8. Appendix

Probability paper with linear divisions



Probability paper with logarithmic divisions



9. References

Abt, S.R., R.J. Wittler, A. Taylor, and D.J. Love, 1989. Human stability in a high flood hazard zone. *Water Resources Bulletin* 25 (4): 881-890.

Adams, J., 1979. Gravel size analysis from photographs. Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, 105(HY10): 1247-1255.

Adams, J.N. and R.L. Beschta, 1980. Gravel bed composition in Oregon coastal streams. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37: 1514-1521.

Alonso, C.V., 1993. Sediment deposition on stable gravels. In: *Advances in Hydro-Science and -Engineering*, Vol. 1, S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), p. 251-256.

Anastasi, G., 1984. Geschiebeanalysen im Felde unter Berücksichtigung von Grobkomponenten. [Field grain-size analyses with special attention to coarse material]. *Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie der ETH Zürich*, Nr. 70.

Andrews, E.D. and G. Parker, 1987. Formation of a coarse surface layer as the response to gravel mobility. In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers.* C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst and R.D. Hey (eds.). John Wiley and Sons, New York, p. 269-300.

Anthony, D.J., and M.D. Harvey, 1991. Stage-dependent cross-section adjustments in a meandering reach of Fall River, Colorado. *Geomorphology* 4:187-203.

Ashmore, P.E., T.R. Yuzyk and R. Herrington, 1988. Bed-material sampling in sand-bed streams. Sediment Survey Section, Water Resources Branch, Inland Waters Directorate, Report IWD-HQ-WRB-SS-88-4, 47pp.

Ashworth, P.J. and R.I. Ferguson, 1986. Interrelationships of channel processes, changes and sediments in a proglacial braided river. *Geografiska Annaler* 68A (4): 361-371.

Ashworth, P.J., R.I. Ferguson and M. Powell, 1992a. Bedload transport and sorting in braided channels. In: *Dynamics of Gravel Bed Rivers*. P. Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 497-515.

Ashworth, P.J., R.I. Ferguson, P.E. Ashmore, C. Paola, D.M. Powell, and K.L. Prestegaard, 1992a. Measurements in a braided river chute and lobe. 2. Sorting of bed load during entrainment, transport, and deposition. *Water Resources Research* 28(7): 1887-1896.

Barta, A.F., P.R. Wilcock and C.C. Shea, 1993. Entrainment of gravel in steep streams (abstract). American Geophysical Union 1993 Fall Meeting, Supplement to *EOS, AGU Transactions* 74 (43): 311.

Barta, A.F., P.R. Wilcock and C.C. Shea, 1994. The transport of gravels in boulder-bed streams. In: *Hydraulic Engineering* '94. Proceedings of the 1994 Conference, G.V. Cotroneo and R.R. Rumer (eds.), ASCE, New York, Vol. 2: p.780-784.

Bartolomä, A., 1992. Texturelle and kompositionelle Reifung von Fluß- und Küstenschottern, Kalabrien, Süditalien. [Textural and compositional maturing of fluvial and coastal gravels and cobbles, Calabria, Southern Italy.] Ph.D. Dissertation, Fachbereich Geowissenschaften, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany.

Bevenger, G.S. and R.M. King, 1995. A pebble count procedure for accessing watershed cumulative effects. USDA, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Research Paper RM-RP-319, 17 pp.

Bhowmik, N.G. and M. Demissie, 1982. Bed material sorting in pools and riffles. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, 108 (HY10): 1227-1231.

Billi, P., 1994. Streambed dynamics and grain-size characteristics of two gravel rivers of the Northern Appenines, Italy. In: *Dynamics and Geomorphology of Mountain Rivers*. P. Ergenzinger and K.-H. Schmidt (eds.). Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 197-212.

Billi, P. and E. Paris, 1992. Bed sediment characterization in river engineering problems. In: *Erosion and Sediment Transport Monitoring in River Basins*. IAHS Publ. no. 210: 11-20.

Bisson, P.A., R.E. Bilby, M.D. Bryant, C.A. Dolloff, G.B. Grette, R.A. House, M.L. Murphy, K.V. Koski and J.R. Sedell, 1987. Large woody debris in forested streams in the Pacific Northwest: past, present and future. In: *Streamside Management: Forestry and Fishery Interactions*. E.O. Salo and T.W. Cundy (eds.), College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, Contribution no. 57: 143-190.

Bjornn,T.C. and Reiser, D.W., 1991. Habitat requirements of salmonid streams. In: *Influence of Forest and Rangeland Management on Salmonid Fishes and their Habitats*, W.R. Meehan (ed.), p. 83-138, American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19, Bethesda.

Bluck, B.J., 1982. Texture of gravel bars in braided streams. In: *Gravel-bed Rivers. Fluvial Processes, Engineering and Management*. R.D. Hey; J.C. Bathurst and C.R. Thorne (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 339-355.

Bluck, B.J., 1987. Bed forms and clast size changes in gravel-bed rivers. In: *River Channels. Environment and Process*. K.S. Richards (ed.), The Institute of British Geographer Special Publication Series, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, p. 159-178.

Boothroyd, J.C. and G.M. Ashley, 1975. Processes, bar morphology, and sedimentary structures on braided outwash fans, northeastern Gulf of Alaska. In: *Glaciofluvial and Glaciolacustrine Sedimentation*. A.V. Jopling and B.C. McDonald (eds.). Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Special Publication 23, p. 193-222, Tulsa, Ok.

