were avoided to better characterize mean growth and minimize the influence of nonclimatic factors on growth.

Following collection, cores were oven-dried, mounted, and sanded with progressively finer grit sandpaper (ranging from 220 to 800 grit). Annual whole-ring increments were visually cross-dated using the list method (Yamaguchi 1991) and microscopically measured to 0.001 mm resolution using a Velmx sliding stage unit (Velmx Inc., Bloomfield, NY, USA) with MeasureJ2X v. 5.05 software (Velmex Consulting, Holderness, N.H., USA). EW and LW widths were also visually partitioned and measured, as EW is composed of visibly larger vessels (García-González et al. 2016). The program COFECHA v. 6.06 was used to statistically detect and correct for cross-dating errors in the whole-ring series (Holmes 1983). Dendrochronological statistics such as series intercorrelation, autocorrelation, and average mean sensitivity (but see Bunn et al. 2013) were determined, and the expressed population signal (EPS) was calculated based on the equation presented by Wigley et al. (1984). EPS

1Supplementary data are available with the article through the journal Web site at http://nrcresearchpress.com/doi/suppl/10.1139/cjfr-2019-0200.
values (cutoff of 0.80) determined the time period (1935 onward) over which all plot chronologies maintained a robust stand-wide signal (but see Buras 2017). Individual cores were occasionally discarded from a site chronology if they correlated poorly with the master chronology (i.e., below Pearson critical correlation 99% confidence levels) due to unusual growth trends that were not representative of overall site growth. Approximate tree age at breast height was calculated using the maximum number of rings per tree if the pith was evident. If the pith was not visible, core age was estimated using a pith estimator (Speer 2010) based on the curvature of the innermost annual rings. Age was not assessed for incomplete cores that did not exhibit ring sequence curvature.

**Statistical analyses**

To determine if any stand-wide release events occurred over the period of the chronologies, individual tree growth (raw ring width, RRW) was analyzed per plot using the radial-growth averaging criteria established by Nowacki and Abrams (1997). A 10-year running median with a 50% threshold was employed to detect major release events, with a stand-wide release defined as ≥25% of the trees experiencing a major release within the same decade (Nowacki and Abrams 1997). Release events were discerned using the TRADER package for R (function growthAveragingALL; Altman et al. 2014).

RRW measurements for both cores were averaged per tree and standardized using two methods. Standardization creates a dimensionless index by dividing the observed ring widths by the expected value of a detrended chronology (Fritts 1976). We first
calculated basal area increment (BAI), which converts diameter increments (cm-year\(^{-1}\)) into area increments (cm\(^2\)-year\(^{-1}\)) to minimize age-size growth trends (West 1980). BAI chronologies were calculated per site and across all sites to create a study-wide chronology (functions bai.out and chron in R package dplR; Bunn et al. 2016). For our second RRW standardization, we created ring width indices (RWIs) using a 67.9\% cubic smoothing spline with a 50\% frequency response cutoff using the detrend function in the R package dplR (Bunn et al. 2016). We chose this spline method because it removes low-frequency variance and smooths out the growth trends considered noise (e.g., the result of stand-wide release events) while leaving in climate signals (Cook and Peters 1981). Autoregressive modeling was performed on the standardized series to reduce the influence of endogenous disturbances, remove the effects of temporal autocorrelation (first-order autocorrelations), and enhance the common signal (Cook 1985), resulting in prewhitened (residual) chronologies. We utilized residual chronologies because through detrending, standardizing, and prewhitening, this type of chronology removes the most disturbance related growth, and the remaining interannual variance can be related to exogenous factors (Cook and Peters 1997; Cook 1985). Annual mean values of growth were computed using Tukey’s biweight robust mean, which minimizes the effect of outliers (Cook and Kairiukstis 1990) and increases the common signal. Residual RWI chronologies were calculated per site, across all sites to create a state-wide chronology, and then truncated to the common period of 1935 to 2014 based on EPS results. A mean RWI chronology was calculated for WRW and was also developed for EW and LW growth, as these portions of woody growth can be sensitive to different climatic drivers (García-González et al. 2016).

