

Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R1MCONss Mixed Conifer - South Slopes

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

Modelers

Joe Sherlock jsherlock@fs.fed.us
Neil Sugihara nsugihara@fs.fed.us

Reviewers

2 anonymous reviewers

Vegetation Type

Forested

General Model Sources

- Literature
 Local Data
 Expert Estimate

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> California | <input type="checkbox"/> Pacific Northwest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Basin | <input type="checkbox"/> South Central |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Lakes | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> S. Appalachians |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Plains | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> N-Cent. Rockies | |

Dominant Species*

ABCO
PIPO
PILA
PSME

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

3	6
4	
5	

Geographic Range

California, from the San Bernardino mountain range thru the western slope of the Sierra Nevada mountain range, to the Klamath-Siskiyou region. May include interior coast ranges. Type intergrades with mixed conifer in southern Oregon, and may be extremely similar to it.

Biophysical Site Description

South and west-facing aspects, throughout the geographic range. Generally above 5,000 at the southern extent to about 1,000 feet elevation in the north. Upper elevations defined by ecotone with red fir, lodgepole, and mixed evergreen.

Vegetation Description

Mixed conifer forests are typically composed of 3 or more species, with ponderosa pine, sugar pine, and Douglas-fir, white fir, and incense cedar. California black oak, or other hardwood species, are also common components. Giant sequoia forests are included within this PNVG. Douglas-fir drops out south of Yosemite National Park. Incense cedar may compose a larger proportion of PNVG in the south.

Disturbance Description

Surface fire occurs at an average generally between 5-10 years; mixed severity occurs about every 50 years; overall mean FRI 8-10 years (Taylor and Skinner 2003, Taylor and Skinner 1998) Insect/pathogen drought-related mortality occurs every 7-10 years. Snow breakage occurs in class B about every 5 years.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Extends between the low elevation hardwood forests to the red fir forests of the upper elevations.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Small patch size mosaic, driven by variations of surface fire intensity and insect/pathogen-related mortality. Also includes coarser texture, at the 100's to 1,000's of acres scale, that are less frequent.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Issues/Problems

It is difficult to generalize across the latitudinal range of MCON - there is a considerable variation in the frequency of fire by fire type as you go from north to south. These differences will be better reflected in LF models by mapping zone.

Model Evolution and Comments

Very little data on reference % of PNVG by state. JoAnn Fites and Richard Minnich provided comments after the models entered final Q/C - they suggested that A/B/C/D/E should be 5/10/15/35/35. Shlisky adjusted model to reflect a compromise of A/B/C/D/E/ of 5/5/15/55/20 given the Sherlock/Sugihara fire frequencies. We will develop this hypothesis further for LF modeling by mapping zone.

Succession Classes**
Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 5%

Description
 Early1 PostRep
 Early succession, after localized mortality, or mixed severity fire, comprised of grass, shrubs, and tree seedlings to saplings.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position
 ABCO
 PIPO
 PILA
 PSME

Upper Layer Lifeform
 Herbaceous
 Shrub
 Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	80 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class B 5%

Description
 Mid1 Closed
 Pole to medium sized conifers with canopy cover greater than 40%.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position
 ABCO
 PIPO
 PSME
 PILA

Upper Layer Lifeform
 Herbaceous
 Shrub
 Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	40 %	70 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 15%

Description
 Mid1 Open
 Pole to medium sized conifers with canopy cover less than 40%.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position
 ABCO
 PIPO
 PILA
 PS

Upper Layer Lifeform
 Herbaceous
 Shrub
 Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	39 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D 55 %

Late I Open

Description

Overstory of large and very large trees with canopy cover less than 40%. Occurring in small to moderately-sized patches on southerly aspects and ridgetops. Multi-aged.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

ABCO
PIPO
PILA
PSME

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	39 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E 20 %

Late I Closed

Description

Overstory of large and very large trees with canopy cover greater than 40%. Occurring in small to moderately-sized patches on north aspects and lower slope positions. Understory characterized by medium and smaller-sized shade-tolerant conifers

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

ABCO
PIPO
PILA
PSME

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	40 %	70 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled

- Fire
- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: no data
Min: no data
Max: no data

Fire Regime Group: 1

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Fire Intervals (FI)

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Replacement	200			0.005	4
Mixed	50			0.02	16
Surface	10			0.1	80
All Fires	8			0.125	

References

Beaty R. M. and A. H. Taylor. Spatial and temporal variation of fire regimes in a mixed conifer forest landscape, Southern Cascades, California, USA Department of Geography, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. Journal of Biogeography 28: 955±966

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

- Bekker, M. F. and A. H. Taylor. 2001. Gradient Analysis of Fire Regimes in Montane Forests of the Southern Cascade Range, Thousand Lakes Wilderness, California, USA. *Plant Ecology* 155: 15–28.
- Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.
- Caprio, A.C. and T.W.Swetnam. 1995. Historic fire regimes along an elevational gradient on the west slope of the Sierra Nevada, California. In: Brown, James K.; Mutch, Robert W.; Spoon, Charles W.; Wakimoto, Ronald H., tech. Coord. 1995. Proceedings: Symposium on Fire in Wilderness and Park Management: Past Lessons and Future Opportunities, March 30-April 1, 1993. Missoula, MT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-320. Ogden, UT; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Evan J. Frost and Rob Sweeney. 2000. Fire Regimes, Fire History and Forest Conditions in the Klamath-Siskiyou Region: An Overview and Synthesis of Knowledge. Wildwood Environmental Consulting. Prepared for the World Wildlife Fund, Klamath-Siskiyou Ecoregion Program, Ashland, OR. December, 2000
- Kilgore, B. M. And D. Taylor. 1979. Fire history of a sequoia-mixed conifer forest. *Ecology*, 60(1), 1979, pp. 129 – 142
1979
- McKelvey, K.S. and seven other authors. 1996. An Overview of Fire. In: the Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.
- Skinner, C.N. and C. Chang. 1996. Fire Regimes, Past and Present. In: the Sierra Nevada Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final report to Congress, vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, 1996.
- Taylor, A. H. and C. N. Skinner. 1998. Fire history and landscape dynamics in a late-successional reserve, Klamath Mountains, California, USA
Forest Ecology and Management 111: 285-301
- Taylor, A.H. and C.N. Skinner. 2003. Spatial patterns and controls on historical fire regimes and forest structure in the Klamath Mountains. *Ecological Applications* 13:704-719.
- Taylor, A. H. (date?). Fire regimes and forest changes in mid and upper montane forests of the southern Cascades, Lassen Volcanic National Park, California, U.S.A. *Journal of Biogeography* 27: 87–104

list not complete