

Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R50HSA Oak-Hickory Savanna

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

Modelers

Ron Masters rmasters@trrs.org
Bruce Davenport bdavenport@fs.fed.us

Reviewers

Doug Zollner dzollner@tnc.org
Maria Melnechuk maria_melnechuk@tnc.org

Vegetation Type

Woodland

General Model Sources

- Literature
 Local Data
 Expert Estimate

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- California Pacific Northwest
 Great Basin South Central
 Great Lakes Southeast
 Northeast S. Appalachians
 Northern Plains Southwest
 N-Cent. Rockies

Dominant Species*

QUST
QUMA
SCHIZ

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

36
37

Geographic Range

This PNVG is located in East Texas, adjacent to and surrounding Blackland prairie from near the coast extending north to the southern extent of the Cross timbers as described by Dyksterhuis.

Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG occurs on irregular plains of sand to sandy loam Ustalf soils. Soils are shallow to moderately deep.

Vegetation Description

The vegetation is dominated by Post oak (*Quercus stellata*) and to a lesser extent blackjack oak (*Q. marilandica*), a minor component of hickory (*Carya* spp.) also is a constituent. In open conditions the understory and canopy openings are dominated by little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*) and various annual and perennial forbs with prevalence dictated by stand density and overstory canopy cover. In closed canopy conditions, groundcover has little to no herbaceous cover and is dominated by oak leaf litter. Other important woody plants include greenbriar (*Smilax* spp.), sumac (*Rhus* spp.) and poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*). Species that may invade include *Prosopis glandulosa* to the south and *Juniperus virginiana* and *Juniperus ashei*.

Disturbance Description

This PNVG is in fire regime group I, with frequent surface fires, both lightning and anthropogenic in origin (Stewart 1951, 2002; Journey et al. 2004). Frequent anthropogenic fire was important for perpetuation of this type (Stewart 1951, 2002). Further, frequency approaching annual burning is cited in numerous historical references (Denevan 1992; Stewart 1963, 2002). Historic fires have been documented during all seasons (Stewart 2002, Journey et al. 2004) dependant on the availability of dry fine fuels sufficient to carry a fire and likely edaphic and microsite constraints. Bison grazing likely influenced fire patterns and thus the landscape patterns. Bison and other grazing/browsing wildlife species preferentially seek out the new growth of recently burned areas affecting patch composition (Fuhlendorf and Engle 2004). Using the

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

fire/bison interaction model first proposed by Steuter (1986) recent modifications propose that anywhere from 1/6 to 1/3 of a 20,000 acre (8,094 hectares) landscape likely burned (Fuhlendorf and Engle 2004). Likely this was less in oak savanna. This caused earlier green-up and increased nutrient content of native grasses.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG is distinct from adjacent blackland prairie that may be either to the west or east or in the adjacent eastern north to south bands bordering oak-hickory-pine.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

The landscape was a matrix of woodland and blackland prairie, with some dissection of this type by small rivers and streams. The landscape for this type is 6-8 million acres.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Paul Harcomb, Rice University. David Jurney, Ozark-St. Francis National Forest, Russellville, Arkansas

Succession Classes**
Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 15 %

Early1 All Struct

Description

Oak reproduction (often coppice) to 15' tall. Community of forbs and perennial grasses. More persistent on shallow soils. Openings may be small to extensive and have scattered live trees. 0-19 years of age.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

QUST Upper
QUMA Mid-Upper
SCHIZ4 Lower

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	100 %
Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Regen <5m
Tree Size Class	Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Early on dominated by tallgrasses but as coppice regeneration develops will tend towards a dense stand that shades out grasses

Fuel Model 3

Class B 2 %

Mid1 Closed

Description

Mid-seral with closed canopy (>60%) sapling to pole-sized oak with little or no herbaceous understory. Often coppice origin. 20-79 years of age.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

QUST Upper
QUMA Mid-Upper

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model 9

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Class C 40 %

Mid1 Open
Description

Mid-seral woodland/savanna overstory with perennial grasses. Cover <60%. 20-79 years of age.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

QUST Upper
QUMA Mid-Upper
SCHIZ4 Lower

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 3

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	20 %	60 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D 40 %

Late1 Open
Description

Mid-seral woodland/savanna oak overstory with perennial grasses. Cover <60%. 80 years plus in age.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

QUST Upper
QUMA Mid-Upper
SCHIZ4 Lower

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 3

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	20 %	60 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Large 21-33"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E 3 %

Late1 Closed
Description

Late-seral, closed canopy (>60%) oak dominated overstory community. Little to no herbaceous cover and few shrubs. 80 years plus in age.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

QUST Upper
QUMA Mid-Upper

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Disturbances

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Disturbances Modeled

- Fire
- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: 1000
 Min: 100
 Max: 10000

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

Fire Regime Group: 1

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Fire Intervals (FI)

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

	<i>Avg FI</i>	<i>Min FI</i>	<i>Max FI</i>	<i>Probability</i>	<i>Percent of All Fires</i>
<i>Replacement</i>	227			0.00441	1
<i>Mixed</i>	2000			0.0005	0
<i>Surface</i>	3.2			0.3125	98
<i>All Fires</i>	3			0.31741	

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Dyksterhuis, E. J. 1948. The vegetation of the western cross timbers. Ecological Monographs 18:327-376.

Dyksterhuis, E. J. 1957. The savanna concept and its use. Ecology 38:435-442.

Russell, F. L., and N. L. Fowler. 1999. Rarity of oak saplings in savannas and woodlands of the eastern Edwards Plateau, Texas. Southwestern Naturalist 44:31-41.

Fuhlendorf, S. D. and D. M. Engle. 2001. Restoring heterogeneity on rangelands: ecosystem management based on evolutionary grazing patterns. BioScience 51:625-632.

Fuhlendorf, S. D. and D. M. Engle. 2004. Application of the fire-grazing interaction to restore a shifting mosaic on tallgrass prairie. Journal of Applied Ecology 41:604-614.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, and V. Bergstrom. 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern North America. Pages 95-116 in R. T. Engstrom and W. J. de Groot (eds). 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conf. Proceedings. Kanakas, Alberta.

Russell, F. L., and N. L. Fowler. 2002. Failure of adult recruitment in Quercus buckleyi populations on the Eastern Edwards Plateau, Texas. American Midland Naturalist 148:201-217.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Streng, D. R. and P. A. Harcombe. 1982. Why don't east Texas savannas grow up to forest? The American Midland Naturalist 108:278-294.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Stewart, O. C. 1951. Burning and natural vegetation in the United States. *Geographical Review* 41:317-320.

Stewart, O. C. 1963. Barriers to understanding the influence of use of fire by aborigines. *Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference* 2:117-126.

Stewart, O. C. 2002. *Forgotten fires, Native Americans and the transient wilderness*. Edited by H. T. Lewis and M. K. Anderson. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman. 364p.

Tharpe, B. C. 1925. Structure of Texas vegetation east of the 98th meridian. *University of Texas Bulletin* 2606, University of Texas, Austin.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>.