### Climate and pollutant deposition data

A wide range of climatic variables were evaluated for possible associations with red oak growth (Supplementary Table S2).\(^1\) To evaluate moisture variables, data from the standardized precipitation-evapotranspiration index (SPEI) were obtained for individual sites and averaged to create a state-wide SPEI dataset at four time steps (Supplementary Table S2; Vicente-Serrano et al. 2017). SPEI is a moisture index that accounts for precipitation and potential evapotranspiration in estimating drought and includes the impact of increasing temperatures on water demand (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010). Only the 1- and 3-month time steps (SPEI01 and SPEI03, respectively) were used for further analyses. These variables have less temporal memory compared with SPEI06 and SPEI09 and can also highlight the importance of an entire season (SPEI03) or point to the significance of a specific period within a season (SPEI01). Similarly, although direct precipitation data were also initially assessed, they were not used in final analyses because they were not independent of the more ecologically significant SPEI measures. A total time span for the climate and SPEI datasets of 1934–2014 was selected to match that of the tree-ring data.

To evaluate temperature variables, we acquired climate data from the National Climatic Data Center (NOAA National Climatic Data Center 2018) for VT Climate Division 2 (Western Division), because our sites spanned this division’s latitudinal gradient. As we were interested in identifying a broad climate signal across all sites, climate division data were used to provide more uniform variables that could be interpreted beyond the individual site level. We focused on two variables to reduce redundancy and intercorrelation of climatic data: monthly maximum temperature (\(T_{\text{max}}\)) and monthly minimum temperature (\(T_{\text{min}}\)), from which we also created seasonal (winter, December–February; spring, March–May; summer, June–August; fall, September–November) and water-year (Wyr, previous October – current September) metrics. We also assessed two heat indices: heating degree days (HDD) and cooling degree days (CDD). HDD is a gauge of low-temperature exposure calculated as the number of degrees that a day’s mean temperature is below 18.3 °C (65 °F). Conversely, CDD is a measure of high-temperature exposure calculated as the number of degrees that a day’s mean temperature is above 18.3 °C (65 °F). Although HDD and CDD were devised for evaluating building heating and cooling requirements, they have been shown to also relate to tree growth and physiology and can be used as proxies for higher or lower temperature exposure over time (e.g., Kosiba et al. 2018).

Pollutant deposition data (\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, \text{NO}_3^-, \text{cation-to-anion ratio}, \text{and rainfall pH}\)) were obtained from two sources: two National Atmospheric Deposition Program (National Atmospheric Deposition Program 2017) sites in VT (Underhill and Bennington) and the Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study in New Hampshire (Likens 2016). Data from the two VT sites (1982–2014) were averaged and then regressed against deposition data from New Hampshire (1965–2012) to extend the VT data back from 1982 to 1965. The resulting total time span used for the combined dataset was 1974–2014 (Supplementary Table S2),\(^1\) which corresponded with the second half of the chronology.

### Correlations and model building

Stationary correlation functions were calculated using Pearson’s correlations (function dcc, R package treeclim; Zang and Biondi 2015) using bootstrap resampling (1000 bootstrap samples; Biondi and Waikuul 2004). Correlations were conducted between monthly, seasonal, and Wyr climate data and the extent of the regional RWI chronologies (1935–2014), spanning an 18-month window from the previous year’s June to the current year’s November, to allow us to explore the effect of the previous year’s climate and pollution deposition on the following year’s growth. To evaluate potential changes in significant correlations over time, we also conducted moving correlation functions with a window of 25 years for the same set of variables (R package treeclim; Zang and Biondi 2015). In addition, because deposition data were not available for the entire chronology, we were able to assess the influence of pollution on growth during the second half of the chronology (1975–2014). Correlations were calculated with monthly, seasonal, and Wyr pollution deposition data.

