

## Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit [www.landfire.gov](http://www.landfire.gov). Please direct questions to [helpdesk@landfire.gov](mailto:helpdesk@landfire.gov).

### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R5FOWOdm Interior Highlands Dry-Mesic Forest and Woodland

#### General Information

**Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

##### Modelers

Fryar, Roger      rfryar@fs.fed.us

##### Reviewers

Paul Nelson      pwnelson@fs.fed.us  
David H. Journey      djourney@fs.fed.us  
Doug Zollner      dzollner@tnc.org

##### Vegetation Type

Forested

##### General Model Sources

- Literature  
 Local Data  
 Expert Estimate

##### Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- California       Pacific Northwest  
 Great Basin       South Central  
 Great Lakes       Southeast  
 Northeast       S. Appalachians  
 Northern Plains       Southwest  
 N-Cent. Rockies

##### Dominant Species\*

QUAL      FAGR  
QURU  
ACSA  
ACRU

##### LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

44

#### Geographic Range

This PNVG primarily occurs in the Interior Low Plateau, southern Central Lowland, Ozark Plateaus, and Ouachita physiographic provinces. It includes parts of Missouri, Arkansas and Oklahoma.

#### Biophysical Site Description

This type is found on a wide range of topographic positions, including drier sites and mixed mesophytic forests, distribution is nonetheless influenced by local conditions affecting moisture and fertility. Generally, from east to west, that distribution becomes more and more limited in extent and more dependent on very favorable habitat conditions. Drier sites (often oak dominated) represent approximately 75% of the total type while less than 25% of the type is represented as the most mesic sites in the upland landscape. Open conditions describe a single canopy structure with no developed midstory. Closed conditions are multiple canopy usually late-seral forests.

#### Vegetation Description

The vegetation is variable along moisture gradients, but includes (on more mesic sites) generally more fire-intolerant species such as red maple, sugar maple and other non-oak hardwood components. On drier sites, white oak, red oaks, and other fire-tolerant hardwood species are dominant. Drier sites are generally more open than mesic sites. At these sites the canopy is open enough to support mixed grasses, sedges and forbs but not warm season grasses. In Missouri, this type occupies dry-mesic conditions associated with deeper soils of leeward, north- and east- facing hill and mountain shoulders to the toe of the slope. Mesic sites in mid and late seral stages tend to be closed forest with understories (sometimes more herbaceous than woody).

#### Disturbance Description

This PNVG is fire regime group I primarily, but with lower frequency than drier types and primarily low intensity surface fire with occasional mosaic (mixed severity) or replacement fire. Mean fire return interval (MFI) is about 20 years with wide year-to-year and within-type variation related to moisture cycles, degree

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

of sheltering, and proximity to more fire-prone types. Anthropogenic fire is considered and contributes to within-type MFI variation. Native ungulate grazing may have played a small role in replacement where buffalo and elk concentrated, but fire generally maintained systems. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire and native grazing.

**Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This PNVG was defined using NatureServe - Central Interior and Appalachian (202), CES202.306 Ouachita Montane Oak Forest, CES202.708 Ozark-Ouachita Dry-Mesic Oak Forest, CES202.043 Ozark-Ouachita Mesic Hardwood Forest. Also identified as Ouachita Mixed Forest and Eastern Broadleaf Forest (R8 Old Growth Guidance). The dry-mesic woodland differs from the more open, drier, bluestem-dominated woodland (R5BSOW) but the two do overlap.

**Scale Description**

**Sources of Scale Data**  Literature  Local Data  Expert Estimate

Landscape adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. Topographically complex areas can be relatively small (< 1000 acres). Larger landscapes up to several thousand acres in size.

**Issues/Problems**

Type includes western mixed mesophytic as an inclusion in a much larger matrix of dry-mesic oak and other mesic hardwood. The more mesic type(s) are not mappable at LANDFIRE scales, but as a part of larger dry-mesic hardwood becomes mappable.

**Model Evolution and Comments**

Collaboration and suggested edits from Doug Zollner, Ron Masters, Paul Nelson, Tom Foti, Susan Hooks, Steve Osborne, Bruce Davenport and others. References and site description were expanded as a result of peer review.

