

Medicine from U.S. Wildlands:

*An Assessment of Native Plant Species
Harvested in the United States for Medicinal
Use and Trade and Evaluation of the
Conservation and Management Implications*

Challenge Cost Share Agreement No. 97-CCS-230
between
USDA Forest Service & The Nature Conservancy

July 1999

The Nature Conservancy

A report from TRAFFIC North America prepared for
The Nature Conservancy

Medicine from U.S. Wildlands: An Assessment of Native Plant Species Harvested in the United States for Medicinal Use and Trade and Evaluation of the Conservation and Management Implications

TRAFFIC North America
Prepared by Christopher Robbins for The Nature Conservancy
(July 1999)

Introduction

North America is a major consumer and producer of medicinal plants offered in myriad over-the-counter (OTC) herbal preparations. At least 175 native North American plants are offered on the non-prescription medicinal market in the United States; more than 140 medicinal herbs native to North America have been documented in herbal products and phytomedicines in foreign countries. The market for medicinal herbs in the United States is worth US\$600 million and is growing at an annualized rate of more than 100 percent (NBJ 1998; Brevoort 1998). The popularity of herbs and herbal products can be partially attributed to growing global appreciation for and adoption of naturopathic medicine, which is often perceived and publicized as a less-expensive and less-invasive form of treatment than modern medicine. Favorable public opinion of herbs combined with effective marketing of herbal preparations by industry has resulted in explosive consumption of medicinal plants. While commercially important and therapeutically promising, the utilization of medicinal plants raises valid questions about the environmental implications of this practice on wild populations, species and the ecosystems from which they are sourced.

Background

Dozens and possibly hundreds of medicinal plants native to North America are collected in large amounts from wild lands in Canada, Mexico and the United States. The majority of this raw plant material enters commercial trade and is eventually purchased in a variety of forms by consumers in and outside of North America. The potential conservation problems, resource management issues and opportunities for economic development that may arise from this trade are not well understood, as information is lacking or difficult to acquire.

TRAFFIC North America, with assistance from The Nature Conservancy, is examining the collection, commercialization and conservation of medicinal plants in the United States to: gain a better understanding of which native species sourced from U.S. wildlands are potentially at risk from commercial U.S. trade; review the permitting, monitoring and management mechanisms for medicinal plants harvested on federal lands and identify gaps in these systems; and recommend steps for improving long-term conservation of affected species.

Methodology

Input from two major U.S. federal land management agencies, the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM), was the main source of information used to identify species and assess amounts of medicinal plants harvested from U.S. federal lands. The summary and evaluation of information on permits issued for the collection of medicinal plants from BLM and USFS lands is part of a more comprehensive study by TRAFFIC North America, with assistance from The Nature

Conservancy (TNC). TRAFFIC's study, funded in part by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, is focusing on the exploitation, market, conservation and management of native North American medicinal plants. The review of permit information provided: 1) a profile of commercially popular medicinal plants that occur on BLM lands and National Forests; and 2) a preliminary set of observations and recommendations for improving aspects of the permitting process that appear inadequate from the standpoint of monitoring species and volumes of medicinal plants harvested from these federally managed wildlands.

Surveys were distributed to 67 BLM field offices and nine regional USFS offices for information on "fee" permits and amounts sold for approximately 180 medicinal plants that had been previously identified by TRAFFIC in its review of the U.S. market. While "free" or "special use" permits are issued by both agencies for biological, genetic or pharmaceutical research or personal use, these permits do not represent the largest volume of raw plant material sourced from federal wild lands and therefore were excluded from this analysis.

Results

Species Selection for Further Study

TRAFFIC, with assistance from The Nature Conservancy (TNC), carried out an inventory of the U.S. botanicals market to document the identities of native North American plants that are commercially available to consumers as herbs or in herbal products. This review identified approximately 180 species (including species added by reviewers) of various herbs, shrubs and trees that are available in medicinal herbs and/or foods in the United States. The aim of this exercise was to document the most popular and widely used species of medicinal plants, and did not attempt to catalog species used at the regional or local level. An effort was made to remove from the list species of little or no conservation concern, while prioritizing and retaining others for further research and analysis. To this end, four individuals possessing extensive and intimate knowledge of the U.S. botanicals trade were sent the list of 180 medicinal plants identified in U.S. commerce. Reviewers were asked to comment on each species, providing information on the size of their trade, patterns in demand over the past decade, establishment and levels of commercial cultivation, parts of plants traded and evidence of population and species decline. Reviewers' input was computerized for analysis and prioritization of species for Part III, which will involve a more rigorous review of species' conservation and commercial status.

Admittedly, the information provided by reviewers is qualitative and the information categories upon which reviewers were requested to comment are subject to a fair degree of interpretation. However, this phase of research is a preliminary assessment and is intended to remove or retain species through peer-review prioritization process. Upon analysis of reviewers' comments, 80 species were selected for more detailed review under Part III. The following criteria, taking into account information provided by expert reviewers, were used to determine species meriting further study:

- Trade in species is characterized as medium, medium-large or large

And

- Commercial demand for species has increased in past 10 years

And/Or

- Wild populations of species have purportedly declined in past 10 years

And/Or

- Species has purportedly declined in past 10 years

Eighty (80) species have been selected from the original list reviewed by experts under Part I for a more systematic and scientific assessment of prioritization for conservation attention under Part III (Table 1). Cross-referencing the names of 80 species listed in Table 1 with those reportedly collected from lands managed by BLM or USFS reveals 25 common species (Table 2). In addition, six native plant species and two genera (*Leucothoe*, *Rhododendron*) not previously identified in the market review (Part I), but, according to permit data, are reportedly collected from U.S. federal lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U.S. Forest Service Service (USFS), have been included as candidates for further review (Table 3). Species in Table 3, with the exception of *Galax* spp. *Vitis* spp. and possibly *Leucothoe* spp. and *Rhododendron* spp., which are primarily collected for the nursery, floral and furniture trade, are harvested from BLM and USFS lands and have reported or potential medicinal value (Kauffman pers. com. 1999). The literature indicates limited local or indigenous medicinal use of *Leucothoe* spp. and *Rhododendron* spp. Therefore, these genera and their potential use as commercial medicinals will be explored further in Part III (Foster and Duke 1990; Mabberley 1997).

A total of 86 native North American species and two genera (Tables 1 and 3) will be subject to further analysis in Part III to determine whether and to what extent commercial trade, as well as other critical issues, pose a legitimate threat to these resources.

Table 1. Medicinal Plant Species in U.S. Commerce Identified as Priorities by TRAFFIC and The Nature Conservancy for Further Study.

