A cost-efficient method to assess carbon stocks in tropical peat soil
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Abstract. Estimation of belowground carbon stocks in tropical wetland forests requires funding for laboratory analyses and suitable facilities, which are often lacking in developing nations where most tropical wetlands are found. It is therefore beneficial to develop simple analytical tools to assist belowground carbon estimation where financial and technical limitations are common. Here we use published and original data to describe soil carbon density (kgC m⁻³; C_d) as a function of bulk density (gC cm⁻³; B_d), which can be used to rapidly estimate belowground carbon storage using B_d measurements only. Predicted carbon densities and stocks are compared with those obtained from direct carbon analysis for ten peat swamp forest stands in three national parks of Indonesia. Analysis of soil carbon density and bulk density from the literature indicated a strong linear relationship (C_d = B_d × 495.14 + 5.41, R² = 0.93, n = 151) for soils with organic C content > 40 %. As organic C content decreases, the relationship between C_d and B_d becomes less predictable as soil texture becomes an important determinant of C_d. The equation predicted belowground C stocks to within 0.92 % to 9.57 % of observed values. Average bulk density of collected peat samples was 0.127 g cm⁻³, which is in the upper range of previous reports for Southeast Asian peatlands. When original data were included, the revised equation C_d = B_d × 468.76 + 5.82, with R² = 0.95 and n = 712, was slightly below the lower 95 % confidence interval of the original equation, and tended to decrease C_d estimates. We recommend this last equation for a rapid estimation of soil C stocks for well-developed peat soils where C content > 40 %.

1 Introduction

Tropical wetland forests containing organic soils – mangroves and freshwater peat swamps – are significant global carbon (C) stores (Donato et al., 2011). Page et al. (2011) estimated 88.6 PgC are stored in tropical peatlands. When original data were included, the revised equation C_d = B_d × 468.76 + 5.82, with R² = 0.95 and n = 712, was slightly below the lower 95 % confidence interval of the original equation, and tended to decrease C_d estimates. We recommend this last equation for a rapid estimation of soil C stocks for well-developed peat soils where C content > 40 %.
rapid rates of deforestation (Langner et al., 2007; Miettinen and Liew, 2010a, b). Of further concern is the vulnerability of tropical wetland C pools exacerbated by predicted consequences of global climate change: ENSO-related droughts and subsequent fires, altered precipitation patterns, increasing frequency and severity of tropical cyclones and sea level rise (Ellison and Stoddart, 1991; Li et al., 2007; Field et al., 2009).

Tropical wetlands are also well known for their numerous ecosystem services and unique biodiversity. Because of these values and vulnerabilities, tropical wetland forests are of particular interest in climate change mitigation strategies such as reduced emissions from deforestation and degradation (REDD+; Murdiyarso et al., 2010; Hergoualc’h and Verchot, 2011). However, data deficiencies preclude the rigorous C accounting necessary for the successful implementation of REDD+ activities in tropical wetlands (IPCC, 2006). There is a pressing need for accurate C assessments in tropical wetland ecosystems to establish baseline C stocks, and real and potential C losses from disturbance.

Belowground carbon pools of tropical wetlands are quite high. Chimner and Ewel (2005) estimated 330–775 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) of belowground peat C for forests on Kosrae, and 1077 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) were reported for riverine peat forests over shallow peat horizons in Tanjung Puting, Indonesia (Murdiyarso et al., 2009). Jaenicke et al. (2008) estimated up to 3130 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) for the Sebangau peat formation in Indonesia, and Page et al. (2011) calculated a global average of 2009 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) for all tropical peatlands. By comparison, the soil carbon pools of upland tropical forests have been shown to range from 76 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) in dry forests with shallow soils <60 cm in depth to about 268 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) in wet forests (Kaufman et al., 2009). Kaufman et al. (2009) reported total ecosystem C pools (aboveground + belowground pools) in upland Neotropical forests ranging from 141 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) in tropical dry forest to 571 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) in lower montane moist forests.

