Source Partitioning of Methane Emissions and its Seasonality in the U.S. Midwest
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Abstract The methane (CH4) budget and its source partitioning are poorly constrained in the Midwestern United States. We used tall tower (185 m) aerodynamic flux measurements and atmospheric scale factor Bayesian inversions to constrain the monthly budget and to partition the total budget into natural (e.g., wetlands) and anthropogenic (e.g., livestock, waste, and natural gas) sources for the period June 2016 to September 2017. Aerodynamic flux observations indicated that the landscape was a CH4 source with a mean annual CH4 flux of +13.7 ± 0.34 nmol m−2 s−1 and was rarely a net sink. The scale factor Bayesian inversion analyses revealed a mean annual source of +12.3 ± 2.1 nmol m−2 s−1. Flux partitioning revealed that the anthropogenic source (7.8 ± 1.6 Tg CH4 yr−1) was 1.5 times greater than the bottom-up gridded United States Environmental Protection Agency inventory, in which livestock and oil/gas sources were underestimated by 1.8-fold and 1.3-fold, respectively. Wetland emissions (4.0 ± 1.2 Tg CH4 yr−1) were the second largest source, accounting for 34% of the total budget. The temporal variability of total CH4 emissions was dominated by wetlands with peak emissions occurring in August. In contrast, emissions from oil/gas and other anthropogenic sources showed relatively weak seasonality.

1. Introduction

The Midwestern United States is one of the most intensively managed agricultural regions in the world. This landscape is dominated by corn and soybean ecosystems that help support a livestock population of approximately 728 million animals within the U.S. Corn Belt (USDA NASS, 2014). This region is also one of the most wetland-rich landscapes across the United States (US Forest Service, 2016). In addition, it includes a variety of urban and industrial complexes and major oil refineries. Short-term methane (CH4) measurements from a very tall tower within the region indicated that it is an important source of CH4 (Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, & Xiao, 2014). However, the CH4 budget, its source partitioning, and seasonality remain poorly constrained.

Recent space-based measurements imply large uncertainties in the United States anthropogenic CH4 budget (Jacob et al., 2016; Kort et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2015; Wecht et al., 2014). Bottom-up inventory emission databases, such as EDGAR42 (Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research, version 4.2, 2011, http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu), show that enteric fermentation, natural gas production, and manure management represent the top three anthropogenic CH4 sources for the region. Miller et al. (2013) combined an atmospheric transport model and a geostatistical inverse modeling approach to estimate anthropogenic CH4 emissions across the U.S. for 2007 and 2008. They found that the CH4 budget in the United States was underestimated by 1.5 and 1.7 times in the EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/) and EDGAR42 inventories, respectively. This was largely attributed to underestimates of the livestock and natural gas emissions. Furthermore, Bruhwiler et al. (2017) also highlighted the large uncertainties of regional to continental scale CH4 emissions from using space-based observations, resulting from atmospheric transport variability, satellite sampling bias, and the choice of upwind background CH4 concentration.

With the EDGAR42 bottom-up inventory used as a priori, Wecht et al. (2014) estimated North American CH4 emissions at high spatial resolution by inversions of SCIAMACHY satellite observations using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model and its adjoint. They suggested that U.S. livestock emissions were 40% greater than...
Enteric emissions from dairy cows can show substantial variability depending on size, sex, growth stage, activity (i.e., lactating versus dry), and diet (Lassey, 2007). Because of limited studies conducted for the U.S. Midwest, we draw on some recent studies from outside of the region. On-farm flux estimates, based on a backward Lagrangian stochastic technique, showed that enteric emissions ranged from 270 to 380 g (lactating cow d)⁻¹ for two dairy farms in Ontario, Canada, and accounted for about 40% of the total CH₄ farm emissions (Vanderzaag et al., 2014). Recent studies have shown that enteric emissions from dairy cows can be significantly reduced by using inhibitors designed to improve carbon use efficiency. Hristov et al. (2015) showed that enteric emissions varied from 400 to 500 g CH₄ d⁻¹ and 290 to 390 g CH₄ d⁻¹ over a 12 week period without/with the use of an inhibitor (3NOP), respectively.