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SPECIES				REVIEWER [†] COMMENTS
			TRADE IS MEDIUM, MEDIUM/LARGE OR LARGE	DEMAND INCREASE IN PAST 10 YEARS	POPULATION DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	SPECIES DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	
1. <i>ACORUS CALAMUS</i>	SWEETFLAG	ACORACEAE			✓	✓	
2. <i>ALETRIS FARINOSA</i>	WHITE-TUBED COLICROOT	LILIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3. <i>ANEMOPSIS CALIFORNICA</i>	YERBA MANSA	SAURURACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	California populations are diminishing in polluted habitat
4. <i>ARALIA CALIFORNICA</i>	CALIFORNIA SPIKENARD	ARALIACEAE			✓	✓	
5. <i>ARALIA NUDICAULIS</i>	WILD SARSAPARILLA	ARALIACEAE	✓	✓	✓		
6. <i>ARALIA RACEMOSA</i>	AMERICAN SPIKENARD	ARALIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
7. <i>ARCTOSTAPHYLOS UVA-URSI</i>	BEARBERRY	ERICACEAE	✓	✓	✓		
8. <i>ARISTOLOCHIA SERPENTARIA</i>	VIRGINIA SNAKEROOT	ARISTOLOCHIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Declining throughout natural range
9. <i>ASARUM CANADENSE</i>	CANADA WILD GINGER	ARISTOLOCHIACEAE			✓	✓	
10. <i>ASCLEPIAS TUBEROSA</i>	BUTTERFLY MILKWEED	ASCLEPIADACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
11. <i>BAPTISIA TINCTORIA</i>	YELLOW WILD INDIGO	FABACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
12. <i>CASTANEA DENTATA</i>	AMERICAN CHESTNUT	FAGACEAE			✓	✓	
13. <i>CAULOPHYLLUM THALICTROIDES</i>	BLUE COHOSH	BERBERIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Declining throughout natural range in U.S.
14. <i>CEANOTHUS AMERICANUS</i>	NEW JERSEY TEA	RHAMNACEAE	✓	✓			Questionable decline in North Carolina
15. <i>CHAMAELIRIUM LUTEUM</i>	DEVIL'S BIT	LILIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Declining in U.S. Appalachian states
16. <i>CHELONE GLABRA</i>	WHITE TURTLEHEAD	SCROPHULARIACEAE			✓	✓	
17. <i>CHIMAPHILA MACULATA</i>	SPOTTED WINTERGREEN	PYROLACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SPECIES				REVIEWER'S COMMENTS
			TRADE IS MEDIUM, MEDIUM/LARGE OR LARGE	DEMAND INCREASE IN PAST 10 YEARS	POPULATION DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	SPECIES DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	
18. <i>CHIMAPHILA UMBELLATA</i>	COMMON WINTERGREEN	PYROLACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
19. <i>CHIONANTUS VIRGINICUS</i>	FRINGE TREE	OLEACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
20. <i>CIMICIFUGA RACEMOSA</i>	BLACK BUGBANE	RANUNCULACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Significant increase in demand
21. <i>COLLINSONIA CANADENSIS</i>	CANADA HORSE BALM	LAMIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
22. <i>CYPRIPEDIUM PUBESCENS</i>	LARGE YELLOW LADY'S SLIPPER	ORCHIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Documented population increase, ornamental is endangered in wild, declining throughout range in U.S.
23. <i>DIONAEA MUSCIPULA</i>	VENUS' FLY TRAP	DROSERACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
24. <i>DIOSCOREA VILLOSA</i>	YELLOW YAM	DIOSCOREACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
25. <i>ECHINACEA ANGUSTIFOLIA</i>	NARROW-LEAVED PURPLE CONEFLOWER	ASTERACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
26. <i>ECHINACEA PALLIDA</i>	PALE-PURPLE CONEFLOWER	ASTERACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
27. <i>EPIGAEA REPENS</i>	TRAILING ARBUTUS	ERICACEAE			✓	✓	
28. <i>ERIODICTYON CALIFORNICUM</i>	CALIFORNIA YERBA-SANTA	HYDROPHYLLACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Populations in South California potentially at risk
29. <i>EUPHORBIA IPECACUANHAE</i>	WILD IPECAC	EUPHORBIBIACEAE			✓	✓	
30. <i>FRANGULA PURSHIANA</i>	CASCARA BUCK THORN	RHAMNACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
31. <i>GAULTHERIA PROCUMBENS</i>	TEABERRY	ERICACEAE	✓	✓			Local wild populations experienced decline
32. <i>GELSEMIUM SEMPERVIRENS</i>	YELLOW JESSAMINE	LOGANIACEAE			✓	✓	Local wild populations experienced decline
33. <i>GENTIANA VILLOSA</i>	STRIPED GENTIAN	GENTIANACEAE			✓	✓	
34. <i>GERANIUM MACULATUM</i>	WILD CRANE'S BILL	GERANIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
35. <i>HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA (=VERNALIS)</i>	AMERICAN WITCH-HAZEL	HAMAMELIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SPECIES				REVIEWER'S COMMENTS
			TRADE IS MEDIUM, MEDIUM/LARGE OR LARGE	DEMAND INCREASE IN PAST 10 YEARS	POPULATION DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	SPECIES DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	
36. <i>HYDRANGEA ARBORESCENS</i>	WILD HYDRANGEA	HYDRANGEACEAE			✓	✓	
37. <i>HYDRASTIS CANADENSIS</i>	GOLDENSEAL	RANUNCULACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
38. <i>IRIS VERSICOLOR</i>	BLUEFLAG	IRIDACEAE			✓	✓	
39. <i>JEFFERSONIA DIPHYLLO</i>	TWINLEAF	BERBERIDACEAE			✓	✓	
40. <i>JUGLANS CINEREA</i>	BUTTERNUT	JUGLANDACEAE			✓	✓	
41. <i>JUGLANS NIGRA</i>	BLACK WALNUT	JUGLANDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Characterized as weed by one reviewer
42. <i>LIGUSTICUM PORTERI</i>	PORTER LOVAGE/OSHA	APIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
43. <i>LOBELIA INFLATA</i>	INDIAN TOBACCO	CAMPANULACEAE	✓	✓	✓		Characterized as weed by one reviewer
44. <i>LOMATIUM DISSECTUM</i>	FERN-LEAVED DESERT PARSLEY	APIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
45. <i>LYCOPODIUM CLAVATUM</i>	RUNNING PINE	LYCOPODIACEAE			✓	✓	
46. <i>MAHONIA AQUIFOLIUM</i>	OREGON GRAPE	BERBERIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Characterized as weed by one reviewer
47. <i>MAHONIA NERVOSA</i>	OREGON GRAPE	BERBERIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
48. <i>MAHONIA REPENS</i>	CREEPING OREGON GRAPE	BERBERIDACEAE					Added by reviewer for further study
49. <i>MITCHELLIA REPENS</i>	PARTRIDGE BERRY	RUBIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
50. <i>MONOTROPA UNIFLORA</i>	INDIAN PIPE	MONOTROPACEAE			✓	✓	
51. <i>OPLOPANAX HORRIDUS</i>	DEVIL'S CLUB	ARALIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
52. <i>PANAX QUINQUEFOLIUS</i>	AMERICAN GINSENG	ARALIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
53. <i>PODOPHYLLUM PELTATUM</i>	MAY APPLE	BERBERIDACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
54. <i>POLYGALA SENECA</i>	SENECA SNAKEROOT	POLYGALACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Declining in Saskatchewan, Canada; cultivated in Japan

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SPECIES				REVIEWER'S COMMENTS
			TRADE IS MEDIUM, MEDIUM/LARGE OR LARGE	DEMAND INCREASE IN PAST 10 YEARS	POPULATION DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	SPECIES DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	
55. <i>POLYGONATUM BIFLORUM</i>	COMMON SOLOMON'S SEAL	LILIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
56. <i>PRUNUS SEROTINA</i>	WILD BLACK CHERRY	ROSACEAE	✓	✓		✓	
57. <i>PRUNUS VIRGINIANA</i>	CHOKER CHERRY	ROSACEAE	✓	✓		✓	
58. <i>PTEROCAULON VIRGATUM</i>	WAND BLACKROOT	ASTERACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
59. <i>QUERCUS ALBA</i>	WHITE OAK	FAGACEAE	✓	✓		✓	Declining throughout entire U.S. range
60. <i>SALVIA APIANA</i>	WHITE SAGE	LAMIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
61. <i>SAMBUCCUS CANADENSIS</i>	COMMON ELDERBERRY	CAPRIFOLIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
62. <i>SANGUINARIA CANADENSIS</i>	BLOODROOT	PAPAVERACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Local decline in populations
63. <i>SASSAFRAS ALBIDUM</i>	SASSAFRAS	LAURACEAE	✓	✓		✓	Declining throughout entire U.S. range
64. <i>SCROPHULARIA MARILANDICA</i>	CARPENTER'S SQUARE FIGWORT	SCROPHULARIACEAE			✓	✓	
65. <i>SCUTELLARIA LATERIFLORA</i>	MAD DOG SKULLCAP	LAMIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
66. <i>SERENOA REPENS</i>	SAW PALMETTO	ARECACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Species may be declining locally
67. <i>SPIGELIA MARILANDICA</i>	WOODLAND PINKROOT	LOGANIACEAE			✓	✓	
68. <i>STILLINGIA SYLVATICA</i>	QUEEN'S DELIGHT	EUPHORBIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
69. <i>THUJA OCCIDENTALIS</i>	NORTHERN WHITE CEDAR	CUPRESSACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
70. <i>TORREYA CALIFORNICA</i>	CALIFORNIA TORREYA	TAXACEAE			✓	✓	
71. <i>TRILLIUM ERECTUM</i>	ILL-SCENT TRILLIUM, BETH/BIRTH ROOT	LILIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
72. <i>ULMUS RUBRA</i>	SLIPPERY ELM	ULMACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
73. <i>VACCINIUM MACROCARPON</i>	LARGE CRANBERRY	ERICACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Species decline is local
74. <i>VACCINIUM OVATUM</i>	EVERGREEN BLUEBERRY	ERICACEAE	✓	✓		✓	

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SPECIES				REVIEWER [†] COMMENTS
			TRADE IS MEDIUM, MEDIUM/LARGE OR LARGE	DEMAND INCREASE IN PAST 10 YEARS	POPULATION DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	SPECIES DECLINE IN PAST 10 YEARS	
75. <i>VACCINIUM OXYCOCCOS</i>	SMALLCRANBERRY	ERICACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	Species decline is local
76. <i>VALERIANA SITCHENSIS</i>	SITKA VALERIAN	VALERIANACEAE			✓	✓	
77. <i>VERONICASTRUM VIRGINICUM</i>	CULVER'S ROOT	SCROPHULARIACEAE			✓	✓	
78. <i>VIBURNUM PRUNIFOLIUM</i>	SMOOTH BLACK HAW	CAPRIFOLIACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
79. <i>XANTHORHIZA SIMPLICISSIMA</i>	(SHRUBBY) YELLOW-ROOT	RANUNCULACEAE			✓	✓	
80. <i>YUCCA SCHIDIGERA</i>	MOJAVE YUCCA	AGAVACEAE	✓	✓	✓	✓	

Source: TRAFFIC North America and The Nature Conservancy

[†] - Reviewers included: Richard A. (Richo) Cech, James A. Duke, Steven Foster and Gregory Tilford

Table 2. Species identified as priorities for further study and collected from federal lands managed by BLM and or USFS from 1995-1997.

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON, TRADE NAME	FAMILY	FEDERAL AGENCY REPORTING COLLECTION
<i>Alertis farinosa</i>	White-tubed colicroot, star root, star grass	Liliaceae	USFS
<i>Aralia racemosa</i>	American spikenard	Araliaceae	USFS
<i>Aristolochia serpentaria</i>	Virginia snakeroot	Aristolochiaceae	USFS
<i>Asarum canadense</i>	Canada wild ginger	Aristolochiaceae	USFS
<i>Baptisia tinctoria</i>	Yellow wild-indigo	Fabaceae	USFS
<i>Caulophyllum thalictroides</i>	Blue cohosh	Berberidaceae	USFS
<i>Cimifuga racemosa</i>	Black cohosh/bugbane	Ranunculaceae	USFS
<i>Dioscorea villosa</i>	Yellow yam	Dioscoreaceae	USFS
<i>Geranium maculatum</i>	Wild crane's-bill	Geraniaceae	USFS
<i>Hydrastis canadensis</i>	Goldenseal	Ranunculaceae	USFS
<i>Hamamelis virginiana</i>	American witch-hazel, beadwood	Hamamelidaceae	USFS
<i>Jeffersonia diphylla</i>	Twinleaf	Berberidaceae	USFS
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black walnut	Juglandaceae	USFS
<i>Lobelia inflata</i>	Indian-tobacco	Campanulaceae	USFS
<i>Lycopodium clavatum</i>	Running pine	Lycopodiaceae	USFS
<i>Mahonia nervosa</i>	Oregon grape	Berberidaceae	BLM
<i>Mitchella repens</i>	Partridge-berry	Rubiaceae	USFS
<i>Panax quinquefolius</i>	American ginseng	Araliaceae	USFS
<i>Podophyllum peltatum</i>	May apple	Berberidaceae	USFS
<i>Polygonatum biflorum</i>	Common solomon's-seal	Liliaceae	USFS
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Wild black cherry	Rosaceae	USFS
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White oak	Fagaceae	USFS
<i>Sanguinaria canadensis</i>	Bloodroot	Papaveraceae	USFS
<i>Sereona repens</i>	Saw palmetto	Arecaceae	USFS
<i>Zanthorhiza (=Xanthorhiza) simplicissima</i>	Yellow root	Ranunculaceae	USFS

Source: Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service

Table 3. Taxa of reported or potential medicinal value not previously identified for further study but collected from federal lands managed by BLM or USFS from 1995-97.