Significant correlation variables were then utilized to build a series of plausible models for the entire tree-ring chronology (1935–2014), using a generalized linear model approach with Gaussian distribution. For model inputs, we only used variables with a correlation \(\alpha = 0.01\) to limit the number of significant variables, reduce redundancy, and focus on the most powerful relationships. A null model (intercept only) was built to serve as a benchmark for comparing relative model fit with an uninformed model. Pollution deposition variables were not included in models as data were not available for the entire time period.

Models were assessed for multicollinearity using variance inflation factors (VIF; Marquardt 1970) by applying the function vif in the R package car (Fox and Weisberg 2011). Models with a high VIF (>2) were removed. From the remaining models with VIF < 2, the model with the lowest Akaikes information criterion (AIC; Akaike 1974) was selected. When \(\Delta\text{AIC}\) between models was >2, the most parsimonious one was chosen. \(P\) values, which identify the significance of each model by comparing the reduced deviance between the null and candidate models, were computed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with an \(F\) distribution. Adjusted \(R^2\) was calculated as the squared correlation between predicted and observed values.

### Results

#### General growth trends: BAI and RWI

Across all 11 sites, red oak trees had an estimated median age range of 83–149 years and a mean diameter at breast height (DBH) range of 32.2 to 55.3 cm (Supplementary Table S1).\(^1\) One site (Castleton) exhibited a stand-wide release event of unknown origin in 1954–1964. From 1935–2014, red oak growth (BAI) generally
increased \( (P < 0.0001) \), with maximum growth in 2014 at 26.2 cm\(^2\) (Fig. 3). Temporary reductions in growth were evident after multiple regional stress events: drought in 1964 and 1965 (NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information 2018); gypsy moth defoliation in 1977 and 1991; the 1998 ice storm; and a late spring frost event in 2010 (Vermont Department of Forests and Parks and Recreation 2019). Sites were partitioned into two approximately equal groups based on mean tree size (44.3 cm), median age (102 years), and median elevation (258 m). Regarding tree size and age, there was no difference in BAI slope over time for stand-level chronologies (1935–2014); however, there was a difference in statistical slope between elevation groups: lower elevation (<258 m) sites showed a greater difference in BAI slope over time for stand-level chronologies (1935–2014); however, there was a difference in statistical slope between elevation groups: lower elevation (<258 m) sites showed a greater rate of increased growth over the length of the chronology than red oak sites at higher elevations (>258 m; \( r = 2.47, P = 0.04 \)).

Chronology curves for WRW and LW (Fig. 4) were very similar \( (r = 0.98) \), suggesting that yearly variations in WRW may have arisen from changes in LW growth. The EW chronology exhibited considerably less year-to-year variability than WRW or LW growth \( (r = 0.29 \text{ and } r = 0.15, \text{ respectively}) \).

**Climate–growth and deposition–growth correlations**

**Patterns for WRW, EW, and LW chronologies**

Moisture-related variables during the summer months were consistently associated with red oak growth (Fig. 5). For the 1935–2014 period, June and July SPEI01 \( (r = 0.40 \text{ and } r = 0.36, \text{ respectively}; P \leq 0.01) \) were significantly related to WRW growth. Seasonal summer moisture variables were also important metrics: SPEI03 for June, July, and August \( (r = 0.32, 0.41, \text{ and } 0.34, \text{ respectively}; P \leq 0.01) \). In addition to moisture variables, June \( T_{	ext{max}} \) showed a negative correlation with growth \( (r = -0.39, P \leq 0.01) \), as did June CDD, a measure of accrued heat \( (r = -0.27, P \leq 0.01; \text{ Fig. 6}) \). June HDD, a measure of accumulated cold, exhibited a positive correlation with WRW \( (r = 0.26, P \leq 0.01) \), highlighting the same influence of temperature (Fig. 6). WRW showed a negative correlation (1975–2014) with July \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) \( (r = -0.29, P \leq 0.05; \text{ Fig. 5}) \). The LW chronology exhibited similar patterns to the WRW chronology, but with generally stronger correlations and three additional correlations with \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) (1975–2014): previous November \( (r = -0.25, P \leq 0.05) \), June \( (r = -0.30, P \leq 0.05) \), and summer \( (r = -0.26, P \leq 0.05; \text{ Fig. 5}) \).