Here we build on our previous work (Olson et al., 2013; Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, Erickson, et al., 2014; Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, & Xiao, 2014) and use tall tower aerodynamic flux measurements and SFBI analyses to obtain improved constraints on the CH₄ emissions from natural and anthropogenic sources within the region. The objectives were to (1) estimate the regional CH₄ budget, (2) partition the emissions into natural and anthropogenic sources, and (3) identify sources and time periods associated with high CH₄ emissions to improve our understanding of potential mitigation options.
2. Methods

2.1. Study Site

The University of Minnesota tall tower trace gas observatory (KCMP tall tower; 244 m height) is located 25 km south of the Saint Paul-Minneapolis Metropolitan area in the Midwestern United States. The landscape is highly heterogeneous with agricultural lands predominant to the east, south, and west. To the north and northwest, there is a strong gradient from agricultural land to a relatively dense urban landscape. Detailed land use statistics as a function of distance and direction from the tall tower study site have been reported previously (Chen et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2013). The study domain contains 10 states in the U.S. Midwest including Minnesota, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Missouri, Ohio, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Wisconsin.

2.2. Methane Mixing Ratio Observations

The KCMP tall tower has been instrumented with meteorological and trace gas sensors since April 2007. Carbon dioxide, water vapor, and nitrous oxide have been measured at sample heights of 32, 56, 100, and 185 m (Griffis et al., 2013, 2017; Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, & Xiao, 2014). Turbulence is measured at 100 and 185 m using sonic-anemometers (model CSAT3; Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, Utah). Near-continuous measurement of CH₄ mixing ratios was initiated in May 2016 using a Trace Gas Analyzer (TGA200A, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, Utah, USA). This system uses a state-of-the-art interband cascade laser that is thermoelectrically cooled to a temperature of 17 °C. The manufacturer’s reported measurement noise, based on the Allan variance, is 7.0 nmol mol⁻¹ for an integration period of 100 ms. Our field tests indicated that the noise is approximately 9.5 nmol mol⁻¹ for an integration period of 60 min (Figure 1). As shown later, this measurement noise is extremely small compared to the temporal fluctuations in the tall tower observations. The TGA is calibrated hourly against an ultrapure zero air standard and a working CH₄ span gas that was propagated from our Earth System Research Laboratory (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA] Earth System Research Laboratory) gold standard (Cylinder ID: CB11952, mole fraction = 1,849.9 nmol mol⁻¹, reproducibility = 1 nmol mol⁻¹, WMO-CH₄-X2004A Calibration scale). Sample air is pulled continuously from inlets at 185 and 3 m at a total flow rate of approximately 15 SLPM at approximately 50 kPa. The 185 and 3 m sample inlets are subsampled at 30 s intervals. The air samples are dehumidified using a Nafion dryer system prior to analysis.

2.3. Flux-Gradient Method

The CH₄ mixing ratio gradients and fluxes provide information related to the landscape (i.e., mesoscale; approximately hundreds of km²) footprint, while the SFBI analyses constrain sinks and sources at the regional scale (approximately thousands of km²) (Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, & Xiao, 2014). The aerodynamic flux was estimated from

\[ F_C = -K_c m_s \frac{dC}{dz} + F_s \]  

where the eddy diffusivity \( K_c \) was estimated from the momentum flux measured using eddy covariance at a
height of 185 m (Wood et al., 2016), $m_a$ is the molar density of dry air, $dC/dz$ is the $\text{CH}_4$ mixing ratio gradient, and $F_t$ is an estimate of the change in hourly $\text{CH}_4$ storage integrated over a height of 185 m. An enhancement factor of 1.35 was applied to $K_c$ to account for the difference between momentum and a trace gas scalar diffusivity (Simpson et al., 1998).

### 2.4. Inverse Modeling Framework

Here we apply a Bayesian inversion to interpret the tall tower observations in terms of a constraint on the regional $\text{CH}_4$ sources in the U.S. Midwest. The Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) model (Gerbig et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2003, 2004) was used to estimate the tall tower concentration source...
footprint using the sample inlet (receptor) at a height of 185 m and atmospheric drivers (e.g., planetary boundary layer [PBL] height, wind, and atmospheric stability) obtained from the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.8.1 (Nehrkorn et al., 2010). The source footprint was then multiplied by a bottom-up emission inventory (a priori sources) and combined with the background mixing ratios to provide an initial estimate of the hourly CH₄ mixing ratios at the tall tower receptor (Figure 2).