<b>Succession Classes**</b>															
<i>Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).</i>															
<b>Class A</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b><u>Dominant Species* and Canopy Position</u></b>	<b><u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u></b>												
<b><u>Description</u></b>	Early1 All Struct 0-15 years. Sprouts, seedlings, saplings of major overstory species in gaps and openings created by wind, lightning, insect/disease and fire. Both fire-tolerant and intolerant species present.	ACRU Upper QUAL Upper QURU Upper PRSE2 Upper	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Min</th> <th>Max</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Cover</td> <td>35 %</td> <td>100 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td>Tree Regen &lt;5m</td> <td>Tree Regen &lt;5m</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tree Size Class</td> <td colspan="2">Sapling &gt;4.5ft; &lt;5"DBH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Min	Max	Cover	35 %	100 %	Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Size Class	Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH	
	Min	Max													
Cover	35 %	100 %													
Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Regen <5m													
Tree Size Class	Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH														
		<b><u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u></b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:												
		<input type="checkbox"/> Herbaceous <input type="checkbox"/> Shrub <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tree													
		<b><u>Fuel Model</u></b> 3													

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Class B 25 %**

Mid1 Closed

**Description**

15-64 years. Dominated by young to mid-seral mature canopy with some development of mid and understory species. Closed conditions are more a function of mesic (or topographically protected) conditions.

Understory/midstory development with at least two layers present (dependent on age) on these more mesic sites. On drier sites, forested conditions but with a relatively open understory.

**Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position**

ACRU Upper  
ACSA3 Upper  
QUAL Upper  
FAGR Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 9**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	65 %	100 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Short 5-9m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Class C 20 %**

Mid1 Open

**Description**

15-64 years. Similar overstory species as B but in a single canopy structure without well-developed midstory. On drier sites generally more oak-dominated. Variable herbaceous understory ranging from grass to rich herb layers. The understory is a function of moisture gradients, fire frequency and intensity.

**Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUAL Upper  
QURU Upper  
ACRU Upper

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 9**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	35 %	65 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Short 5-9m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Class D 30 %**

Late1 Open

**Description**

65-100+ years. Mature canopy sometimes reaching 100 feet in height. Dominant overstory species variable by location and stand history. Open (woodland) conditions dependent on fire frequency and intensity. Generally more oak dominated with white oak a common dominant.

**Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUAL Upper  
QURU Upper

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model 9**

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	35 %	50 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Class E 20 %**

Late I Closed

**Description**

65-100+ years. Canopy may have more non-oak hardwood with well-developed lower layers containing many of the canopy species.

**Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUAL Upper  
ACSA3 Middle  
FAGR Low-Mid  
COFL2 Low-Mid

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model** 9

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	65 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Disturbances**

**Disturbances Modeled**

- Fire
- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other

**Historical Fire Size (acres)**

Avg: 500  
Min: 10  
Max: 5000

**Fire Regime Group: 1**

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

**Fire Intervals (FI)**

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Replacement	250	50	300	0.004	7
Mixed	90	20	150	0.01111	18
Surface	22	5	35	0.04545	75
All Fires	17			0.06057	

**Sources of Fire Regime Data**

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

**References**

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Frost, C., Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Pages 70-81, May 1996., Proceedings of the 20nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Ecosystem Management: Shifting the Paradigm from Suppression to Prescription. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Foti, T. and S. Glenn. 1991. The Ouachita Mountains Landscape at the Time of Settlement. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors. Proc.: Conference on Restoring Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma. Winrock International, Morrilton, Ark.

Fryar, Roger D. 1991. Old Growth Stands of the Ouachita National Forest. In D. Henderson and L. D. Hedrick, editors. Proc: Restoration of Old Growth Forest in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma. Winrock International. Morrilton, Ark.

Glitzenstein, J. S., P. A. Harcomb, and D. R. Streng. 1986. Disturbances, succession, and maintenance of species diversity in an east Texas forest. Ecological Monographs 56:243-258.

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Guyette, R.P. and B.E. Cutter. 1997. Fire history, population, and calcium cycling in the Current River Watershed. In:(Pallardy et al. eds.) Proceedings 11th Central Hardwood Forest Conference. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-188. 401 p.

Guyette, R. P and B. E. Cutter. 1991. Tree-ring analysis of fire history of a post-oak savanna in the Missouri Ozarks. *Natural Areas Journal* 11(2): 93-99.  
Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma. Conf. Proc., Winrock International. Morrilton, Ark.