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON, TRADE NAME	FAMILY	FEDERAL AGENCY REPORTING COLLECTION
<i>Allium tricoccum</i>	Ramp, wild leek	Liliaceae	USFS
<i>Arctostaphylos pungens</i>	Pointleaf manzanita	Ericaceae	BLM
<i>Aristolochia tomentosa</i>	Dutchman's-pipe, smokevine	Aristolochiaceae	USFS
<i>Artemisia filifolia</i>	Sand sagebrush	Asteraceae	BLM
<i>Eriodictyon angustifolia</i>	Narrowleaf yerbasanta	Hydrophyllaceae	BLM
<i>Galax</i> spp.*		Diapensiaceae	USFS
<i>Leucothoe</i> spp.*	Dog hobble, fetter bush	Ericaceae	USFS
<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i>	Cascara buck-thorn	Rhamnaceae	BLM
<i>Rhododendron</i> spp.*	Mountain laurel	Ericaceae	USFS
<i>Trilisa odoratissima</i>	Deer's tongue	Asteraceae	USFS
<i>Vitis</i> spp.*	Grape (vine)	Vitaceae	USFS

Source: Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service

* - Primary use is likely for non-medicinal purposes

Expert reviewers described other factors that they believe should be considered in an evaluation of the extent and biological significance of trade in native North American medicinal plants. For instance, observations were made concerning the overlap in species traded as medicinals and ornamental garden plants (e.g., wildflowers) and the degree to which the horticultural trade contributes to species exploitation (Duke in litt. 1998). The same reviewer alluded to the introduction and colonization of exotic species as a growing threat to native woodland medicinal plants.

A genuine concern about the ancillary harvest of endemic species related to target species in trade was raised. For instance, this problem involves *Echinacea*, whereby the *Echinacea angustifolia* trade is reportedly supplied with misidentified *Echinacea* species, including *E. pallida*, *E. atrorubens*, *E. simulata*, *E. paradox* and possibly *E. sanguinea*. The problems of species substitution and adulteration may also be the case for *Cimicifuga racemosa* (as *C. americana*), *Lomatium dissectum* (as dozens of other *Lomatium* spp. found in the Pacific Northwest), *Scutellaria lateriflora* (as other blue-flowered *Scutellaria* species) and *Achillea millefolium* (as the indigenous *A. lanulosa*) (Foster in litt. 1998). Habitat alteration and conversion was another concern mentioned by reviewers that poses a significant threat to wild populations and species of medicinal plants.

The justifiable concerns raised by reviewers will be addressed in the next phase of work (III) when the issues and threats facing medicinal plants, their wild populations and the ecosystems from which they are gathered will be examined more closely. To that end, information on species biology, ecology, distribution, conservation and population status, supply/demand, availability, cultivation, changes in price will be gathered from field botanists, commercial vendors and recent price lists during Part III to determine whether and to what extent medicinal plant species are susceptible to and affected by overexploitation, among other threats.

Plant Species of Medicinal Interest from Public Lands

Economic opportunities and activities surrounding the utilization of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) from public lands in the United States comprise an important industry to many rural communities and collectors. Federal agencies that manage public lands for these resources also stand to gain financially. In 1996, the amount of revenue generated by sales of permits for NTFPs collected from lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management in 11 western states (excluding Alaska) was \$227,800. Approximately \$146,400 in revenue was generated from the sale of “fee” permits issued for the collection of special forest products in southern National Forests (Region 8) (Cobb 1998).

Among the largest beneficiaries are corporate entities, which obtain a wide variety of raw plant materials, including medicinal plants, from public lands for commercial resale. Examples include the procurement of pine trees for the extraction of a common compound in pine that is used in the manufacture of a cholesterol-lowering food and the collection of ladies’ tresses orchid (*Spiranthes diluvialis*) for use in commercial essences (USFS 1998). The commercial collection of NTFPs is largely under-monitored, although some level of reporting occurs in the field depending on the economic significance of NTFPs to specific regions.

TRAFFIC surveyed two U.S. federal land management agencies, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) to ascertain the amount of material or number of commercial permits sold for as many of the 180 species of medicinal plants identified in its review of the U.S. market (Appendix I). TRAFFIC received more responses from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) than the U.S. Forest Service (USFS). However, data compiled and submitted by USFS was generally more detailed and comprehensive than BLM's submission of information. This is partly attributed to the comparatively greater botanical diversity and economic value of medicinal plants growing in deciduous forests of the eastern United States where USFS manages the preponderance of federal land. By focusing on medicinal plants, an economically important group of special forest products, it is hoped that this review will provide some indication as to the level of interest in or demand for certain medicinal plants collected from lands managed by BLM and USFS. Moreover, this study aims to reveal gaps in the reporting, monitoring and management of medicinal plants so that follow-up research and appropriate steps can be identified and taken to prevent or reverse unsustainable collection, while ensuring future supplies of botanicals.

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

A checklist of medicinal plant species prepared by TRAFFIC was distributed to 67 field offices of the Bureau for Land Management (BLM). Forty (60 percent) BLM field or district offices responded. Written responses were received from BLM in the following Western states: California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming. A review of information submitted by BLM field offices indicates that collection permits were issued during the period 1995-97 for: a) medicinal plant species in TRAFFIC's checklist; and b) plant species not listed in the checklist. It is believed that the species in the latter group were reported by BLM to TRAFFIC because they have known medicinal and/or culinary value and are likely harvested for these reasons. A third category of plants for which permits were reportedly issued by or requested from BLM includes various plants, or parts of plants, gathered for genetic and pharmaceutical research, nursery stock, landscaping, firewood or decoration. For example, the BLM District Office in Las Vegas, Nevada had received from a botanical garden two requests for collecting small amounts of non-specific plants for screening of medicinal properties.

BLM offices providing information on species and amounts of medicinal plants collected are: Montrose (Uncompahgne) Field Office, Colorado; Dixie Resource Area, Utah; Eugene District Office, Oregon; and Coos Bay Resource Area, Oregon. The latter three provided the majority of information to TRAFFIC. The BLM Field Office in Elko, Nevada indicated that several of the species in TRAFFIC's list are collected in its district, but was unable to provide further information because collections are unauthorized and therefore undocumented. The Coos Bay BLM District Office in Oregon marked a number of species found in its district that are of commercial interest to collectors but did not provide any information on permits issued or amounts sold.

Medicinal plants (plants known to be valued and used medicinally) for which permits were sold by BLM and the amount of material authorized for collection under each permit sold or issued are summarized in Table 4. There is a fairly high probability that the reported amount of plant

material approved for collection of specified species under BLM permits differs from, and may be lower than, the actual amount of material collected for species on BLM lands. This is based on the assumption that a portion of individuals gathering wild plants do so without obtaining the necessary permits. However, it is also conceivable that the amount of material actually collected for a plant falls short of the amount approved under a permit, as manual checking of material harvest is not carried out on a routine basis.

The information summarized in Table 4 indicates that a total of nine plant species valued for medicine were targeted by collectors on lands managed by BLM. Six species had been previously identified by TRAFFIC on the U.S. herb market, while three previously unidentified species were also documented from permits issued to prospective collectors. While all species have known medicinal value and were likely collected for such purpose, at least two species (*Juniperus monosperma*, *Populus tremuloides*) were likely sought for greenery (decoration) or fuelwood, as is indicated by the units (boughs, cords) used to record amounts authorized for collection.

Table 4. Species of medicinal plants collected on BLM lands for 1995-1997

SPECIES¹ IN TRAFFIC'S CHECKLIST					
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	PERMITS ISSUED OR AMOUNT SOLD		
			1995	1996	1997
<i>Ephedra viridis</i>	Green mormon-tea	Ephedraceae	2,000 lb.	5,000 lb.	5,000 lb.
<i>Juniperus monosperma</i>	One-seeded juniper	Cupressaceae	200 cords 5,000 lb. (boughs) 2,000 lb. (berries)	200 cords 6,720 lb. (boughs) 5,000 lb. (berries)	200 cords 6,720 lb. (boughs) 5,000 lb. (berries)
<i>Larrea tridentata</i>	Creosote bush	Zygophyllaceae	2,000 lb.	5,000 lb.	3,000 lb.
<i>Mahonia nervosa</i>	Oregon grape	Berberidaceae	70 lb.	285 lb.	335 lb.
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	Salicaceae	35,000 cords	41,000 cords	43,000 cords
<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i>	Cascara buck-thorn	Rhamnaceae	2,650 lb.	1,175 lb.	500 lb.
SPECIES¹ NOT IN TRAFFIC'S CHECKLIST BUT REPORTED AS COLLECTED BY BLM					
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	AMOUNT SOLD OR PERMITS ISSUED		
			1995	1996	1997
<i>Arctostaphylos pungens</i>	Pointleaf manzanita	Ericaceae	2,000 lb.	-	1,000 lb.
<i>Artemisia filifolia</i>	Sand sagebrush	Asteraceae	-	-	1,000 lb.
<i>Eriodictylon angustifolia</i>	Narrowleaf yerbasanta	Hydrophyllaceae	2,000 lb.	5,000 lb.	3,000 lb.
Edibles and Medicinals			70 lb.	40 lb.	40 lb.

Source: Bureau of Land Management

NOTES:

¹ While all species have reported medicinal utility, it could not be ascertained from permit information whether species were specifically sought for medicinal use

BLM field offices in Montrose (Uncompahgne), Colorado and Coos Bay Resource Area, Oregon reported that the following medicinal plant species in TRAFFIC's checklist are collected but no specific information on amounts collected or permits issued were provided: *Achillea millefolium* (Common yarrow) Asteraceae; *Aralia californica* (California spikenard) Araliaceae; *Artemisia dracuncululus* (Dragon wormwood) Asteraceae; *Ephedra antisyphilitica* (Mormon tea), Ephedraceae; *Prunus emarginata* (Bitter cherry) Rosaceae; *Prunus virginiana* (Chokecherry) Rosaceae; *Sambucus canadensis* (Common elderberry) Caprifoliaceae; *Sambucus cerulea* (Blue elderberry) Caprifoliaceae; *Grindelia squarrosa* (Broadleaf gumweed) Asteraceae;

Chimaphila umbellata (Common wintergreen) Pyrolaceae; *Oplopanax horridus* (Devil's-club) Araliaceae; *Vaccinium ovatum* (Evergreen blueberry) Ericaceae.