2.4.1. Prior Information

The Bayesian inverse analysis relies heavily on the prior spatial distribution of associated source categories, and it is therefore critical to use emission inventory data that have high spatial accuracy for proper attribution. EDGAR42 is one of the most comprehensive bottom-up inventories but has been reported to show poor spatial accuracy in regional CH₄ emissions, particularly from the livestock and oil/gas sectors (Miller et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2015; Wecht et al., 2014). In EDGAR42, the oil/gas emissions are too heavily weighted by the spatial distribution and usage rather than the production (Miller et al., 2013; Maasakkers et al., 2016). Further, the oil/gas sector has a strong correlation ($R^2 > 0.8$) with waste emissions because both are largely distributed according to human population. Therefore, a Bayesian inversion using EDGAR42 as the a priori estimate could wrongly attribute sources (e.g., assign CH₄ emissions from oil/gas production sites to livestock). For these reasons, we decided to use the gridded EPA inventory (Maasakkers et al., 2016) to represent the a priori anthropogenic sources.

The EPA inventory is available only as national totals for different source types (EPA, 2016). Maasakkers et al. (2016) used a range of databases at the state to local source levels to disaggregate the inventory and allocated the spatial distribution of emissions for individual source types and presented a gridded inventory of U.S. anthropogenic CH₄ emissions with 0.1° × 0.1° spatial resolution and detailed scale-dependent error characterization. Their estimate showed a significant spatial difference compared with EDGAR42, particularly for oil/gas systems and manure management. The gridded EPA inventory for the year 2012 placed higher emissions over oil/gas production areas and lower emissions over distribution areas, consistent with recent top-down constraints across the U.S. (Miller et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2015).

Initially, (1) enteric fermentation, (2) manure management, (3) natural gas, (4) oil, (5) coal mining, (6) waste, (7) natural wetlands, and (8) others (i.e., forest fire hotspots and stationary combustion emissions) were adopted here as a priori source categories. However, spatially overlapping characteristics of enteric fermentation and manure management, as well as natural gas and oil, respectively, placed strong limitations on identifying and separating them individually within the inversion framework (Turner & Jacob, 2015). Therefore, we combined enteric fermentation and manure management as livestock and combined natural gas and oil as gas/oil. Furthermore, Bloom et al. (2017) provided a full (2009–2010) and extended (2001–2015) estimate of wetland emissions, based on knowledge of regional to global wetland CH₄ sources and its biophysical controls. We adopted the full estimate of wetland emissions with a gridded resolution of 0.1° × 0.1°, which was developed based on satellite-derived surface water content and precipitation reanalyses, as well as environmental parameterizations.

Other sources such as forest fire hotspots and stationary combustion were insignificant for the Midwest and not feasible to independently constrain from atmospheric data. Therefore, these sources were not included in
the a priori estimate. The five source categories included in the a priori emission inventory were the following (Bloom et al., 2017; Maasakkers et al., 2016): (1) livestock, including enteric fermentation and manure management; (2) gas/oil, including natural gas production, processing, transmission, and distribution, as well as petrochemical production; (3) waste, including landfills and wastewater treatment; (4) coal mining, both surface and underground; and (5) monthly natural wetlands and peatlands from Bloom et al. (2017).

We define the a priori errors for livestock, gas/oil, waste, and coal mining sources at 0.1° × 0.1° resolution based on the corresponding scale-dependent errors developed by Maasakkers et al. (2016) for the gridded EPA inventory. Bloom et al. (2017) derived 324 ensemble models of wetland CH4 emissions in 2009 and 2010, based on 3 CH4: C temperature dependencies (i.e., the temperature dependence of the ratio of C respired as CH4), 9 heterotrophic respiration configurations, 4 wetland extent scenarios, and 3 global scaling factor (i.e., a global budget estimate from wetland emissions) configurations. Here we used the ensemble mean of 324 model realizations for the year 2010 as the a priori estimate, and its coefficient of variation as the associated a priori error. Based on the derived a priori errors (i.e., 79–95%) we rounded them to 100% for each source category.