**WRW patterns based on elevation**

To understand how climate response may vary among sites, we compared climate–growth and deposition–growth correlations between lower and higher elevation sites (Figs. 7, 8, 9). Certain correlations highlighted differences between the elevational groupings: growth (1935–2014) at low-elevation sites was negatively correlated with HDD from the previous October \( (r = -0.28, P \leq 0.01) \), and growth at high-elevation sites was positively correlated with \( T_{	ext{min}} \) from August \( (r = 0.28, P \leq 0.01) \). Growth (1975–2014) at low-elevation sites showed a greater sensitivity to pollution parameters than at high-elevation sites (Fig. 9). Low-elevation growth included negative correlations with \( \text{NO}_3^- \) from April \( (r = -0.34, P \leq 0.01) \), \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) from June \( (r = -0.32, P \leq 0.01) \), and the cation-to-anion ratio from the previous September \( (r = 0.29, P \leq 0.01) \).

**Changes in WRW patterns through time**

Moving climate–growth correlations highlighted additional significant correlations in recent decades. For example, while only \( T_{	ext{max}} \) from June was significant for the whole chronology, starting in the mid-1970s, \( T_{	ext{max}} \) from July \( (r \text{ value ranging from } -0.30 \text{ to } -0.54; P \leq 0.01) \) and summer \( (r \text{ value ranging from } -0.51 \text{ to } -0.67; P \leq 0.05) \) were also significant (Fig. 10). HDD, which exhibited significant negative correlations with growth in the beginning of the chronology, were characterized by the opposite sign starting in the mid-1970s \( (r \text{ value ranging from } 0.32 \text{ to } 0.41; P \leq 0.05; \text{ Fig. 10}) \). A new relationship emerged as significant during the mid-1970s as well: a negative correlation with fall moisture (October SPEI03, \( r \text{ value ranging from } -0.24 \text{ to } -0.50; \text{ November SPEI03, } r \text{ value ranging from } -0.21 \text{ to } -0.60; P \leq 0.05) \).
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metrics, indicating that previous years’ water availability appears
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Growth models
All moisture predictors exhibited a positive relationship with
growth, while temperature displayed a negative relationship with
growth (Table 1). Based on AIC, the model for 1935–2014 that perfor-
med best included summer moisture (July SPEI03) and June
max (T = 14.277, P < 0.0001). For a complete listing of the candidate
models assessed, see Supplementary Table S3.1

Discussion
Climate–growth relationships
Red oak has been growing well throughout VT, reaching its
highest level of growth during the most recent decades. This BAI
trend describes growth for dominant and co-dominant red oak,
which could overestimate growth for intermediate and sup-
pressed trees (Nehrbass-Ahles et al. 2014). This evidence of a sus-
tained rise in growth throughout the chronology is, however, in
agreement with studies that have observed increasing growth
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northern red oak trees in the canopy (Foster et al. 2014), and across
various age classes (Johnson and Abrams 2009). Summer moisture
parameters were some of the most important environmental vari-
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general summer moisture (June, July, and August SPEI03). Indeed,
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Fig. 4. Ring width index (RWI) of red oak earlywood (EW), latwood (LW), and whole ring width (WRW) across all 11 Vermont sites. Inserted
table shows correlation coefficients between each of the three chronologies.
through a consequential decrease in water availability, may impose a greater influence on red oak growth in the future. Starting in the mid-1970s, growth was correlated with not only June $T_{\text{max}}$, but also July $T_{\text{max}}$ and summer $T_{\text{max}}$, suggesting that overall warmer summer temperatures — or associated increases in evaporative demand — may be having a greater impact on growth in recent years. In addition, cooler summers in recent decades are associated with increased growth, as evidenced by positive correlations with August HDD during the past 40 years. Alternatively, the northeastern US is experiencing an ongoing pluvial event (Pederson et al. 2013), suggesting that the increase in rainfall may have caused temperature to become the more limiting factor in recent decades.