United States Forest Service

Medicinal plant checklists were mailed to nine U.S. Forest Service regional offices for information on permits issued or sold in 1995, 1996 and 1997 for the collection of approximately 180 species. Regional USFS offices were requested to elicit information from National Forests and individual Ranger Districts, of which there are more than 600 nationwide. Two USFS regions, Regions 8 and 9, which together comprise 33 states in the eastern and southern United States, were the only regions that provided TRAFFIC with information from National Forests within their jurisdiction. TRAFFIC summarized species information based on the issuance of “fee” or “charge” permits only, which generally represent plants intended for commercial resale. “Special use” and “free” permits, which typically involve small-scale collections of plants for personal use or research purposes, are not analyzed in any great detail given the low volumes of plant material collected.

The following National Forests in Region 9 reviewed the checklist and submitted written responses: Chequamegon National Forest (Wisconsin), Hoosier National Forest (Indiana), Monongahela National Forest (West Virginia), and Wayne National Forest (Ohio). Forest Districts in the Chequamegon National Forest, of which there are three, reportedly issued no special use permits for the collection of medicinal plants specified in the checklist. However, anecdotal evidence suggests that American ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*) has been poached in the Forest, while other medicinal plants are gathered by the public for personal use (Sheehan in litt. 1998). The Treaty Rights tribes have access to medicinal plants in the Chequamegon National Forest under a special arrangement with the federal government.

Approximately 19 National Forests in 11 southern states in Region 8 responded to TRAFFIC's request for information on medicinal plant permit sales, although not every National Forest reported pertinent or substantive information. The following National Forests submitted relevant and useful information: Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest (Georgia), Cherokee National Forest (Tennessee), Daniel Boone National Forest (Kentucky), George Washington and Jefferson National Forest (Virginia), Ocala National Forest (Florida), Osceola National Forest (Florida), Ozark-St. Francis National Forest (Arkansas), Nantahala National Forest (North Carolina), and Pisgah National Forest (North Carolina).

While there were no reported permit sales in the Kistatchie National Forest (Louisiana) for specified medicinal plants in TRAFFIC's checklist from 1995-97, free use permits were issued for sassafras (*Sassafras album*) leaves, honeysuckle (*Lonicera* spp.) and grapevine (*Vitis* spp.) during this period. No permits were reportedly sold for specific medicinal plants in any of the National Forests (Bienville, Delta, De Soto, Homochitto, Holly Springs, Tombigbee) in Mississippi from 1995-97, though permits were issued for a variety of non-timber forest products, including magnolia (*Magnolia* spp.) leaves, honeysuckle (*Lonicera* spp.) plants, cane (*Arundinaria* spp.) plants and pine kindling. The Ouachita National Forest (Arkansas) reported no permit sales for specific medicinal plants in 1995, 1996 or 1997, but issued permits for moss in 1995 and permits for a number of other forest products such as cedar (*Juniperus* spp.) posts, hay (Poaceae), pine (*Pinus* spp.) knots, dogwood (*Cornus* spp.) and Xmas trees from 1995-97.

The National Forests in Alabama, particularly the Shoal Creek Ranger District, reported permits issued for kudzu collection in 1996 and 1997.

As is the case for plants collected on BLM lands, most of the plants included in Table 6 are known to be traded as medicinal herbs or have reported medicinal use or value. A total of 40 species for which permits had been issued or sold by USFS had been previously identified on the U.S. market and circulated in the form of a checklist to BLM and USFS for feedback. The species, genera and groups of plants not previously identified by TRAFFIC for circulation and comment to BLM and USFS are defined as “Species Not in TRAFFIC’s Checklist but Reported as Collected by USFS.” While several of these may in fact be collected and traded as medicinal herbs, much less is known about the medicinal utility of these plants. It is likely that a few (*Galax* spp., *Kalmia latifolia*, *Leucothoe* spp., *Rhododendron* spp. and *Vitis* spp.) are collected primarily for non-medicinal purposes. Of this latter group, 13 plants are identifiable to the species or genus level, while the others fall into one of three general plant categories (cane, fern, moss) or a catch-all category (non-specified roots, leaves, herbs or medicinal herbs). Permits issued for special forest products that are clearly not collected for medicine were not quantified or analyzed in this report.

It is virtually impossible to quantify harvest levels of medicinal plants collected in National Forests owing to the lack of available information on the number of plants or actual amount of plant material sourced from these areas. A more accurate measure of collection would be reporting and monitoring the actual amount of plant material collected. In lieu of harvest data, however, permit information, such as number of permits issued and/or amount of plant material approved for collection under each permit, can be used as a general indicator of popularity or demand for certain species. The number of permits issued by USFS from 1995-97 for the collection of the most popular plants of known, reported or unconfirmed (yet potential) medicinal value in National Forests throughout the eastern and southern United States is displayed in Table 5. Species for which fewer than 50 permits were issued are listed in Table 6.

The plants and plant groups itemized in Tables 5 and 6 represent those species for which “fee” permits have been issued to collectors who intend to resell plants for profit. With the exception of *Galax* spp., *Kalmia latifolia*, moss, *Vitis* spp. and possibly *Rhododendron* spp. and *Leucothoe* spp., which are also collected for the nursery and floral products trade, most plants in Table 5 are valued botanicals for which there are well-established local, domestic and overseas markets.

It is interesting to note that a few National Forests and specific ranger districts within National Forests have taken steps to reduce potentially unsustainable collection of some high-value medicinal plants from the wild. These regulatory measures may be in response to methods, intensities or levels of collection that may be incompatible with the sustainability or even survival of sensitive species. Biological or ecological constraints and environmental threats (e.g., habitat alteration, invasive species) may exacerbate conservation concerns for species already impacted by collecting. The following is a summary of actions taken by various National Forests to protect high-demand, vulnerable and/or sensitive species prone to exploitation.

American ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*) and goldenseal (*Hydrastis canadensis*) are two such plants for which permits are no longer issued in the Hoosier National Forest in Indiana.

Biologists recently evaluated the status of American ginseng in the Hoosier and determined that its population within the National Forest cannot be sustained at current harvest levels. The large number of permits issued (Table 6) by the Hoosier for ginseng from 1995-97 indicates significant demand for both species. According to surveyed permittees, there is less wild ginseng in the Hoosier National Forest now than in previous years. Furthermore, a field survey of 400 acres in the Forest revealed three ginseng plants (Day in litt. 1997). The Cherokee National Forest in Tennessee no longer allows the commercial collection of witch-hazel (*Hamamelis virginiana*) and the Unaka Ranger district within in the Cherokee no longer issues permits for ginseng, moss, pink-lady's slipper (*Cypripedium acaule*), *Trillium*, and hazelnut (*Corylus americana*). Further harvest restrictions apply to ginseng, Solomon's seal (*Polygonatum biflorum*), fire pink (*Silene virginica*), pokeweed (*Phytolacca americana*), mountain laurel (*Kalmia latifolia*), branch lettuce (*Saxifraga pennsylvanica*) and ramp (*Allium tricoccum*). Ginseng diggers are also subject to harvest rules in the George Washington and Jefferson National Forests in Virginia; individual ranger districts have reported several violations and incidents involving illegal or questionable ginseng harvest in recent years.

Table 5. Popular Species of Medicinal Herbs or Foods Sought from National Forests for 1995-97.

Species	1995 Permits	1996 Permits	1997 permits	Total	Source Identified as Medicinal
American ginseng	1,483	2,272	1,660	5,415	M, F/D, C
Goldenseal	254	781	512	1,547	M, F/D, C
Ramps, Wild leeks	351	345	362	1,058	F/D, I
Moss	135	125	148	408	U
Bloodroot	35	168	155	358	M, F/D, C
Rhododendron	82	75	113	270	F/D, M
Mountain laurel	65	58	79	202	F/D, M
Blue cohosh	3	42	43	88	M, F/D, C
Black cohosh, bugbane	4	33	50	87	M, F/D, C
Yellow root	12	55	17	84	M, F/D
Dutchman's-pipe, Smokevine	19	18	30	67	F/D
Running pine, club moss	-	29	30	59	M, F/D, C

Source: U.S. Forest Service

Source Identified as Medicinal (Key): C (trade catalogs); F/D (Foster, S. and Duke, J. 1990. Eastern/Central Medicinal Plants. Peterson Field Guide, Houghton Mifflin Company, New York, USA); I (The Internet); M (Mabberley, D.J. 1997. The Plant Book. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom); U (Unconfirmed, possible medicinal).