2.5. Concentration Footprint Functions

Concentration source footprint functions were determined based on the STILT model. For each hour between June 2016 and September 2017, we released 500 particles from the KCMP tall tower at a height of 185 m and transported them backward for 7 days to ensure that the trajectories adequately represented source contributions from within the U.S. Furthermore, we used observations (Table 1) from the NOAA Carbon Cycle and
Greenhouse Gases program near the outer edge of the source footprint to represent the background CH$_4$ mixing ratios. These observations are from discrete air samples collected approximately weekly in flasks (Dlugokencky et al., 2009, 2011) and are zonally and monthly averaged at 4° latitudinal resolution.

Examples of the concentration footprint functions during years 2016 and 2017 at the tall tower are shown in Figures 3a–3c. For each month, based on the a priori inventory, we calculated the cumulative contribution of surface emissions to the tall tower mixing ratio at a range of concentration footprint scales and the corresponding distance from the tall tower (Figure 3d). These analyses indicated that areas where the footprint strength was greater than 1 e$^{-4}$ ppm μmol m$^{-2}$ s contributed significantly to the tall tower mixing ratios (i.e., they accounted for about 75% of the total contribution). These intense areas contain Minnesota and a significant portion of the U.S. Midwest. The tall tower observations, therefore, are representative of the larger Midwest region (Griffis et al., 2013; Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, & Xiao, 2014) and should provide a reasonably robust estimate of CH$_4$ emissions and their partitioning via the SFBI approach.

2.6. Methane Budget and Partitioning

Here we used the SFBI method to constrain the regional budget and to partition it into its source contributions (Chen et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2013). The SFBI method was applied monthly from June 2016 to September 2017.
where \( y \) is the mixing ratio observed at the tall tower minus the background mixing ratios, \( \Gamma \) contains the scaling factors for different source types, \( K \) is the Jacobian matrix representing the sensitivity of the observation variables to the specific source types, and \( \varepsilon \) is the system error, which consists of measurement uncertainties and model uncertainties. The columns of \( K \) correspond to the mixing ratios for each of the source types being optimized, and \( \Gamma \) consists of the a posteriori scale factors for the different source types.

Applying Bayes’ theorem, along with a normal distribution assumption, the maximum a posteriori solution of \( \Gamma \) is to minimize the cost function \( J(\Gamma) \):

\[
2J(\Gamma) = (y - K\Gamma)^T S_\varepsilon^{-1} (y - K\Gamma) + (\Gamma - \Gamma_a)^T S_a^{-1} (\Gamma - \Gamma_a)
\]

where \( S_\varepsilon \) and \( S_a \) are the observational and a priori error covariance matrices, and each element of \( \Gamma_a = 1 \). The solution to \( \nabla J(\Gamma) = 0 \) is then given by:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{post}} = (K^T S_\varepsilon^{-1} K + S_a^{-1})^{-1} (K^T S_\varepsilon^{-1} y + S_a^{-1} \Gamma_a)
\]

Here observational errors include measurement and modeling uncertainties. The mixing ratio measurement error for the TDL was based on the Allan variance test described above (9.5 nmol mol\(^{-1}\) for an integration period of 60 min). Further, Gerbig et al. (2003) conducted a wide range of sensitivity tests by varying the released number of particles from 50 to 1,000 in the STILT model, and each test was run 100 times to analyze the particle number dependence and reproducibility. Following the work of Gerbig et al. (2003), a relative uncertainty of 13% was assigned for the number of particles used in the backward trajectories. In this study, the transport error associated with the number of particles used ranged from ~6 to 9 ppb. We used different PBL schemes, that is, Yonsei University (Hong et al., 2004) and Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Janjic, 2002), to simulate the mixing height from the WRF-STILT simulations. The modeled mixing heights were then compared to that inferred from the radiosonde observations (Kim et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2008) to derive the relative uncertainty associated with the simulated PBL height, which was estimated to be 27%. The transport error associated with the PBL height simulations ranged from ~10 to 16 ppb. Finally, it is critical to use mass conserving wind fields to drive the transport (Gerbig et al., 2003). The WRF simulations used in this study are constructed specifically for mass conservation and have been found to perform better than other meteorological products (Miller et al., 2013; Nehrkorn et al., 2010). A detailed uncertainty analysis associated with aggregation error is presented in section 3.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Methane Mixing Ratios and Fluxes