Land conversion on peatlands results in immediate massive C fluxes to the atmosphere due to drainage, deforestation and burning followed by longer-term oxidative losses contingent on hydrological conditions (Hooijer et al., 2010; Murdiyarso et al., 2010; Hergoualc’h and Verchot, 2011). Murdiyarso et al. (2010) estimated 25% of all C emissions from converting peat forest to industrial plantations (a dominant land use transition of tropical peatlands in Indonesia; Miettinen et al., 2012) occur from initial burning to clear land. Each year, large areas of peatland burn throughout Indonesia with fire recurring frequently in areas where peat is drained and degraded. During the unusually severe fire season of 1997, drought conditions prompted opportunistic and uncontrolled burning which eventually affected over 2 Mha of wetland ecosystems throughout Indonesia, resulting in C losses commensurate with the 1.5 Pg C average annual flux from global land use change from 1990–2005 (Taconni, 2003; Le Quéré et al., 2009; Page et al., 2002; Langmann and Heil, 2004).

The large estimates of greenhouse gas emissions from altered tropical wetlands are of particular concern considering their rapid deforestation rates (Langner et al., 2007; Miettinen and Liew, 2010a, b). Langner et al. (2007) estimated that annual deforestation rates of mangroves and peat swamp forests on the island of Borneo were 7.92% and 2.24% (respectively) for the years 2002–2005, and Miettinen et al. (2011) reported a similar average annual peat swamp forest deforestation rate of 2.2% between 2000 and 2010 for insular Southeast Asia. In addition, Miettinen and Liew (2010b) estimated that half of the peat swamp forest area mapped in peninsular Malaysia, Borneo and Sumatra in 1990 had been converted to other land uses by 2008. All of these studies indicate that deforestation rates of wetland forests exceed that of any other forest type, implying large-scale C emissions from land use change.

The uncertainties of ecosystem carbon stocks of tropical wetlands and emissions from land cover change as well as their difficulties in measurement are well known and recognized, and limit the accuracy of large-scale C stock and flux estimates for tropical wetlands (Hergoualc’h and Verchot, 2011). The widespread estimation of soil C stocks in tropical wetlands has been partly limited by the lack of access to suitable technical facilities and analytical equipment in remote developing areas. Induction furnace C analyzers are costly and depend on infrastructure and the availability of consumables to be run properly. Other methods of C analysis (such as Walkley-Black wet combustion and loss on ignition; LOI) are only semi-quantitative and lose precision when soil organic matter content is very high (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Therefore, it is beneficial to derive simple analytical tools to partially overcome these challenges and provide a method to accurately estimate soil C storage without the need for complicated laboratory analyses.

Soil carbon stocks (per unit area) are estimated as the product of carbon concentration (%C), bulk density (g cm\(^{-3}\)), and soil volume (m\(^3\)). These properties cannot be measured directly from satellite or airborne sensors, and therefore rely on intensive field sampling for data acquisition. Reliable depth estimates require numerous point measurements in the field, which do not exist for most areas, and many large-scale peat volume estimates use average depths from point samples without spatial interpolation or area-weighted means (but see Jaenicke et al., 2008). Measurements of bulk density and C concentration require careful sample extraction in the field and subsequent analysis using laboratory equipment. Bulk density and C concentration can vary spatially and throughout the vertical peat profile, resulting in complicated three-dimensional C storage patterns (Page et al., 2004). Therefore, multiple measurements of carbon concentration and bulk density from samples taken at various depths in the soil profile are needed to accurately determine the soil carbon stocks (Donato et al., 2011; Kaufman et al., 2011; Murdiyarso et al., 2010).
Considering the technical hurdles that must be overcome to successfully measure and monitor belowground C pools in tropical wetlands, it would be useful to develop analytical approaches using well-correlated soil properties for localized and rapid, yet accurate, C assessments. Here, we use published and original data to determine the relationship of volumetric C density and bulk density for tropical peat soils. Our objective was to determine if a universal equation developed from more easily sampled variables of bulk density and peat depth is sufficient to estimate peat C stocks rapidly and accurately. If so, the method could be useful for estimating soil carbon in the framework of wetland forest carbon inventories and greenhouse gas mitigation programs.