Table 6. Species of medicinal plants collected from National Forests in Regions 8 and 9 of the U.S. Forest Service for 1995-1997

<i>SPECIES IN TRAFFIC'S CHECKLIST</i>					
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	PERMITS ISSUED ¹		
			1995	1996	1997
<i>Adiantum pedatum</i>	Northern maiden-hair fern	Pteridaceae	1 permit ^①	2 permits ^①	
<i>Alertis farinosa</i>	White-tubed colicroot, star root, star grass	Liliaceae	2 permits (1,000 lb.) ^⑩	2 permits (1,000 lb.) ^⑩	
<i>Aralia racemosa</i>	American spikenard	Araliaceae	1 permit (500 lb.) ^⑩		
<i>Aristolochia serpentaria</i>	Virginia snakeroot	Aristolochiaceae	19 permits ^①	20 permits ^①	6 permits ^①
<i>Asarum canadense</i>	Canada wild ginger	Aristolochiaceae		25 permits ^③	6 permits ^③
<i>Asimina triloba</i>	Pawpaw	Annonaceae		1 permit ^①	
<i>Baptisia tinctoria</i>	Yellow wild-indigo	Fabaceae			2 permits (200 lb.) ^⑥ 3 permits (1,650 lb.) ^①
<i>Caulophyllum thalictroides</i>	Blue cohosh	Berberidaceae	3 permits ^①	4 permits ^① 38 permits ^③	2 permits ^① 41 permits ^③
<i>Cimifuga racemosa</i>	Black cohosh/bugbane	Ranunculaceae	3 permits (500 lb.) ^④ 1 permit (1,500 pieces) ^⑥	1 permit ^① 30 permits ^③ 1 permit (200 lb.) ^④ 1 permit (1,500 pieces) ^⑥	46 permits ^③ 1 permit (300 lb.) ^④ 2 permits (1,700 lb., 1,500 pieces) ^⑥ 1 permit (100 lb.) ^⑩
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	Canada horseweed	Asteraceae		2 permits ^①	
<i>Dioscorea villosa</i>	Yellow yam	Dioscoreaceae	8 permits ^①	5 permits ^①	1 permit ^①
<i>Eupatorium perfoliatum</i>	Common boneset	Asteraceae	1 permit ^①	2 permits ^①	1 permit ^①
<i>Eupatorium purpureum</i>	Sweet joe-pye weed	Asteraceae	1 permit ^①	1 permit ^①	2 permits ^①
<i>Fraxinus americana</i>	White ash	Oleaceae	316 mbf ^②	387 mbf ^②	409 mbf ^②
<i>Geranium maculatum</i>	Wild crane's-bill	Geraniaceae	2 permits		2 permits
<i>Hydrastis canadensis</i>	Goldenseal	Ranunculaceae	254 permits ^①	505 permits ^① 274 permits (274 lb.) ^③ 2 permits (66 gallons) ^⑤	317 permits ^① 193 permits ^③ 2 permits (10 lb., 33 gallons) ^⑤
<i>Hamamelis virginiana</i>	American witch-hazel, beadwood	Hamamelidaceae	4 permits (2,000 lb.) ^⑩	1 permit ^①	2 permits (1 ton - leaves and roots) ^⑥ 2 permits (1,000 lb.) ^⑩
<i>Jeffersonia diphylla</i>	Twingleaf	Berberidaceae		1 permit ^① 1 permit ^③	
<i>Juglans nigra</i>	Black walnut	Juglandaceae			1-2 trees ^②
<i>Lactuca canadensis</i>	Canada lettuce	Asteraceae	17 permits ^①	16 permits ^①	11 permits ^①
<i>Lactuca floridana</i> var. <i>villosa</i>	Wild lettuce	Asteraceae		2 permits ^①	
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	Spicebush	Lauraceae		1 permit ^①	
<i>Lobelia inflata</i>	Indian-tobacco	Campanulaceae	1 permit ^①	3 permits ^①	3 permits ^①

<i>Lycopodium clavatum</i>	Running pine	Lycopodiaceae		29 permits (222 -100 lb. feed bags) ②	30 permits (269 – 100 lb.feed bags) ②
<i>Mitchella repens</i>	Partridge-berry	Rubiaceae	2 permits①	4 permits①	
<i>Panax quinquefolius</i>	American ginseng	Araliaceae	249 permits① 175 permits (60 lb.)③ 54 permits (98 lb.)④ 121 permits (85 bushels, 225 lb.)⑤ 85 permits (517 lb.)⑥ 7 permits (7 lb.) ⑧ 87 permits (86 lb.) ⑩ 403 permits (403 lb.) ① 302 permits (922 lb.)②	519 permits① 72 permits② 310 permits (100 lb.) ③ 118 permits (234 lb.) ④ 131 permits (230 bushels, 884 lb.)⑤ 28 permits (28 bushels) Ψ▷ 160 permits (155 lb.) ⑥ 6 permits (6 lb.) ⑧ 20 permits (328 lb.) ⑩ 602 permits (602 lb.) ① 306 permits (924 lb.) ②	318 permits① 67 permits② 194 permits③ 119 permits (208 lb.) ④ 170 permits (2,131 gallons, 745 lb., 8 bushels)⑤ 143 permits (9500 lb.??) ⑥ 10 permits (10 lb.) ⑧ 83 permits (95 lb.) ⑩ 195 permits (199 lb.)① 361 permits (488 lb.) ②
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Eastern white pine	Pinaceae	<100 mbf② 1 permit (6,000 lb. of boughs) ⑤	<100 mbf② 1 permit (6,000 lb. of boughs)⑤	<100 mbf② 1 permit (6,000 lb. of boughs)⑤
<i>Podophyllum peltatum</i>	May apple	Berberidaceae	4 permits①	8 permits①	9 permits① 9 permits③
<i>Polygonatum biflorum</i>	Common solomon's-seal	Liliaceae	2 permits①	4 permits①	3 permits①
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	Salicaceae		1-2 trees②	
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Wild black cherry	Rosaceae	3,487 mbf②	2,828 mbf②	3,035 mbf②
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White oak	Fagaceae	523 mbf②	592 mbf②	313 mbf②
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern red oak	Fagaceae	4,471 mbf②	6,692 mbf②	5,707 mbf②
<i>Rhus glabra</i>	Smooth sumac	Anacardiaceae	2 permits①		2 permits①
<i>Sanguinaria canadensis</i>	Bloodroot	Paperveraceae	35 permits①	105 permits① 62 permits③ 1 permit (330 gallons)⑤▷	97 permits① 57 permits③ 1 permit (33 gallons)⑤▷
<i>Sanicula marilandica</i>	Black snake-root	Apiaceae		5 permits③ 1 permit (330 gallons)⑤▷	26 permits③
<i>Sassafras albidum</i>	Sassafras	Lauraceae	4 permits① 600 pieces②	2 permits① 1,200 pieces②	6 permits① 1 permit③
<i>Sereona repens</i>	Saw palmetto	Arecaceae	1 permit (4 bushels)④	4 permits (6,400 lb.)③ 5 permits (4,000 lb.) ④	2 permits (3,200 lb.)③ 2 permits (2,000 lb.) ④
<i>Zanthorhiza (=Xanthorhiza) simplicissima</i>	Yellow root	Ranunculaceae	11 permits (66 lb. gn)⑤ 1 permit (100 lb.)⑥	26 permits (3, 729 gallons, 54 lb. gn)⑤ 28 permits (28 bushels)⑤▷ 1 permit (700 lb.) ①	11 permits (132 gallons, 10 lb., 36 lb. gn)⑤ 1 permit (33 gallons) ⑤▷ 1 permit (100 lb.) ⑥ 4 permits (100 lb.) ①
<i>Zanthoxylum americanum</i>	Northern prickley ash	Rutaceae		2 permits①	

SPECIES² NOT IN TRAFFIC'S CHECKLIST BUT REPORTED AS COLLECTED BY USFS					
<i>Allium tricoccum</i> ³	Ramp, wild leek	Liliaceae	10 permits (20 pokes) ⑤ 318 permits (1,570 lb., 4 bushels) ⑩ 33 permits (33 bushels) ①	3 permits (12 pokes) ⑤ 314 permits (1,545 lb., 5 bushels) ⑩ 31 permits (27 bushels) ①	17 permits (68 pokes) ⑤ 338 permits (23 bushels, 1,660 lb.) ⑩ 24 permits (62 bushels) ①
<i>Aristolochia tomentosa</i>	Dutchman's-pipe, smokevine	Aristolochiaceae	6 permits (1,000 lb., 60 pieces) ⑤ 3 permits (1,000 lb.) ⑩ 10 permits (291 lb.) ①	5 permits (2,357 lb.) ⑥ 1 permit (400 lb.) ⑩ 12 permit (319 lb.) ①	6 permits (3,228 lb.) ⑥ 7 permits (2,400 lb.) ⑩ 17 permits (197 lb.) ①
<i>Betula</i> spp.	Birch	Betulaceae	1 permit (1,000lb./twigs) ⑥		1 permit (10 lb.) ⑥
Cane			1 permit (1 lot) ⑤		2 permits (2 lots) ⑤
Ferns			1 permit (100 pieces) ⑥ 4 permits (100 plants) ⑤ 2 permits (12 plants) ⑩ 19 permits (606 plants) ①	2 permits (60 plants) ⑤ 10 permits (640 plants) ①	3 permits (90 plants) ⑤ 5 permits (290 plants) ①
<i>Galax</i> spp.		Diapensiaceae	10 permits (8,560 pieces) ⑥ 432 permits (101 tons) ⑩ 17 permits (6 tons, 20 pieces) ①	9 permits (17,050 pieces) ⑥ 596 permits (141 tons) ⑩ 25 permits (5 tons, 15 pieces) ①	3 permits (24 each/1,000) ⑥ 1 permit (200 lb.) ⑤ 3 permits (900 lb.) ⑤ 530 permits (139 tons) ⑩ 11 permits (4 tons, 15 pieces) ①
<i>Juglans</i> spp.	Walnut	Juglandaceae		1 permit (2 bushels) ①	2 permits (2 bushels/walnuts) ①
<i>Kalmia latifolia</i>	Mountain laurel	Ericaceae	1 permit (500 branches) ④ 23 permits (5,400 lb.) ⑤ 11 permits (160 plants, 750 roots) ⑤ 25 permits (7 tons, 22 cords, 5300 sticks) ⑩ 5 permits (20 plants, 8000 posts) ①	1 permit (500 branches) ④ 3 permits (100 pieces, 2,500 lb. of sticks) ⑤ 5 permits (2,500 lb. of leaves) ⑤ 7 permits (130 plants, 300 roots) ⑤ 42 permits (10 tons, 72 cords of sticks, 700 individual sticks) ⑩	5 permits (2,200 lb.) ⑤ 22 permits (915 plants) ⑤ 52 permits (11 tons, 92 cords of sticks, 800 sticks, 48 plants) ⑩ 1 permit (15 pieces) ①
<i>Leucothoe</i> spp.	Dog hobble, fetter bush	Ericaceae	6 permits (3,000 lb.) ⑩	8 permits (4,000 lb.) ⑩	1 permit (500 lb.) ⑩
Moss ⁴			1 permit (10 bushels) ④ 6 permits (1500 lb.) ⑤ 2 permits ⑦ 25 permits (6,133 lb.) ⑩ 101 permits (10 tons) ①	2 permits (25 bushels) ④ 7 permits (350 lb.) ⑤ 6 permits (1556 lb.) ⑤ 31 permits (8, 233 lb.) ⑩ 79 permits (8 tons) ①	1 permit (15 bushels) ④ 15 permits (3,993 lb.) ⑤ 1 permit (1,250 lb.) ⑤ 16 permits (4,614 lb.) ⑩ 115 permits (17 tons) ①
<i>Myrica</i> spp.	Myrtle	Myricaceae	4 permits (6,770 pieces) ③		1 permit (260 pieces) ④
<i>Pueraria lobata</i>	Kudzu	Fabaceae		1 permit (500 lb.) ⑥ 1 permit ⑤	1 permit ⑤