Mean \( \text{CH}_4 \) mixing ratios at 3 and 185 m (1 June 2016 to 30 September 2017) were 2,024.4 ± 132.4 nmol mol\(^{-1}\) and 1,982.0 ± 54.4 nmol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Figure 4). Wind direction had a relatively weak influence on the \( \text{CH}_4 \) mixing ratios at 3 m (Figure 4). For example, advection from the urban airshed to the north resulted in \( \text{CH}_4 \) mixing ratios that were about 100 nmol mol\(^{-1}\) higher than the ensemble mean mixing ratio. There is also a small but noticeable increase in \( \text{CH}_4 \) mixing ratio from the southwest wind sector, which is predominantly agricultural land use. Hourly \( \text{CH}_4 \) mixing ratios at the 185 and 3 m levels were highly correlated (\( r^2 = 0.976, n = 5,305, p < 0.0001 \)). The large deviations from the 1:1 line (Figure 4d) were generally associated with weak turbulent mixing (i.e., when friction velocity was less than 0.1 m s\(^{-1}\)).
Vertical CH$_4$ gradients were very small in the winter (December through March) compared to the midsummer (July through August, Figure 5a), indicating that within the aerodynamic flux footprint, microbial activity was the main driver of emissions (i.e., not fuel production or consumption). Further, there was a relatively low frequency of negative mixing ratio gradients, implying that the agricultural landscape was rarely a net CH$_4$ sink. The mean aerodynamic CH$_4$ flux was +13.7 ± 0.34 (mean ± standard error) nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 5), which equates to a net CH$_4$ source of about 6.9 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, and is in good agreement with previous estimates at the landscape scale (Zhang, Lee, Griffis, Baker, Erickson, et al., 2014).

3.2. Bayesian Analyses

After the first inversion, the averaging kernel (AK) was calculated to quantify the sensitivity of retrieved emissions to their true values (Chen et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2013; Rodgers, 2000). The AK values indicated a very low sensitivity of tall tower observations to the coal mining source, probably due to the limited near-field distribution relative to the tall tower receptor (Figure 2c). Therefore, we eliminated the coal mining source term from further consideration and applied a second inversion where we only included the livestock, oil/gas, waste, and natural wetland and peatland source categories. This second inversion produced the final optimized emission estimates.

Figure 6a illustrates the linear regressions between the measured and simulated CH$_4$ mixing ratios based on the a priori and a posteriori estimates. The optimized CH$_4$ mixing ratios showed a much stronger correlation ($R^2 = 0.72$ and $p = 6.2e-5$) than the a priori estimate ($R^2 = 0.17$ and $p = 0.21$), and the slope increased from 0.29 ± 0.17 to 0.72 ± 0.12, indicating that the optimization helped to reduce the model bias and significantly improved the constraint on the emissions (Figure 6b). Based on the cost function analysis (equation (3)), the optimization is constrained to a certain degree by the error construction of observations and the a priori estimate; for example, decreasing the observational errors or increasing the a priori errors leads to an increase in the optimized scaling factors and acted to increase the slope of the linear regression between the modeled and observed CH$_4$ mixing ratios. A detailed analysis of the improvement of the inverse model performance is provided in section 3.4.

From the second inversion, there was a mean scaling factor of 1.5 relative to the gridded EPA inventory for anthropogenic sources (Table 2). Among them, optimized livestock and oil/gas sources were 1.8 and 1.4 times greater (Figure 7a), respectively, in close agreement with other recent studies (Miller et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2015). The optimized mean annual anthropogenic CH$_4$ emission was 12.3 ± 2.1 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the Midwest region. Compared to the source attribution for the national-scale budget, there was a higher contribution from livestock and lower contribution from oil/gas sources for the U.S. Midwest, corresponding to its agriculture-dominated land use characteristics.