2 Methods

Data for this study were compiled from peer-reviewed research articles, previous reviews, a doctoral thesis, and data that we collected from Indonesian peat forests (Table 1). The following variables were assembled: sample region, site and/or peat type, sample depth, carbon content (%C), bulk density and total carbon mass of the peat layers. Data were only included if carbon content was determined by induction furnace methods. Elemental C analysis is considered the most accurate and avoids error associated with other semiquantitative methods of C determination including loss on ignition (LOI) or wet combustion (Kauffman and Donato, 2012). Values for carbon density (C_d; kgC cm^{-3} soil) were included from the original data sources or calculated from published bulk densities and C contents.

Original data included in this study were collected using modified methods described by Kauffman et al. (2011) and Kauffman and Donato (2012). Peat samples were taken as part of an extensive survey of ecosystem C stocks in one hectare peat swamp forest stands throughout Indonesia including four sites at Danau Sentarum National Park (W. Kalimantan Province), four sites at Sabangau Natural Laboratory for Peat Swamp Forest (NLPSF; C. Kalimantan Province), and two sites at Berbak National Park (Jambi Province, Sumatra). At each of these sites three to six peat cores were extracted from 10 m diameter subplots established along 250 m transects using a Russian peat sampler (Jowsey, 1966). A 250 m transect was used as it was also the axis of a 250 m x 40 m (1 ha) vegetation sampling plot. Minimum distance between peat cores was 50 m, and between sites was 700 m. Transect positions were generally orientated perpendicular to the edge of the peat formation. Peat samples taken from the organic-mineral boundary horizons were not included in the analysis, and distinguished as transitional soil horizons in the field by the presence of mineral soil mixing with the organic peat fraction and associated changes in texture and color.

In Danau Sentarum National Park, transects were located in the vicinity of the Hutan Nung (0°46’20” S, 112°07’00” E) forest, accessible from Bukit Tekenang research station. Peat cores were taken at 0, 100, and 150 m points along transects. Peat samples were removed from the auger in 10 cm increments and sampled until underlying mineral substrate was reached. Four sites were sampled, with 3 cores per site (12 peat sample cores). Peat depth ranged from 2.1 to 12.7 m across the four sites, and a total of 434 peat samples were collected. Peat samples were transported to the soil laboratory at Tanjungpura University within one week for drying at 70 °C and weighing for bulk density determination.

In the Sabangau and Berbak sites, 5 cm peat samples were taken from the midpoint of 0–15, 15–30, 30–50, 50–100, 100–300 cm depth increments, and every 50 cm thereafter for depths > 300 cm (Kauffman et al., 2011). Sampling was biased to the upper peat layers that are most likely impacted by land uses (Ballhorn et al., 2009; Anshari et al., 2010). Samples were transferred directly to a 70° drying oven as soon as possible, usually within 72 h.

Peat samples from Sabangau were collected within the NLPSF (2°19’00” S, 113°54’29” E) managed by the Centre for the International Cooperation in Sustainable Management of Tropical Peatlands (CIMTROP) based at the University of Palangka Raya. Sampling design was the same as for Danau Sentarum, with four transects sampled and peat cores extracted at 0, 100, and 250 m resulting in 12 cores ranging from 1.9 to 4.2 m depth. A total of 104 peat samples were collected from the Sabangau NLPSF, with 8 samples from the mineral-organic interface, not included in this analysis.

In Berbak National Park, two transects were sampled in the forest area surrounding a field camp managed by the Zoological Society of London’s Sumatran tiger conservation program. In one transect, peat cores were taken every 50 m resulting in 6 cores to assess the scale of variation along the 250 m transect. A total of 9 peat cores were extracted from Berbak National Park ranging from 4.1 to 6.2 m in depth, with a total 70 peat samples collected. Two samples were from the organic-mineral transition horizon and excluded from analysis.

Dried peat samples from all sites were ground, homogenized, and analyzed for C concentration using a LECO TruSpec induction furnace C analyzer (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph MI, USA).