<i>Quercus</i> spp.	Oak	Fagaceae			1 permit (2 bushels) ①
<i>Pinus</i> spp.	Pine (needles)	Pinaceae			1 permit (10 boughs) ④
<i>Rhododendron</i> spp.		Ericaceae	67 permits (7,645 pieces) ⑥ 11 permits 160 plants, 750 roots) ③ ⑦ 4 permits (36 plants, 500 posts) ①	67 permits (5,290 pieces) ⑥ 7 permits (130 plants, 300 roots) ③ ⑦ 1 permit (500 posts) ①	87 permits (7,742 pieces) ⑥ 22 permits (915 plants) ③ ⑦ 2 permits (2 bushels) ⑩ 2 permits (48 plants) ①
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	Rosemary	Lamiaceae			1 permit (2,500 pieces) ④
Non-specified roots, leaves, herbs, or medicinal herbs			2 permits (2,000 pieces) ⑥ 2 permits (1 bushel, 2 bunches) ⑩ 4 permits (30 lb.) ①	41 permits (4,100 lb.) ⑤ 1 permit (1 lb.) ①	52 permits (5,200 lb.) ⑤ 2 permits (66 gallons) ⑤ 1 permit (100 lb.) ⑩ 2 permits (2 lb.) ①
<i>Trilisa odoratissima</i>	Deer's tongue	Asteraceae	8 permits (800 lb.) ③	2 permits (200 lb.) ③	2 permits (200 lb.) ③
<i>Vitis</i> spp.	Grape (vine)	Vitaceae	6 permits (5,000 ft., 1 pu trucks) ⑤ 30 permits (20,800 lb.) ⑥ 1 permit (600 lb.) ③ 1 permit (500 lb.) ⑩	1 permit (1000 ft) ④ 7 permits (3,000 ft., 12 pu trucks) ⑤ 27 permits (9,000 lb., 5257 pieces) ⑥ 2 permits (1,000 lb.) ⑩ 1 permit (1 piece) ①	10 permits (28 pu trucks) ⑤ 23 permits (4,600 lb.) ⑤ 33 permits (6,920 lb.) ⑥ 1 permit (600 lb.) ③ 1 permit (500 lb.) ⑩

Source: U.S. Forest Service

Key:

- ① - Hoosier National Forest (Indiana)
- ② - Monogahela National Forest (West Virginia)
- ③ - Wayne National Forest (Ohio)
- ④ - George Washington and Jefferson National Forest (Virginia)
- ⑤ - Daniel Boone National Forest (Kentucky)
- ⑥ - Cherokee National Forest (Tennessee)
- ⑦ - Ouachita National Forest (Arkansas)
- ⑧ - Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest (Georgia)
- ⑨ - National Forests (Shoal Creek Ranger District) in Alabama
- ⑩ - Pisgah National Forest (North Carolina)
- ① - Nantahala National Forest (North Carolina)
- ② - Ozark-St. Francis National Forest (Arkansas)
- ③ - Osceola National Forest (Florida)
- ④ - Ocala National Forest (Florida)

Notes:

- ¹ - Figures in parentheses represent total amount of material authorized for collection under all permits issued for that year.
- ² - It is not known whether species were specifically sought for medicinal use or some other purpose
- ³ - Includes free use permits as volume collected under such permits is large and may involve some level of trade
- ⁴ - It is possible that permits were issued for more than one type of plant known as moss: 1) non-vascular bryophytes (tree moss, log moss); or 2) vascular club-mosses (*Lycopodium* spp.); it is likely most permits were issued for bryophytes
- ⑦ - Indicates that permit(s) was issued for collection of multiple species

Preliminary Recommendations for Improving Species Monitoring/Permitting

There is extensive and continuing interest in the collection of bulk raw plant material from public lands managed by BLM and USFS for certain species, some of which are valuable medicinals and enter domestic and international commerce as such. Gaining a better understanding of BLM and USFS lands as a source of plant material for the commercial trade in medicinal herbs, foods and cosmetics and studying the sustainability of this activity should be priorities for both federal agencies.

During Part IV, a more thorough analysis of the permit review and issuance process for medicinal plants in select National Forests in the Klamath-Siskiyou and Appalachian/Blue Ridge regions will be undertaken. The upcoming analysis should help to validate, refine or refute the observations and recommendations made in this report and might identify other areas and needs for improving the USFS system under which medicinal plants harvested from National Forests are monitored and prioritized for management attention. Based on an assessment of USFS permits obtained during Part II, TRAFFIC has identified gaps or deficiencies in the permitting process. Using medicinal plants as a case study, TRAFFIC is recommending that the proposed modifications be implemented to improve the quality and utility of information available to USFS for managing commercially popular special forest products.

- The fee for permits (or cost per unit of biomass) is not always consistent with the fair market value of the plant nor does it take into account biological or management considerations. For instance, the part of a plant that permittees intend to collect may result in the destruction of that plant, which places the resource at greater risk from harvest pressure and practices. If harvest destroys a plant then the cost to the resource, and to the management of the resource, increases. Moreover, permit fees/prices per pound in many instances are disproportionately lower than the value of the medicinal plant to the commercial broker and much lower than the end market price of the resource to consumers.

This is the case for American ginseng, whose permit fee and price per unit of biomass is determined by each National Forest and, in some cases, individual Ranger Districts within National Forests (Table 7). The price for collecting ginseng roots in National Forests in North Carolina is \$30 per (wet) pound, or one-third the value of the price (\$90/lb.) that dealers pay diggers for ginseng roots (Kauffman pers. comm. 1999). The fee of \$30/lb. (wet) is the highest reported for any National Forests. It has also been documented that certain National Forests sell permits for the collection of a minimum amount of plant material. The requirement that a collector pays for a minimum amount of plant material before collection is authorized or permits are issued does not appear to be related to any biological criteria. Setting arbitrarily limits for the minimum number of plants or amount of plant material that may be collected could undermine the management of resources subject to such policies. For instance, the George Washington and Jefferson National Forests in Virginia authorize ginseng collection for a minimum of two pounds of wet (fresh) roots (\$20/lb.).

The socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds of harvesters and the importance of a transparent permitting process to Forest Service managers suggests that fees for collecting medicinal plant should not be unreasonably or prohibitively high but should reflect the value

of the resource and impact of harvest. Where applicable, policies requiring that a minimum amount of raw plant material be purchased as a condition of collection should be reviewed and modified according to scientific guidelines and biological criteria.

- Another documented problem with permits is the disparity in the amount of plant material authorized for collection versus actual amount harvested. This is an issue applicable to both BLM and USFS. The amount of plant material or number of plants authorized for collection should be manually checked against actual harvest so that BLM and USFS staff can make management decisions using actual versus projected harvest data. Permittees should report back to field offices from which permits were obtained for verification and validation of plant material collected. Post-harvest feedback also provides these federal agencies with another opportunity to ask harvesters about the status, condition and availability of the resource.

The number of permits issued can be a general indicator of species popularity, but it is not necessarily the best reflection of volume of plant material harvested under each permit. A comparison of permits issued for American ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*) and saw palmetto (*Serenoa repens*) illustrates this point. While more than 5,400 USFS fee permits were issued for American ginseng roots from 1995-97, authorized collection amounts, with a few exceptions, were about 1-2 lb. of roots for each ginseng permit. Contrastingly, only 13 USFS fee permits were issued for an average authorized collection of 1,200 lb. of saw palmetto berries during the same period. The large volume of saw palmetto berries and comparatively smaller amount of ginseng roots approved for collection suggests that the number of permits issued do not correspond with the amounts approved under each permit. For management and enforcement purposes, pre-approved harvest amounts should be compared with amounts actually harvested from BLM lands and National Forests.

- Standardization of units used to quantify the amount of plant material authorized for collection should be encouraged so that units of measurement correlate and compare more accurately with the number of plants harvested. Greater uniformity in units on BLM and USFS permits would also improve the validity of comparisons in permit data, reports and analyses among lands managed by BLM and USFS. A few of the units presently used to quantify estimated harvest are arbitrary and cannot be used to extrapolate the number of plants harvested (Table 7); and
- Where applicable and feasible, it might improve the transparency and management utility of permit information if managers reported the following additional information when approving special forest products (e.g., medicinal plants) for collection: scientific and common names of plants, desired plant part(s) and site(s) targeted for collection. This information could help federal land management agencies determine whether, which and where plants are subject to destructive harvest practices. Examples of plants for which identification to the species and even genus level was difficult to ascertain from permit information are: *Betula* spp., cohosh, ferns, *Galax* spp., *Juglans* spp., *Leucothoe* spp., moss, *Myrica* spp., *Pinus* spp., *Quercus* spp., *Rhododendron* spp. and *Vitis* spp.

