Appendix B - Deadwood Trib South

PEAK DISCHARGES FOR SELECTED FREQUENCIES

Report prepared for: autodelin
Time: 16:40 Date: 06/06/2007

Watershed Name: +

PEAK DISCHARGE CALCULATION BY PREDICTION EQUATION

Peak discharges for the ungaged watershed have been determined from a set of hydrologic prediction equations derived using generalized least squares. The models relate peak discharges to physical watershed characteristics such as area and precipitation. The equations take this form:

```
Q(T)=(10.0^C0(T))*(CHR1^C1(T))* . . . (CHRn^Cn(T))
-------------------------------------------------
   Q(T)  =  Peak Discharge for Return Period T
   Cx(T) =  Coefficient x for Return Period T
   CHR1  =  The First Watershed Characteristic
   CHRn  =  The nth Watershed Characteristic
-------------------------------------------------
   Note: * = multiplication, ^ = exponentiation
```

For this ungaged watershed, peak discharges were estimated using prediction equations for this flood region:

COASTAL WATERSHEDS

Prediction Equation for Coastal Watersheds

```
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
Q(T)=(10.0^C0(T))*(X1^C1(T))*(X2^C2(T)*(X3^C3(T))*(X4^C4(T))*(X5^C5(T))
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
    Q(T)    =  Peak Discharge for Return Period T
    Cx(T)   =  Coefficient x for Return Period T
    X1      =  Drainage area                          (square miles)
    X2      =  2-year 24-hour precipitation intensity (inches)
    X3      =  Soil permeability                      (inches/hour)
    X4      =  Mean maximum January temperature       (degrees F)
    X5      =  Soil storage capacity                  (inches)
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
               Note: * = multiplication, ^ = exponentiation
```

Prediction Equation Coefficients

Return Period T	Coefficients C0(T)	C1(T)	C2(T)	C3(T)	C4(T)	C5(T)
2	-1.296E+00	9.489E-01	1.360E+00	-1.576E-01	1.280E+00	-4.421E-01
5	-1.881E+00	9.385E-01	1.272E+00	-2.234E-01	1.738E+00	-5.026E-01
10	-2.095E+00	9.324E-01	1.226E+00	-2.552E-01	1.926E+00	-5.267E-01
20	-2.248E+00	9.273E-01	1.190E+00	-2.812E-01	2.069E+00	-5.438E-01
25	-2.291E+00	9.258E-01	1.179E+00	-2.888E-01	2.109E+00	-5.484E-01
50	-2.410E+00	9.215E-01	1.151E+00	-3.111E-01	2.223E+00	-5.605E-01
100	-2.516E+00	9.176E-01	1.126E+00	-3.319E-01	2.325E+00	-5.701E-01
500	-2.723E+00	9.099E-01	1.078E+00	-3.770E-01	2.527E+00	-5.855E-01

Required Watershed Characteristics

Drainage area	(square miles)	0.210
2-year 24-hour precipitation intensity	(inches)	3.430

Page 1 of 4

Appendix B - Deadwood Trib South

Soil permeability	(inches/hour)	2.680
Mean maximum January temperature	(degrees F)	47.100
Soil storage capacity	(inches)	0.130

WARNING: WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS ARE OUT OF BOUNDS

One or more of the required watershed characteristics is an extrapolation from the set used to develop the regression equations.

PEAK DISCHARGES HAVE BEEN CALCULATED, BUT SHOULD BE USED WITH CAUTION.

COASTAL WATERSHEDS
Bounds on Required Watershed Characteristics

Drainage area	(square miles)	0.28	to	673.40
2-year 24-hour precipitation intensity	(inches)	2.52	to	5.80
Soil permeability	(inches/hour)	0.72	to	4.76
Mean maximum January temperature	(degrees F)	42.41	to	53.88
Soil storage capacity	(inches)	0.10	to	0.23

PEAK DISCHARGE ESTIMATES BASED ON PREDICTION EQUATIONS

Return Period years	Peak Flow cfs	95% Confidence Lower Limit cfs	Upper Limit cfs
2	18.0	10.4	30.9
5	26.4	15.8	44.1
10	32.3	19.2	54.4
20	38.3	22.3	65.6
25	40.2	23.3	69.2
50	46.1	26.2	81.3
100	52.2	28.7	94.8
500	66.5	34.2	129

REFERENCES

Cooper, R.M., Estimation of peak discharges for rural, unregulated streams in western Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scietific Investigations Report 2005-5116, 134 p.

Cooper, R.M., Estimation of peak discharges for rural, unregulated streams in eastern Oregon: Oregon Water Resources Department Open File Report SW 06-00, 150 p.

Thomas, B.E., Hjalmarson, H.W., and Waltemeyer, S.D., 1993, Methods for estimating magnitude and frequency of floods in the Southwestern United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-419, 211 p.

Harris, D.D., Hubbard, L.E. and Hubbard, L.E., 1979, Magnitude and frequency of floods in western Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 79-553, 29 p.

Harris, D.D., and Hubbard, L.E., 1982. Magnitude and frequency of floods in eastern Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 82-4078, 39 p.

Sumioka, S.S., Kresch, D.L., and Kasnick, K.D., 1997, Magnitude and frequency of floods in Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water

Appendix B - Deadwood Trib South

Resources Investigations Report 97-4277, 91 p.

Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data, 1982, Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency: Bulletin 17B of the Hydrology Sub-committee, Office of Water Data Coordination, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, 28 p.

Riggs, H.C., 1973, Regional analysis of streamflow characteristics: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water Resources Investigations, book 4, chapter B3, 15 p.

Tasker, G.D., and Stedinger, J.R., 1989, An operational GLS model for hydrologic regression: Journal of Hydrology, v. 111, p. 361-375

Wiley, J.B., Atkins, Jr., J.T., and Tasker, G.D., 2000, Magnitude and frequency of peak discharges for rural, unregulated streams in West Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4080, 93 p.



Pour point

Watershed boundary

N

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for, or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Users of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the usability of the information.

Report and output shapefile is avilable for 7 days at:

http://www1.wrd.state.or.us/files/wars/070606.164055.zip

Page 4 of 4