Wetlands within our study domain are largely distributed in central and northern Minnesota as well as Wisconsin (Cohen et al., 2016).
most sensitive areas to the tall tower receptor based on the concentration footprint functions (Figure 3). The optimized wetland emissions are in excellent agreement with the estimate from Bloom et al. (2017) (Figure 7b) and represent the second largest source (4.0 Tg CH4 yr\(^{-1}\)), accounting for 34% of total CH4 emissions within the Midwest region (Table 2).

Compared to the CH4 emission estimate at the national scale from the most recent space-based study (Turner et al., 2015), our work suggests that the natural, anthropogenic, and total CH4 emissions in the Midwest account for 41.7 ± 12.5%, 18.2 ± 3.7%, and 22.5 ± 3.8% of the U.S. budget, respectively (Figure 8). This further supports the importance of the U.S. Midwest to the national and global CH4 budget and highlights the need to understand how these emissions are likely to respond to changes in land management and climate.

### 3.3. Seasonality Analysis

The temporal variability of total optimized CH4 emissions was dominated by wetlands (Figure 9). Since emissions from oil/gas, livestock, and waste showed relatively weak seasonality, the contribution from wetland emissions well explained the seasonal variation of the tall tower CH4 mixing ratios. The wetland emissions were very weak from November to February, began to rise after snowmelt in March, and peaked in August. This seasonality agrees closely with eddy covariance observations and retrospective modeling studies at Bog Lake Fen, Marcell Experiment Forest Station in northern Minnesota (Olson et al., 2013).

To further explore the climate sensitivity of the regional methane emissions, the weighted means of selected environmental variables from the National American Regional Reanalysis (Mesinger et al., 2006) data were computed for the entire study domain. Here the weighting is based on the intensity of the source footprint function for each grid cell. The seasonal and interannual variations of optimized wetland emissions appear to be consistent with variations in air temperature. We found that the peak CH4 emissions in August were coincident with maximum source footprint-weighted soil temperature, which also agreed with earlier observations at the Marcell Experiment Forest Station, where CH4 emissions peaked with peat temperature (Olson et al., 2013). Olson et al. (2013) reported increased CH4 emissions at Bog Lake Fen in warm years including 1994, 2001, and 2005 and suggested that temperature dominated the temporal variability, while water table depth played a lesser role.

The notably higher temperature (1.5 °C within the growing season) in 2016 compared to 2017 likely enhanced wetland emissions. For instance, the weighted September air temperature was 2.3 °C higher in 2016, and the corresponding regional wetland emissions were 62% higher compared to 2017. Conversely, there appears to be very limited impact of precipitation differences on the wetland emissions. For example, June 2016 and September 2017 experienced dramatically different precipitation amounts (106.0 versus 24.7 mm, respectively), yet the regional wetland emissions (4.16 versus 4.22 nmo m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) were not statistically different.

Finally, it is possible that the warmer conditions stimulated higher CH4 emissions from manure. For instance, the June and September air temperatures were 1.1 and 2.3 °C higher in 2016, and the corresponding regional livestock emissions were 56% and 60% higher than in 2017. Since enteric emissions are less dependent on air temperature (i.e., animal body temperature is regulated), stronger air temperature sensitivity for manure emissions is expected (Dong et al., 2006; Sommer et al., 2004; Wood et al., 2013).
3.4. Uncertainty Analysis

To probe how aggregation error influenced the Bayesian inversion, we decreased the spatial resolution of the a priori emissions and meteorological fields from 0.1° × 0.1° to 0.5° × 0.5° for each source category. We then reran STILT to obtain the source footprint functions at 0.5° × 0.5° resolution and applied the SFBI in an otherwise identical fashion to our previously described approach. The low-resolution inversion showed that when decreasing the resolution: (1) the correlation among source categories became stronger, for example, the correlation coefficient (R) between livestock and oil/gas increased from 0.35 to 0.56, and (2) the scale factor for the livestock source increased while that for the oil/gas source decreased. Further, we found that the AK for oil/gas source decreased from 0.89 to 0.55, indicating a weak sensitivity to the tall tower observations. As expected, averaging the inventory over a coarser grid caused spatial overlapping among source categories, leading to higher correlation among them. Using a priori information with a coarser resolution reduces the amount of independent information contained in the a priori inventory. The source(s) of interest cannot be separated and results in a lower sensitivity to the tall tower observations, providing a weak constraint within the inverse modeling framework.