Table 7. Collecting fees and units of measurement for ginseng collection in select National Forests

State	National Forest	Collecting Fee	Unit of Measurement¹
North Carolina	Pisgah, Nantahala	\$30.00	Pound
Kentucky	Daniel Boone (Stearns Ranger District)	\$10.00	33 Gallon Bag
	Daniel Boone (London Ranger District)	\$1.00	Pound
	Daniel Boone (Somerset Ranger District)	\$10.00	Bushel
	Daniel Boone (Redbird Ranger District)	\$10.00	Pound
	Daniel Boone (Stanton Ranger District)	\$2.00	Gallon
	Daniel Boone (Morehead Ranger District)	\$10.00	½ Pound
Virginia	George Washington, Jefferson	\$20.00	Pound
Arkansas	Ozark-St.Francis	\$15.00	Pound
Georgia	Chattahoochee-Oconee	\$25.00	Pound
Tennessee	Cherokee (Hiswassee, Ocoee, Watauga Ranger Districts)	\$15.00	Pound
	Cherokee (Tellico Ranger District)	\$15.00	Permit

Source: U.S. Forest Service

¹ Refers to wet or green weight

References

- Brevoort, P. 1998. The Booming U.S. Botanical Market - A New Overview. *Herbalgram* 44: 33-45
- Cobb, B. 1998. Management of Special Forest Products Program For Region 8 of the U.S. Forest Service. Jun 9, 1998.
- Day, K.G. 1997. In litt. to general public. November 21, 1997.
- NBJ. 1998. Globalization and Integration Bring Change to Supply Chain. *Nutrition Business Journal*. June: 3(6).
- Duke, J. A. In litt. to Chris Robbins, TRAFFIC USA. February 2, 1998.
- Foster, S. In litt. to Chris Robbins, TRAFFIC USA. May 26, 1998.
- Foster, S. and J. A. Duke. 1990. A Field Guide to Medicinal Plants: Eastern and Central North America. Peterson Field Guide Series #40. Boston, Mass.: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- Kauffman, G. Pers. comm. to Chris Robbins, TRAFFIC North America. July 14, 1999.
- Mabberley, D.J. 1997. The Plant Book. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Sheehan, M. 1998. In litt. to Regional Forester, USDA, Forest Service. June 22, 1998.
- U.S. Forest Service. 1998. The Forest Products Conservation & Recycling Review. November. On the World Wide (<http://pc9.fpl.fs.us:80/documents/nltr/nltr1198.htm>)

Appendix I. List of Native North American Plants Identified on U.S. Herb Market and Distributed to BLM and USFS for Information on Permits Issued or Sold for Each Species

USFS Ranger District or BLM District/Area

If you have no amount data other than the number of permits issued, insert that number in the Amount Sold column and insert the maximum amount that could have been collected through the permit in the space below.

WWF/TNC Medicinal Plant List		Permit Maximum Amount	Amount Sold 1995	Amount Sold 1996	Amount Sold 1997
BOTANICAL NAME	FAMILY	Common Name			
POLYGONUM BISTORTOIDES	POLYGONACEAE	AMERICAN BISTORT			
CASTANEA DENTATA	FAGACEAE	AMERICAN CHESTNUT			
PARTHENIUM INTEGRIFOLIUM	ASTERACEAE	AMERICAN FEVER-FEW			
PANAX QUINQUEFOLIUS	ARALIACEAE	AMERICAN GINSENG			
PORTERANTHUS STIPULATUS	ROSACEAE	AMERICAN IPECAC			
HEDEOMA PULEGIOIDES	LAMIACEAE	AMERICAN PENNYROYAL			
ARALIA RACEMOSA	ARALIACEAE	AMERICAN SPIKENARD			
NYMPHAEA ODORATA	NYMPHAEACEAE	AMERICAN WATER-LILY			
HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA	HAMAMELIDACEAE	AMERICAN WITCH-HAZEL			
Arnica spp.		Arnica			
POPULUS BALSAMIFERA SSP TRICHOCARPA	SALICACEAE	BALSAM POPLAR			
POPULUS BALSAMIFERA	SALICACEAE	BALSAM POPLAR			
ARCTOSTAPHYLOS UVA-URSI	ERICACEAE	BEARBERRY			
CERASTIUM BEERINGIANUM	CARYOPHYLLACEAE	BERING SEA CHICKWEED			
Pedicularis groenlandica		Betony			
IPOMOEA PANDURATA	CONVOLVULACEAE	BIG-ROOT MORNING-GLORY			
PRUNUS EMARGINATA	ROSACEAE	BITTER CHERRY			
CIMICIFUGA RACEMOSA	RANUNCULACEAE	BLACK BUGBANE			
ILEX VERTICILLATA	AQUIFOLIACEAE	BLACK HOLLY			
SANICULA MARILANDICA	APIACEAE	BLACK SNAKE-ROOT			
NYSSA SYLVATICA	NYSSACEAE	BLACK TUPELO			
JUGLANS NIGRA	JUGLANDACEAE	BLACK WALNUT			
SANGUINARIA CANADENSIS	PAPAVERACEAE	BLOODROOT			
CAULOPHYLLUM THALICTROIDES	BERBERIDACEAE	BLUE COHOSH			
SAMBUCUS CERULEA	CAPRIFOLIACEAE	BLUE ELDERBERRY			
VERBENA HASTATA	VERBENACEAE	BLUE VERVAIN			
IRIS VERSICOLOR	IRIDACEAE	BLUEFLAG			
GRINDELIA SQUARROSA	ASTERACEAE	BROADLEAF GUMWEED			
ALNUS SERRULATA	BETULACEAE	BROOK-SIDE ALDER			
ASCLEPIAS TUBEROSA	ASCLEPIADACEAE	BUTTERFLY MILKWEED			
JUGLANS CINEREA	JUGLANDACEAE	BUTTERNUT			
ESCHSCHOLZIA CALIFORNICA	PAPAVERACEAE	CALIFORNIA POPPY			
ARALIA CALIFORNICA	ARALIACEAE	CALIFORNIA SPIKENARD			
TORREYA CALIFORNICA	TAXACEAE	CALIFORNIA TORREYA			
ERIODICTYON CALIFORNICUM	HYDROPHYLLACEAE	CALIFORNIA YERBA-SANTA			

WWF/TNC Medicinal Plant List		Permit Maximum Amount	Amount Sold 1995	Amount Sold 1996	Amount Sold 1997
BOTANICAL NAME	FAMILY	Common Name			
SOLIDAGO CANADENSIS	ASTERACEAE	CANADA GOLDENROD			
COLLINSONIA CANADENSIS	LAMIACEAE	CANADA HORSE-BALM			
CONYZA CANADENSIS	ASTERACEAE	CANADA HORSEWEED			
LACTUCA CANADENSIS	ASTERACEAE	CANADA LETTUCE			
ASARUM CANADENSE	ARISTOLOCHIACEAE	CANADA WILD-GINGER			
SCROPHULARIA MARILANDICA	SCROPHULARIACEAE	CARPENTER'S SQUARE FIGWORT			
FRANGULA PURSHIANA	RHAMNACEAE	CASCARA BUCK-THORN			
GALIUM APARINE	RUBIACEAE	CATCHWEED BEDSTRAW			
CAPSICUM ANNUUM	SOLANACEAE	CAYENNE PEPPER			
ACACIA GREGGII	FABACEAE	CATCLAW ACACIA			
Prunus virginiana		Chokecherry			
APOCYNUM CANNABINUM	APOCYNACEAE	CLASPING-LEAF DOGBANE			
CELASTRUS SCANDENS	CELASTRACEAE	CLIMBING BITTERSWEET			
EUPATORIUM PERFOLIATUM	ASTERACEAE	COMMON BONESET			
SAMBUCUS CANADENSIS	CAPRIFOLIACEAE	COMMON ELDERBERRY			
HUMULUS LUPULUS	CANNABACEAE	COMMON HOP			
PHYTOLACCA AMERICANA	PHYTOLACCACEAE	COMMON POKEWEED			
POLYGONATUM BIFLORUM	LILIACEAE	COMMON SOLOMON'S- SEAL			
CHIMAPHILA UMBELLATA	PYROLACEAE	COMMON WINTERGREEN			
ACHILLEA MILLEFOLIUM	ASTERACEAE	COMMON YARROW			
YUCCA FILAMENTOSA	AGAVACEAE	COMMON YUCCA			
LARREA TRIDENTATA	ZYGOPHYLLACEAE	CREOSOTE BUSH			
CASTELA EMORYI	SIMAROUBACEAE	CRUCIFIXION THORN			
VERONICASTRUM VIRGINICUM	SCROPHULARIACEAE	CULVER'S-ROOT			
SILPHIUM PERFOLIATUM	ASTERACEAE	CUP-PLANT			
CHAMAELIRIUM LUTEUM	LILIACEAE	DEVIL'S-BIT			
OPLOPANAX HORRIDUS	ARALIACEAE	DEVIL'S-CLUB			
ARTEMISIA DRACUNCULUS	ASTERACEAE	DRAGON WORMWOOD			
ECHINACEA PURPUREA	ASTERACEAE	EASTERN PURPLE CONEFLOWER			
JUNIPERUS VIRGINIANA	CUPRESSACEAE	EASTERN RED CEDAR			
PINUS STROBUS	PINACEAE	EASTERN WHITE PINE			
ARGENTINA EGEDII	ROSACEAE	EGEDE CINQUEFOIL			
Pedicularis bracteosa		Elephant's head			
VACCINIUM OVATUM	ERICACEAE	EVERGREEN BLUEBERRY			
LOMATIUM DISSECTUM	APIACEAE	FERN-LEAVED DESERT- PARSLEY			
EQUISETUM ARVENSE	EQUISETACEAE	FIELD HORSETAIL			
VIOLA BICOLOR	VIOLACEAE	FIELD PANSY			
CORNUS FLORIDA	CORNACEAE	FLOWERING DOGWOOD			
RHUS AROMATICA	ANACARDIACEAE	FRAGRANT SUMAC			
CHIONANTHUS VIRGINICUS	OLEACEAE	FRINGE TREE			
POLYGONATUM BIFLORUM VAR COMMUTATUM	LILIACEAE	GIANT SOLOMON'S SEAL			
HYDRASTIS CANADENSIS	RANUNCULACEAE	GOLDEN-SEAL			