The linear equation describing carbon density (C_d) as a function of dry bulk density (B_d) was determined with linear regression using data collated from the literature review. Since C_d is the product of B_d and C concentration, a linear relationship was expected with a slope equal to the average C concentration of the peat samples and an intercept of 0, assuming the C fraction of peat varies randomly with bulk density. Although there is a negative relationship between soil organic C concentration and bulk density for mineral soils, this relationship was not expected for organic peat soils since mineral fractions are extremely low. To validate the model and provide proof of concept, C_d was predicted for each sample collected in the field using measured bulk density values.
and the linear equation derived from literature review. The measured $C_d$ of each sample calculated from bulk density and C concentration was then compared with predicted values and the 95% confidence intervals of the linear model. As a final step, we compared carbon stocks (MgC ha$^{-1}$) estimated from predicted and measured values of carbon density.

3 Results

A total of 151 values for bulk density, C density, and C concentration were available in the literature for tropical wetland soils and met our criteria (Table 1). Data included in the analysis span numerous sites, organic soil types, and land uses throughout Indonesia and three Peruvian sites. Published C contents ranged from 4.4 to 62.0%, with a mean of 49.5%. Bulk densities ranged from 0.030 to 0.565 g cm$^{-3}$, with a mean of 0.130 g cm$^{-3}$. Soils with lower C content (<20%) and higher bulk densities (>0.25 g cm$^{-3}$) were associated with mangroves and shallow peats which contain a high mineral fraction and are distinct from deeper, well-developed organic peat swamp soils with no detectable mineral fraction.

The relationship between $B_d$ and $C_d$ for organic soils with C concentration exceeding 40% is described by the equation (Table 2):

$$C_d = B_d \times 495.14 + 5.41; R^2 = 0.93, p < 0.001,$$  \hfill (1)

where $C_d$ is C density (kg m$^{-3}$) and $B_d$ is dry bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$). The equation to predict carbon density is applicable but limited to peat soils with low mineral content, typical of well-developed ombrotrophic peat swamp forests that do not receive deposits of allochthonous sediments (Fig. 1). The clear relationship between $C_d$ and $B_d$ diminishes when soil C concentration falls below 40%. As the mineral fraction of the soil increases, $C_d$ becomes less predictable as soil physical properties (such as clay content) play a larger role in determining carbon content. Organic soils with higher mineral content and lower C concentration (<40%) frequently occur at the interface of peat and underlying mineral substrate, in shallower (<60 cm) peats, and in floodplains and estuaries where mineral alluvium is deposited periodically over organic material.

The equation that describes $C_d$ as a function of $B_d$ (Eq. 1) was used to predict $C_d$ values for 597 peat samples collected in the Danau Sentarum, Sabangau, and Berbak sites (Fig. 1). Using the equation to predict $C_d$ based upon $B_d$ derived from the literature (Eq. 1) resulted in overestimates of

Table 1. Source and locations of data used for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anshari et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>W. Kalimantan</td>
<td>Includes coastal and inland peat forests, logged peat forest, industrial timber, community agriculture, and oil palm plantations. Chemical analysis performed up to 200 cm depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady (1997)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Sumatra: S. Sumatra and Riau</td>
<td>Three primary peat forest sites. Data for upper and lower acrotelm layers, 0–40 cm depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauffman et al. (2011); Murdiyarso et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Indonesia/ Federated States of Micronesia</td>
<td>C. Kalimantan/ Kosrae</td>
<td>C. Kalimantan: riverine peat swamp forests characterized by shallow (&lt;1 m) peats, and C concentration exceeding 40% is described by the equation $B_d$ (mean = 460 kg m$^{-3}$) and low % C (25.4%). Kosrae: coastal peat forest sampled in five locations, also with C content generally lower than 50%. Sample depths to ca. 200 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lähteenoja et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Lowland Peruvian Amazon</td>
<td>Three floodplain sites including open peatland, palm swamp forest, peat forest. Sample depths up to 570 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melling et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Sarawak</td>
<td>Includes three sites: drained forest, sago, and oil palm plantations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuzil (1997)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>W. Kalimantan</td>
<td>Incremental data taken from one core per site. Peat thickness ranged from 2.41 to about 3.4 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>C. Kalimantan</td>
<td>Incremental data for a single continuous core to 960 cm depth. A single value for depth 0–90 cm was derived using average profile $B_d$ reported in text and average %C value 0–90 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimada et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>C. Kalimantan</td>
<td>Includes terrace, basin/domed, riverine, floodplain and marginal peatland. Also cites data from Neuzil (1997) for Sumatran and W. Kalimantan sites. Values reported are averages of normalized profile depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>W. Kalimantan</td>
<td>Incremental data from ten peat swamp forest sites across three national parks of Indonesia. Peat depths ranged from 1.75 to 12.7 m. 597 peat samples were used to compare predicted and measured carbon density values and estimated C stocks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Parameters of the linear relationship $C_d = B_d \times a + b$ between dry bulk density $B_d$ (g cm$^{-3}$) and carbon density $C_d$ (kg m$^{-3}$) derived from literature values (Eq. 1) and including field data from this study (Eq. 2). Eq. (3) was calculated from log transformed data to correct heteroscedasticity. Equations valid for C concentration > 40%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>2.92 - 7.89</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equation (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.83 - 6.81</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>468.76</td>
<td>461.05 - 476.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equation (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.67 - 4.85</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>476.82</td>
<td>476.1 - 477.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. (A) Linear relationship between C density and dry bulk density for peat soils > 40% derived from nine primary literature sources. (B) Predicted carbon density versus measured carbon density, with 1:1 line for 591 peat samples collected in this study.