Our findings on drivers of red oak growth overlap with results reported by the Climate Change Tree Atlas (CCTA), which ranks the most important factors that describe future habitat suitability for tree species in the US (Prasad et al. 2007–ongoing). Annual precipitation is an important predictor of red oak habitat in the CCTA, though not specific to the months and seasons that we found to be significant. Mean May–September temperature and mean July temperature are also key predictors of suitable habitat for red oak throughout its range in the CCTA. Elevation, another red oak habitat predictor in the CCTA, featured prominently in our findings as well; low-elevation stands appeared more responsive to moisture and pollution deposition levels, whereas high-elevation plots appeared more sensitive to temperature. Additionally, the CCTA finds multiple soil factors influential for defining red oak suitable habitat. We explored possible links between similar soil parameters (e.g., available water capacity and depth to bedrock) and xylem growth for our study sites; however, with our sample size and the coarseness of available soil data, there were few apparent differences in soil characteristics among our 11 sites to compare with growth. It is possible that with finer scaled soil data and a larger sample size, soil factors may also be identified as significant modifiers of red oak growth in the region, as soil factors have been found to be a factor in drought responses of trees (Kannenberg et al. 2019).

### Pollution deposition–growth correlations

The influence of pollution deposition on growth was evident as negative correlations between $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ inputs on WRW and especially LW growth (Fig. 5). Deposition–growth correlations (evident as relationships with $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{NO}_3^-$, pH, and cation-to-anion ratio of rainfall) also differed by elevational group (Fig. 9). Notably, low-elevation red oak sites displayed a number of significant correlations with deposition, while high-elevation sites did not show any. Pollutant deposition has previously been documented as being detrimental to the growth of other tree species in the Northeast — notably red spruce (Picea rubens Sarg.) and sugar maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.; Schaberg et al. 2001; Schaberg et al. 2010), but it

---

**Fig. 5.** Significant moisture–growth (1935–2014) and deposition–growth (1975–2014) (ring width index) correlation coefficients for (a) earlywood (EW), (b) latewood (LW), and (c) whole ring width (WRW). Lowercase letters indicate previous year’s months. Grey bars indicate nonsignificant correlations. Climate–growth correlations were significant at the $P \leq 0.01$ level, while deposition–growth correlations were significant at $P \leq 0.05$. No correlations are shown for current year July thru November for EW, as this wood type has completed growth by this point in the year. JJA, June, July, and August grouped to create a summer variable; SPEI01 and SPEI03, standardized precipitation–evapotranspiration index at 1- and 3-month time steps, respectively; $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, sulfate deposition. See Methods for more information on variables.
was unexpected to find these results for red oak. Additionally, we would normally anticipate higher elevation sites to exhibit a greater sensitivity to pollutant deposition (Lovett 1994), in part because pollution inputs generally increase with elevation. In considering why low-elevation red oak sites appeared more responsive to pollution deposition variables, it is useful to recall that our study sites — including our higher elevation sites (and most oak locations in VT; Gudex-Cross et al. 2019) — would in most contexts be considered low-elevation sites as the highest one was only at 354 m. It is unlikely that deposition levels would be significantly different at the limited range of elevations that we sampled. One possibility for why we found significant correlations with pollutant factors at low-elevation sites is because, generally, these sites were growing at a higher rate (Supplementary Fig. S1). A higher growth rate implies fewer constraints on growth at low-elevation sites; thus, other limitations such as pollution inputs at these locations may better explain growth. As correlations with deposition existed during both summer and fall months (Fig. 9), it seems likely that pollution deposition not only affected tree leaves during the growing season, but also had longer lasting impacts on productivity through shifts in soil acidity and nutrient availability as noted for the region (Driscoll et al. 2001). Although sulfate emissions have declined in the northeastern US over the past several decades (e.g., Siemion et al. 2018) and soils in the Northeast are showing some evidence of improvement (Lawrence et al. 2015), broader recovery will likely be spatially (Lawrence et al. 2015; Siemion et al. 2018) and temporally heterogeneous — taking many decades for the most impacted sites to rebound from chronic acidification and nutrient loss (Driscoll et al. 2001; Likens et al. 1996). In addition, although it has generally decreased over the past 15 years, nitrogen pollution has not significantly decreased in the US in the same way as sulfur (Driscoll et al. 2003), so its deleterious effects are still evident (Greaver et al. 2012). This continued nitrogen loading could explain the negative correlation in our study between growth and Wyr nitrate emissions. Therefore, the observed links with pollution deposition could reflect the legacy of pollution in the region and may result in red oak trees failing to reach their full growth potential in impacted areas.