Guyette, R.P. and D.C. Dey. 1997. Historic shortleaf pine (*Pinus echinata*) abundance and fire frequency in a mixed oak - pine forest (MOFEP site 8). In:(B. Brookshire and S. Shifley,eds.) The Proceeding of the Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium: An experimental approach to landscape research. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-193. 378 p.

Guyette, R.P. Dey, D.C, and M.C. Stambaugh. 2003. Fire history of an Indiana oak barren. *American Midlands Naturalist*. 149:21-34.

Guyette, R.P. and J. Kabrick. 2003. The legacy of forest disturbance, succession, and species at the MOFEP sites. In:(S. Shifley, eds.) The Proceeding of the Second Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium. USDA Forest Service GTR NC-227.

Guyette, R. P. and E. A. McGinnes, Jr. 1982. Fire History of an Ozark Glade in Missouri. *Trans. Mo. Acad. Sci.*16:85-93.

Guyette, R.P. R.M. Muzika, C.D. Dey. 2002. Dynamics of an anthropogenic fire regime. *Ecosystems*. 5(5): 472-486.

Guyette, R. P. and M. A. Spetich 2003. Fire history in oak-pine forests in the Lower Boston Mountains, Arkansas, USA. *Forest and Ecology Management* 180:463-474.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, John, V. Bergstrom, 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern Mareica. Pages 95-116 in R.T. Engstrom, K.E. M. Galley, and W.J. de Groot (eds.). Proceedings of the 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Montane, Boreal, and Temperate Ecosystems, Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of fire and timber harvest on vegetation and cervid use on oak -pine sites in Oklahoma Ouachita Mountains. Pages 168-176. In S. C. Nodvin and T. A. Waldrop, (eds.). *Fire and the environment: ecological and cultural perspectives*. Proc. Of an international symposium. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-69. Southeast For. Exp. Sta., Asheville, N.C.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of timber harvest and prescribed fire on wildlife habitat and use in the Ouachita Mountains of eastern Oklahoma. Ph.D. Thesis, Oklahoma State Univ. Stillwater. 351 pp.

Masters, R. E., and D. M. Engle. 1994. BEHAVE-evaluated for prescribed fire planning in mountainous oak-shortleaf pine habitats. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 22:184-191.

Masters, R. E., D. M. Engle, and R. Robinson. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on soil chemical properties in the Ouachita Mountains. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry* 17:139-145.

Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, and D. M. Engle. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on white-tailed deer forage production. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 21:401-411.

Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, S. T. McMurry, and G. A. Bukenhofer. 1998. Small mammal response to pine-grassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 28:148-158.

Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. A. Garner. 1989. Red-cockaded woodpecker in Oklahoma; an update of Wood's 1974-77 Study. *Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci.* 69:27-31.

Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. Whitehead. 1995. Preliminary fire history of McCurtain County Wilderness Area and implications for red-cockaded woodpecker management. Pages 290-302 in D. L. Kulhavy, R. G. Hooper, and R. Costa. (eds.). *Red-cockaded woodpecker: Species recovery, ecology and management*. Center for Applied Studies, Stephen F. Austin University, Nacogdoches, TX.

Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, D. S. Cram, G. A. Bukenhofer, and R. L. Lochmiller. 2002. Influence of ecosystem restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on breeding bird and small mammal communities. Pages 73-90 in W. M. Ford, K. R. Russell, and C. E. Moorman, editors. *In The role of fire in non-game wildlife management and community restoration: traditional uses and new directions: proceedings of a special workshop*. Annual Meeting of The Wildlife Society, Nashville, Tenn. USDA For. Ser. Northeast Research Station. General Technical Report NE- 288.

Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, G. A. Bukenhofer, and M. E. Payton. 1996. Effects of pinegrassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on white-tailed deer forage production. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 24:77-84.

NatureServe. 2005. *International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications*. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Spetich, Martin A., ed. 2004. *Upland oak ecology symposium: history, current conditions, and sustainability*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-73. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 311 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). *Fire Effects Information System*, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: <http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain>

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, June 1997, *Guidance for Conserving and Restoring Old-Growth Forest Communities on National Forests in the Southern Region – Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team*, Forestry Report R8-FR 62.