C_d for some samples (Fig. 1). Of the 597 samples that were classified as peat in the field, and close to 100% organic in composition, only six violated the assumption of C content > 40%. The carbon content of these six samples ranged from 30.92 to 39.92%. Carbon content of field samples ranged from 30.92 to 60.71% with a mean of 51.33% (Table 3). Bulk density ranged from 0.054–0.415 g cm\(^{-3}\) with a mean of 0.127 g cm\(^{-3}\). The following equation describes C_d as a function of B_d calculated from field data in addition to data obtained from literature review (Fig. 2):

\[ C_d = B_d \times 468.76 + 5.82; \quad R^2 = 0.95, \quad p < 0.001, \quad (2) \]

which is slightly below the lower 95% confidence interval of Eq. (1) (Table 2). Analysis of residuals for the overall data set of 714 field and literature observations indicated increasing variation in C_d with B_d (Fig. 3). Therefore, a third general equation was calculated from log transformed data which corrected for heteroscedasticity. The simple linear form of the equation calculated from log transformed data is

\[ C_d = B_d \times 476.82 + 4.76; \quad R^2 = 0.96, \quad p < 0.001. \quad (3) \]

The equation calculated from log transformed data is above the upper 95% confidence interval of Eq. (2), but falls within the 95% confidence intervals of Eq. (1). Therefore, Eq. (2) is more conservative than Eqs. (1) and (3) to estimate C_d from B_d. The tendency of Eq. (1) to overestimate C_d was apparent for two of the ten transects when comparing estimates of overall peat C stocks (Table 4). Predicted peat C storage was close to mean measured values, and within one standard deviation of the measured means with the exception of Sentarum transect 4 and Berbak transect 1, where the predicted C stocks were 199.54 and 53.66 MgC ha\(^{-1}\) more than the measured mean +1 SD (respectively; Table 4). Sentarum transect 4 was the deepest peat layer sampled, with peat thickness in excess of 12 m. Differences in peat C stocks estimated using predicted C_d from Eq. 1 and those estimated using measured C_d ranged from 0.92%–9.57% (Table 4). The error using predicted C_d values was less than 5% for six of the ten sites.

4 Discussion

Guidelines for estimating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from agriculture, forestry, and other land uses are provided by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The IPCC guidance includes specific details for estimating C stocks of upland forest ecosystems; however, specific provisions for tropical wetland organic soils and peatlands are lacking (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4). The close relationship between C density and bulk density across a wide range of values allows for a reasonably accurate estimation of peat C stocks for tropical organic soils with C concentration >40% (Fig 3).