WWF/TNC Medicinal Plant List		Permit Maximum Amount	Amount Sold 1995	Amount Sold 1996	Amount Sold 1997
BOTANICAL NAME	FAMILY	Common Name			
GRINDELIA CAMPORUM	ASTERACEAE	GREAT VALLEY GUMWEED			
EPHEDRA VIRIDIS	EPHEDRACEAE	GREEN MORMON-TEA			
JUNIPERUS COMMUNIS	CUPRESSACEAE	GROUND JUNIPER			
ZANTHOXYLUM CLAVA-HERCULIS	RUTACEAE	HERCULES-CLUB			
TRILLIUM ERECTUM	LILIACEAE	ILL-SCENT TRILLIUM			
MONOTROPA UNIFLORA	MONOTROPACEAE	INDIAN-PIPE			
LOBELIA INFLATA	CAMPANULACEAE	INDIAN-TOBACCO			
PEDICULARIS DENSIFLORA	SCROPHULARIACEAE	INDIAN WARRIOR			
ASCLEPIAS SYRIACA	ASCLEPIADACEAE	KANSAS MILKWEED			
VACCINIUM MACROCARPON	ERICACEAE	LARGE CRANBERRY			
CYPRIPEDIUM PUBESCENS	ORCHIDACEAE	LARGE YELLOW LADY'S-SLIPPER			
PROBOSCIDEA LOUISIANICA	PEDALIACEAE	LOUISIANA UNICORN-PLANT			
SCUTELLARIA LATERIFLORA	LAMIACEAE	MAD DOG SKULLCAP			
DRYOPTERIS FILIX-MAS	DRYOPTERIDACEAE	MALE FERN			
ERYNGIUM AQUATICUM	APIACEAE	MARSH RATTLESNAKE MASTER			
PODOPHYLLUM PELTATUM	BERBERIDACEAE	MAY APPLE			
YUCCA SCHIDIGERA	AGAVACEAE	MOJAVE YUCCA			
EPHEDRA ANTISYPHILITICA	EPHEDRACEAE	MORMON TEA			
SPIRAEA ALBA	ROSACEAE	NARROW-LEAVED MEADOW-SWEET			
ECHINACEA ANGUSTIFOLIA	ASTERACEAE	NARROW-LEAVED PURPLE CONEFLOWER			
CEANOTHUS AMERICANUS	RHAMNACEAE	NEW JERSEY TEA			
ADIANTUM PEDATUM	PTERIDACEAE	NORTHERN MAIDENHAIR-FERN			
ZANTHOXYLUM AMERICANUM	RUTACEAE	NORTHERN PRICKLEY ASH			
QUERCUS RUBRA	FAGACEAE	NORTHERN RED OAK			
THUJA OCCIDENTALIS	CUPRESSACEAE	NORTHERN WHITE CEDAR			
FOUQUIERIA SPLENDENS	FOUQUIERIACEAE	OCOTILLO			
POTENTILLA SIMPLEX	ROSACEAE	OLD-FIELD CINQUEFOIL			
JUNIPERUS MONOSPERMA	CUPRESSACEAE	ONE-SEEDED JUNIPER			
GERANIUM OREGANUM	GERANIACEAE	OREGON CRANE'S-BILL			
Berberis nervosa		Oregon grape			
Ligusticum canbyi		Osha			
ECHINACEA PALLIDA	ASTERACEAE	PALE-PURPLE CONEFLOWER			
MITCHELLA REPENS	RUBIACEAE	PARTRIDGE-BERRY			
ASIMINA TRILOBA	ANNONACEAE	PAWPAW			
DIOSPYROS VIRGINIANA	EBENACEAE	PERSIMMON			
LIGUSTICUM PORTERI	APIACEAE	PORTER LOVAGE			
CEANOTHUS HERBACEUS	RHAMNACEAE	PRAIRIE REDROOT			
GRINDELIA INTEGRIFOLIA	ASTERACEAE	PUGET-SOUND GUMWEED			
POPULUS TREMULOIDES	SALICACEAE	QUAKING ASPEN			

WWF/TNC Medicinal Plant List		Permit Maximum Amount	Amount Sold 1995	Amount Sold 1996	Amount Sold 1997
BOTANICAL NAME	FAMILY	Common Name			
STILLINGIA SYLVATICA	EUPHORBIACEAE	QUEEN'S DELIGHT			
ALNUS RUBRA	BETULACEAE	RED ALDER			
RUBUS IDAEUS	ROSACEAE	RED RASPBERRY			
EQUISETUM HYEMALE	EQUISETACEAE	ROUGH HORSETAIL			
LESPEDEZA CAPITATA	FABACEAE	ROUND-HEAD BUSH-CLOVER			
LYCOPODIUM CLAVATUM	LYCOPODIACEAE	RUNNING PINE			
SASSAFRAS ALBIDUM	LAURACEAE	SASSAFRAS			
SERENOA REPENS	ARECACEAE	SAW PALMETTO			
ZOSTERA MARINA	ZOSTERACEAE	SEA-WRACK			
POLYGALA SENEGA	POLYGALACEAE	SENECA SNAKEROOT			
XANTHORHIZA SIMPLICISSIMA	RANUNCULACEAE	SHRUBBY YELLOW-ROOT			
Valeriana sitchensis		Sitka valerian			
SYMPLOCARPUS FOETIDUS	ARACEAE	SKUNK CABBAGE			
ULMUS RUBRA	ULMACEAE	SLIPPERY ELM			
VACCINIUM OXYCOCCOS	ERICACEAE	SMALL CRANBERRY			
VIBURNUM PRUNIFOLIUM	CAPRIFOLIACEAE	SMOOTH BLACK-HAW			
RHUS GLABRA	ANACARDIACEAE	SMOOTH SUMAC			
MYRICA CERIFERA	MYRICACEAE	SOUTHERN BAYBERRY			
ADIANTUM CAPILLUS-VENERIS	PTERIDACEAE	SOUTHERN MAIDENHAIR-FERN			
LINDERA BENZOIN	LAURACEAE	SPICEBUSH			
IMPATIENS CAPENSIS	BALSAMINACEAE	SPOTTED JEWEL-WEED			
CHIMAPHILA MACULATA	PYROLACEAE	SPOTTED WINTERGREEN			
CHAEROPHYLLUM PROCUMBENS	APIACEAE	SPREADING CHERVIL			
VACCINIUM STAMINEUM	ERICACEAE	SQUAW HUCKLEBERRY			
GENTIANA VILLOSA	GENTIANACEAE	STRIPED GENTIAN			
ARISAEMA TRIPHYLLUM	ARACEAE	SWAMP JACK-IN-THE-PULPIT			
BETULA LENTA	BETULACEAE	SWEET BIRCH			
SOLIDAGO ODORA	ASTERACEAE	SWEET GOLDENROD			
LIQUIDAMBAR STYRACIFLUA	HAMAMELIDACEAE	SWEET GUM			
EUPATORIUM PURPUREUM	ASTERACEAE	SWEET JOE-PYE WEED			
MAGNOLIA VIRGINIANA	MAGNOLIACEAE	SWEETBAY MAGNOLIA			
ACORUS AMERICANUS	ACORACEAE	SWEETFLAG			
ACORUS CALAMUS	ACORACEAE	SWEETFLAG			
AGRIMONIA GRYPOSEPALA	ROSACEAE	TALL HAIRY GROOVEBUR			
Berberis AQUIFOLIUM	BERBERIDACEAE	Tall Oregon grape			
GAULTHERIA PROCUMBENS	ERICACEAE	TEABERRY			
PRENANTHES TRIFOLIOLATA	ASTERACEAE	THREE-LEAVED RATTLESNAKE-ROOT			
EPIGAEA REPENS	ERICACEAE	TRAILING ARBUTUS			
JEFFERSONIA DIPHYLLO	BERBERIDACEAE	TWINLEAF			
DIONAEA MUSCIPULA	DROSERACEAE	VENUS' FLY-TRAP			
IRIS VIRGINICA	IRIDACEAE	VIRGINIA BLUE FLAG			
LYCOPUS VIRGINICUS	LAMIACEAE	VIRGINIA BUGLEWEED			
ARISTOLOCHIA SERPENTARIA	ARISTOLOCHIACEAE	VIRGINIA SNAKEROOT			
EUONYMUS ATROPURPUREUS	CELASTRACEAE	WAHOO			

WWF/TNC Medicinal Plant List		Permit Maximum Amount	Amount Sold 1995	Amount Sold 1996	Amount Sold 1997
BOTANICAL NAME	FAMILY	Common Name			
PTEROCAULON VIRGATUM	ASTERACEAE	WAND BLACKROOT			
CAREX AQUATILIS	CYPERACEAE	WATER SEDGE			
ALETRIS FARINOSA	LILIACEAE	WHITE-TUBED COLICROOT			
FRAXINUS AMERICANA	OLEACEAE	WHITE ASH			
QUERCUS ALBA	FAGACEAE	WHITE OAK			
SALVIA APIANA	LAMIACEAE	WHITE SAGE			
CHELONE GLABRA	SCROPHULARIACEAE	WHITE TURTLEHEAD			
VACCINIUM MYRTILLUS	ERICACEAE	WHORTLE-BERRY			
MONARDA FISTULOSA	LAMIACEAE	WILD BERGAMOT BEE-BALM			
PRUNUS SEROTINA	ROSACEAE	WILD BLACK CHERRY			
GERANIUM MACULATUM	GERANIACEAE	WILD CRANE'S-BILL			
HYDRANGEA ARBORESCENS	HYDRANGEACEAE	WILD HYDRANGEA			
EUPHORBIA IPECACUANHAE	EUPHORBIACEAE	WILD IPECAC			
ARALIA NUDICAULIS	ARALIACEAE	WILD SARSAPARILLA			
LACTUCA FLORIDANA v VILLOSA	ASTERACEAE	Wild Lettuce			
SPIGELIA MARILANDICA	LOGANIACEAE	WOODLAND PINKROOT			
VIOLA SORORIA	VIOLACEAE	WOOLLY BLUE VIOLET			
GELSEMIUM SEMPERVIRENS	LOGANIACEAE	YELLOW JESSAMINE			
TAENIDIA INTEGERRIMA	APIACEAE	YELLOW PIMPERNELL			
Zanthorriza simplicissima		Yellow root			
BAPTISIA TINCTORIA	FABACEAE	YELLOW WILD-INDIGO			
DIOSCOREA VILLOSA	DIOSCOREACEAE	YELLOW YAM			
ANEMOPSIS CALIFORNICA	SAURURACEAE	YERBA MANSA			