Further, we examined the potential impact of having an upward/downward bias in the background CH4 concentrations on the Bayesian inversion. Here we conducted a range of sensitivity tests by varying the monthly background mixing ratios (from mean − 2 SD to mean + 2 SD) based on the discrete flask-air observations from the NOAA Carbon Cycle and Greenhouse Gases program. These sensitivity analyses revealed that the annual budget ranged from 10.3 to 12.4 Tg CH4 yr⁻¹, which showed only a minor variation (5%–12%) compared to the best estimate (Table 3). These analyses suggest that the optimized annual budget and the source attribution show low sensitivity to the uncertainties in the background mixing ratios, and support that background CH4 values are reasonably constructed and provide a reliable estimate for the Bayesian inversion framework.

Finally, by assembling key parameterizations in the models from Bloom et al. (2017), a range of a priori wetland emission scenarios were applied for the sensitivity tests (Table 4), to assess how well the inversion constrained the wetland source. The sensitivity studies showed similar seasonality and an annual wetland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background mixing ratios</th>
<th>A posteriori emissions (Tg CH4 yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean − 2 SD</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean − 1 SD</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean + 1 SD</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean + 2 SD</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Wetland CH4 Model Ensemble Configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Global scale factor (Tg CH4 yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>CH4: C temperature dependence (Q10)</th>
<th>Heterotrophic respiration</th>
<th>Wetland extent scenario</th>
<th>Wetland emissions (Tg CH4 yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ensemble mean from the multiscale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project (MsTMIP) a,b</td>
<td>GLOBCOVER spatial extent c and SWAMPS d inundation temporal variability parameterization</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

budget that ranged from 3.41 to 4.38 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ or 86.1% to 110.7% of the best estimate (4.0 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹). This supports a relatively robust constraint of the wetland source in the Bayesian inversion.

An important question is, to what extent can we improve the CH₄ budget estimate for the U.S. Midwest? Currently, there are two critical limitations, including the spatially sparse tall tower concentration observations and the lack of direct flux measurements of key land use categories. Building on previous inverse studies (Chen et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2017; Michalak et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2015), the following recommendations are made in order to help reduce regional scale uncertainties. There is a need for (1) improved high-resolution information on activity data to better specify the a priori source distribution, (2) improved spatial representation of atmospheric CH₄ concentrations, and (3) direct measurement of CH₄ fluxes from underrepresented and important land use categories. To address some of these concerns our ongoing research is making use of aircraft measurement campaigns to provide new insights regarding the spatial patterns of CH₄ concentrations during key times of the year. In light of the importance of enteric emissions for the region, we have planned intensive flux measurement campaigns to improve emission estimates from large representative livestock facilities within the region. Furthermore, the measurement of the stable isotopes of CH₄ at the tall tower has significant potential to help with source attribution partitioning, error reduction in the inversion, and could provide an efficient way to assess systematic biases in the atmospheric inversion methodology.

4. Conclusions

Hourly CH₄ observations from a tall tower in the Upper Midwest United States were used to constrain the CH₄ emissions from natural and anthropogenic sources for the region based on aerodynamic flux measurements and SFBI analyses. The data and analyses support the following conclusions:

1. The mean annual landscape and regional scale CH₄ emissions (13.7 ± 0.34 versus 12.3 ± 2.1 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹) agreed reasonably well within the margin of uncertainty.

2. Regional natural, anthropogenic, and total CH₄ emissions were 4.0 ± 1.2, 7.8 ± 1.6, and 11.8 ± 2.0 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹, accounting for 41.7 ± 12.5%, 18.2 ± 3.7%, and 22.5 ± 3.8% of the overall U.S. natural, anthropogenic, and total CH₄ budgets, respectively.

3. Wetlands were the second largest regional source, contributing 34% to the regional budget.

4. The seasonality of total CH₄ emissions was dominated by wetlands. Wetland emissions increased significantly following snowmelt and reached a maximum in August.

5. The anthropogenic source categories were 1.5 times greater than the bottom-up inventory, with livestock and oil/gas sources underestimated by 1.8-fold and 1.3-fold, respectively.
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