The average B_d of all peat samples collected in this study was 0.127 g cm\(^{-3}\), which is within reported ranges for other tropical peatlands (see Page et al., 2011). Several published estimates of average peat B_d are considerably lower and often closer to 0.09–0.1 g cm\(^{-3}\) (e.g. Neuzil, 1997; Shimada et
Table 3. Summary statistics of peat samples collected from three Indonesian peat formations and literature values used to develop predictive models for $C_d$. Values for $B_d$, $%C$ and $C_d$ are expressed as mean ±SD, and values in parentheses indicate the range of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peat formation</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Depth range (m)</th>
<th>$B_d$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$%C$</th>
<th>$C_d$ (kg m$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danau Sentarum NP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>2.1–12.7</td>
<td>0.131 ± 0.043</td>
<td>50.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>65.9 ± 20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.054–0.371)</td>
<td>(30.9–60.7)</td>
<td>(27.2–185.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabangau NLPSF</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.9–4.2</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.052</td>
<td>54.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>65.1 ± 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.059–0.329)</td>
<td>(40.4–58.9)</td>
<td>(30.1–132.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berbak NP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.1–6.4</td>
<td>0.106 ± 0.028</td>
<td>51.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>54.5 ± 15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.056–0.172)</td>
<td>(40.8–58.2)</td>
<td>(28.6–90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>1.9–12.7</td>
<td>0.127 ± 0.044</td>
<td>51.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>64.2 ± 20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.054–0.371)</td>
<td>(40.3–60.7)</td>
<td>(27.2–185.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparisons of peat carbon stock estimates using measured $C_d$ and predicted $C_d$ using Eq. (1). Estimates are for ten sites across three national parks of Indonesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Average peat depth (m)</th>
<th>Measured peat C stock (Mg C ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Predicted peat C stock (Mg C ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentarum1</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>5709.60</td>
<td>956.75</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentarum2</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>3830.00</td>
<td>461.36</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentarum3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1698.61</td>
<td>129.34</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentarum4</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>7888.88</td>
<td>120.45</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabangau1</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1719.09</td>
<td>383.55</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabangau2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1424.61</td>
<td>80.23</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabangau3</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2501.16</td>
<td>144.83</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabangau4</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2612.55</td>
<td>359.14</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berbak1</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>2252.81</td>
<td>66.13</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berbak2</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>3164.21</td>
<td>121.14</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

al., 2001; Page et al., 2004; Anshari et al., 2010), and average $B_d$ values of 0.07–0.08 g cm$^{-3}$ are reported from peatlands in Riau and Jambi provinces, Sumatra (Neuzil, 1997; Hooijer et al., 2012). The high average bulk density in this study is likely due to the large number of observations from Danau Sentarum National Park, where $B_d$ averaged 0.13 g cm$^{-3}$. The peatlands in Danau Sentarum are known to be older than coastal peat formations, and higher bulk density with lower total C content may indicate a more advanced state of decomposition (Anshari et al., 2001). However, Neuzil (1997) reported an average $B_d$ of 0.13 g cm$^{-3}$ from a single 6-m-thick peat core in C. Kalimantan, similar to the average $B_d$ of 0.122 g cm$^{-3}$ from the NLPSF measured from peat cores ranging from 1.9 m to 4.2 m thick in this study. The peat thickness of the Sebangau formation is known to exceed 10 m (Jaenicke et al., 2008); therefore, lower average peat bulk densities may be expected from this site if a higher number of samples are extracted from intermediate positions throughout deeper peat cores (Page et al., 2004).

Hooijer et al. (2012) reported average bulk densities of 0.073–0.089 g cm$^{-3}$ from several plantation sites in Riau and Jambi provinces using a soil pit method to extract peat cores horizontally to a depth of 1–1.2 m. Neuzil (1997) reported similar average $B_d$ values of 0.079 and 0.083 g cm$^{-3}$ for peatland in Riau using a vertical sampling technique. Although it has been suggested that vertical sampling with a Russian peat sampler can compress peat samples prior to collection, we do not have data to determine systematic bias in our bulk density estimates. Estimates of average $B_d$ for Southeast Asian peatlands indicate a broad range and considerable variation, which is expected considering they cover approximately 21 million hectares throughout Indonesia (Wetlands International 2003, 2004, 2006). Therefore, site level $B_d$ measurements are necessary to accurately determine $C_d$ and storage.