Implications for future growth

The Northeast region is expected to continue to experience increases in both temperature and precipitation throughout the 21st century (Janowiak et al. 2018). A projected increase in future temperatures must also be considered within the context of the amount of precipitation that falls within any one growing season, and the timing at which precipitation occurs, even if it is increasing. Simulations show that projected increases in summer temperatures over a longer growing season will result in higher potential evapotranspiration rates (Thibeault and Seth 2014), which could add further stress to trees, especially as precipitation increases will likely be driven by late winter and early spring
Fig. 7. Significant climate–growth (1935–2014) correlation coefficients for (a) high-elevation (>258 m) and (b) low-elevation (<258 m) groups. Lowercase letters indicate previous year’s months. Grey bars indicate nonsignificant correlations. All significant correlations are at the $P \leq 0.05$ level unless denoted by an asterisk (*), which indicates significance at the $P \leq 0.01$ level. SPEI01 and SPEI03, standardized precipitation–evapotranspiration index at 1- and 3-month time steps, respectively. See Methods for more information on variables.

Fig. 8. Significant climate–growth (1935–2014) correlation coefficients for (a) high-elevation (>258 m) and (b) low-elevation (<258 m) groups. Lowercase letters indicate previous year’s months. Grey bars indicate nonsignificant correlations. All significant correlations are at the $P \leq 0.05$ level unless denoted by an asterisk (*), which indicates significance at the $P \leq 0.01$ level. JJA, June, July, and August grouped to create a summer variable; $T_{\text{min}}$, minimum monthly temperature; $T_{\text{max}}$, maximum monthly temperature; HDD, heating degree days; CDD, cooling degree days. See Methods for more information on variables.
rainfall (Lynch et al. 2016). Increased potential evapotranspiration rates can create drought conditions, even in areas such as the Northeast that are projected to experience increased moisture (Cook et al. 2014). Multiple summer moisture metrics were observed to be pivotal to red oak growth; however, summer is the singular season in which projections show a decrease in precipitation in the northeastern US. This may not bode well for red oak as its growth was positively correlated with summer moisture indicators throughout the chronology. Foster et al. (2016) also project red oak growth (aboveground biomass) to respond more variably to summer moisture stress in the future as compared with other temperate species, with more growth expected in wet years, but less growth in dry years. Other work suggests that oaks may fare better than other co-occurring species during drought due to their anisohydric characteristics that enable them to regulate leaf water potential and maintain static gas exchange rates (Roman et al. 2015).

Red oak growth was also negatively correlated with fall precipitation in more recent decades. In the Northeast, the season with the greatest increase in precipitation over the last century was fall — specifically, October and November — and fall is estimated to experience precipitation increases moving forward. This may be connected to red oak’s physiology. Red oak is a species that retains its leaves longer (Berkley 1931) and can even retain dead leaves until the next spring (Pallardy 2010). Delayed senescence or extended retention may provide red oak with the opportunity to assimilate carbon later in the growing season if the weather is favorable (Heim 2016); however, high fall precipitation levels could reduce solar inputs needed to power photosynthesis. In addition, precipitation events in the Northeast are becoming more extreme (Janowiak et al. 2018), so increasingly heavy autumn downpours and associated wind gusts could hasten abscission by mechanically removing leaves. This could reduce potential photosynthetic gain if leaves are lost earlier in the fall. The negative association between red oak growth and fall precipitation was not found in the central Appalachian Mountains where autumnal day length is longer (Rollinson et al. 2016), so this may be a phenomenon specific to the Northeast.

Our results from climate–growth relationships based on elevation indicate that an extended growing season may benefit red oak (Fig. 8). Growth at low-elevation sites was negatively correlated with previous October HDD (a measure of cold), similar to findings in the Hudson Valley region of New York state, where red oak showed a positive correlation with prior minimum October and current maximum October temperatures (Pederson et al. 2004). Experimental evidence in the south of red oak’s range found that, of the four deciduous species tested, red oak had the latest abscission date (Gunderson et al. 2012), suggesting that it might capitalize on increased opportunities for carbon capture if warming extended the functional growing season. Summer and fall are the seasons projected to display the greatest intensification in maximum temperature in the Northeast (Janowiak et al. 2018). Red oak growth showed a negative correlation with maximum summer temperatures, a link that was even stronger in recent decades (Fig. 10). The negative correlation with June $T_{\text{max}}$ for both low- and high-elevation groups was higher ($r = -0.36$, $P \leq 0.01$ for both) than with variables related to an extended growing season ($r = 0.27$ for low elevation and $r = 0.26$ for high elevation; $P \leq 0.05$; Fig. 8), suggesting that hotter summer temperatures may have a greater impact on growth than any positive benefit afforded from a longer growing season. Additionally, because tree growth exhibited a negative association with fall precipitation in
Fig. 10. Moving correlations of standardized radial growth of red oak with (a) July $T_{\text{max}}$, (b) summer (June, July, and August) $T_{\text{max}}$, (c) July HDD, (d) October SPEI03, and (e) November SPEI03. Dotted lines represent a nonsignificant relationship, and solid lines represent a significant relationship at $P \leq 0.05$. The year represents the last year of a 25-year moving window.
recent decades, the potential benefits of an extended growing season in the fall could be tempered by adverse influences associated with elevated fall precipitation. While red oak sites investigated for this study have exhibited substantial growth, particularly in recent decades, there may be mounting constraints on this growth into the next century if the climate variables most associated with reduced growth (e.g., summer heat and elevated fall precipitation) become more extreme. Whether regional forests will be increasingly dominated by oak species in the future (as suggested by the CCTA) will depend on multiple factors: chiefly, the recruitment of new individuals, which may be challenging in the absence of fire, other disturbances, or artificial regeneration (e.g., Dey 2014), as well as the possible mitigating influence of an extended growing season and concomitant responses of native and introduced competitors as the climate changes. Furthermore, the dynamic effects of future changes in climate and possible increases in extreme weather events that challenge red oak growth and regeneration beyond the limits currently experienced could alter trajectories of red oak growth and survival in ways that are currently unforeseen.
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Table 1. Red oak (Quercus rubra) growth–climate model results from 1935 to 2014 (the entire chronology).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adj. R² (model)</th>
<th>F ratio (model)</th>
<th>Prob &gt; F (model)</th>
<th>Term(s)</th>
<th>Estimate ± SE</th>
<th>F ratio (terms)</th>
<th>Prob &gt; F (terms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>14.277</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>July SPEI03</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.010</td>
<td>12.690</td>
<td>0.0006***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June Tmax</td>
<td>-0.014 ± 0.004</td>
<td>15.864</td>
<td>0.0002***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Adj. R²: adjusted R²; SPEI03, 3-month standardized precipitation–evapotranspiration index; Tmax, maximum monthly temperature. The P value shows the significance of the model by comparing the reduced deviance between the null model and the candidate model; it was calculated using an analysis of variance with an F statistic. Significance levels: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. For more information on variables, see Methods.