The overestimation of C stocks in Danau Sentarum transect 4 and Berbak transect 2 is partly corrected by applying Eq. (2), which includes the complete set of literature and field data points, and is a more precise C density estimate. Because of the larger sample size and more precise estimate of $C_d$, we recommend Eq. (2) for rapid estimation of soil organic C stocks for well-developed peat soils where C content $>$ 40% can be assumed. Upper and lower prediction intervals for C density can also be calculated by applying the 95% confidence intervals of Eq. (2) (Table 2). Although Eq. (3) corrects for the heteroscedasticity of the data, the model was
Funding for this study was provided by grants 4485, 2012.

Figure 3. Residual plots for 714 observations of C_d and B_d from literature review and field samples. (A) Residuals of original data indicating increasing variation in C_d with increasing bulk density. (B) Residuals of log transformed data.

only slightly improved and confidence intervals were largely reduced. Equation (3) may be a more desirable model if the principle of conservatism does not apply to the estimates.

Direct measurement of carbon concentration in organic wetland soil samples is expensive and requires access to induction furnace elemental analyzers, which can be limited in many tropical remote areas. The equations described here provide an alternative method for calculating organic soil C stocks to partially overcome the economic and technical limitations frequently encountered in less developed countries. These equations are meant to provide a means for rapid belowground C assessment in tropical peatlands. Similar to other default methodologies suggested under IPCC guidelines, the equations describing C_d as a function of B_d using the complete data set (Eqs. 2 and 3) could be useful for estimating and comparing C stocks in surface peat layers, which are most vulnerable to impacts from fire and land use/cover change.

Current estimates of tropical wetland C stocks and fluxes often rely on assumed default values for B_d (usually around 0.1 g cm^-3), C content (54–58 %) and average peat depth, despite known variation in all of these variables among peat types and depth profiles of single peat cores (Ballhorn et al., 2009; Jaenicke et al., 2008; Neuzil, 1997; Murdiyarso et al., 2010; Page et al., 2002, 2004, 2011). Average peat depth values probably contribute the most uncertainty to C estimations, since peat C storage is scaled volumetrically and values used are often calculated from relatively few samples that may not represent the heterogeneity of peat forests forming under distinct geomorphic, environmental, and biological conditions. Furthermore, substantial quantities of carbon may exist in mineral soils underlying peat layers especially in shallow peats (Murdiyarso et al., 2009). Using Eq. (2) to estimate potential C storage at a given site could be accomplished by determining the size of the representative area, using peat depth measurements to estimate volume, and obtaining measurements of B_d throughout the peat profile either by vertical or pit sampling. These measurements only require simple peat sampling equipment, accurate sample volume measurements, an analytical balance and a drying oven, all of which can be easily employed in remote settings. Carbon stocks estimated in this way should be considered preliminary in preparation of a more detailed assessment for C accounting purposes. Initial estimates could then be followed up and verified by measuring C density directly using a C analyzer, and more sophisticated three-dimensional spatial modeling of the peat deposit (Jaenicke et al., 2008).

The advantage of using the C density equations presented here is the possibility of calculating C stocks empirically without the need for expensive analytical equipment. However, the validity of the C density equations depends entirely on the accurate assumption that the peat sampled has an organic C content > 40 %, which is not problematic for most ombrotrophic fibric and hemic peats in geomorphic settings that preclude allochthonous sources of mineral sediments. Only six of the 597 peat samples obtained from ten sites across three Indonesian national parks in this study violated the assumption of C content > 40 %. The equations presented here to calculate C_d from B_d cannot be used for many organic soils in transitional, floodplain, and mangrove forests, as they are likely to have organic C content < 40 %. Reliable field identification and classification of organic tropical wetland soils is necessary to correctly apply the equations presented, and the assumption of % C > 40 should be validated for a minimum set of subsamples at any given site. Although limited, predicting C density from bulk density measurements using the general Eqs. (2) and (3) (Table 2) are useful tools for rapid and accurate belowground C stock estimation for highly organic tropical peat soils common throughout the freshwater wetlands of Indonesia and elsewhere.
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