Brayshaw, A.C., 1984. Characteristics and origin of cluster bedforms in coarse-grained alluvial channels. In: *Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates*. E.H. Koster and R.J. Steel (eds.), Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 10: 77-85.

Brayshaw, A.C., 1985. Bed microtopography and entrainment thresholds in gravel-bed rivers. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 96: 218-223.

Brayshaw, A.C.; L.E. Frostick and I. Reid, 1983. The hydrodynamics of particle clusters and sediment entrainment in coarse alluvial channels. *Sedimentology* 30: 137-143.

Brierley, G.J. and Hickin, E.J., 1985. The downstream gradation of particle sizes in the Squamish River, British Columbia. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 10: 597-606.

Brierley, G.J., 1991. Bar sedimentology of the Squamish River, British Columbia: definition and application of morphostratigraphic units. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 61(2): 211-225.

Bridge, J.S. and J. Jarvis, 1976. Flow and sedimentary processes in the meandering river South Esk, Glen Clova, Scotland. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 1: 303-336.

Bridge, J.S. and J. Jarvis, 1982. The dynamics of a river bend: a study in flow and sedimentary processes. *Sedimentology* 29: 499-543.

Buffington, J.M., 1996. An alternative method for determining subsurface grain size distributions of gravel-bedded rivers (abstract). American Geophysical Union 1996 Fall Meeting, Supplement to *EOS, AGU Transactions*, 77 (46): F250.

Buffington, J.M. and D.R. Montgomery, 1999a. A procedure for classifying and mapping textural facies in gravel-bed rivers. *Water Resources Research* 35 (6): 1903-1914.

Buffington, J.M. and D.R. Montgomery, 1999b. Effects of hydraulic roughness on surface textures of gravel-bed rivers. *Water Resources Research* 35 (11):3507-3521.

Buffington, J.M. and D.R. Montgomery, 1999c. Effects of sediment supply on surface textures of gravel-bed rivers. *Water Resources Research* 35 (11): 3523-3530.

Buffington, J.M., W.E. Dietrich and J.W. Kirchner, 1992. Friction angle measurements on a naturally formed gravel streambed: implications for critical boundary shear stress. *Water Resources Research* 28(2): 411-425.

Bunte, K., 1994. Modeling bedload transport in sand-bed streams using the Ackers and White (1973) sediment transport formula. Report prepared for the Stream Systems Technology Center, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, US Forest Service, Fort Collins, Colorado, 56pp.

Bunte, K., 1995. Parameters of the Riffle Stability Index in relation to bar and riffle grain-size distributions: results of field measurements in gravel- and cobble-bed streams in northern Colorado, October 16-20, 1995. Report prepared for the Stream Technology Center, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, US Forest Service, Fort Collins, CO, 40p.

Bunte, K. and S.R. Abt, 2001. Sampling frame for improving pebble count accuracy in coarse gravel-bed streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* (in press).

Bunte, K. and J. Poesen, 1993. Effects of rock fragment covers on erosion and transport of non-cohesive sediment by shallow overland flow. *Water Resources Research*, 29 (5), 1415-1424.

Bunte, K. and J. Poesen, 1994. Effects of rock fragment size and cover on overland flow hydraulics, local turbulence and sediment yield on an erodible soil surface. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 19: 115-135.

Burns, D.C. and R.E. Edwards, 1985. Embeddedness of salmonid habitat of selected streams on the Payette National Forest. For the Payette National Forest, McCall, ID, 30 p.

Burrows, R.L., W.W. Emmett and B. Parks, 1981. Sediment transport in the Tanana River in the vicinity of Fairbanks, Alaska, 1977-1979. *U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report* 81-20, 56 pp.

Butler, J.B., S.N. Lane and J.H. Chandler, 2000. Automated extraction of grain-size data from gravel surfaces using digital image processing. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* (in press)

Campbell, A.J. and R.C. Sidle, 1985. Bedload transport in a pool-riffle sequence of a coastal Alaska stream. *Water Resources Bulletin,* American Water Resources Association 21(4): 579-590.

Carling. P.A., 1989. Hydrodynamic models of boulder berm deposition. *Geomorphology* 2: 319-340.

Carling, P.A., 1991. An appraisal of the velocity-reversal hypothesis for stable pool-riffle sequences in the River Severn, England. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 16: 19-31.

Carling, P.A. and N.A. Reader, 1981. A freeze-sampling technique suitable for coarse river bed material. *Sedimentary Geology* 29: 233-239.

Carling, P.A. and N.A. Reader, 1982. Structure, composition and bulk properties of upland stream gravels. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 7: 349-365.

Carling, P.A. and N. Wood, 1994. Simulation of flow over pool-riffle topography: A consideration of the velocity reversal hypothesis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 19: 319-332.

Church, M., 1987. Discussion to Andrews and Parker (1987) "Formation of a coarse surface layer as the response to gravel mobility". In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers.* C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst and R.D. Hey (eds.). John Wiley and Sons, New York, p. 314-322.

Church, M., 1992. Channel morphology and typology. In: *The Rivers Handbook*, Vol. 1. P. Calow and G.E. Petts, eds., Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, UK, p. 126-143.

Church, M. and D. Jones, 1982. Channel bars in gravel-bed rivers. In: *Gravel-bed Rivers. Fluvial Processes, Engineering and Management*. R.D. Hey; J.C. Bathurst and C.R. Thorne (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 291-338.

Church, M., D.G. McLean and J.F. Walcott, 1987. River bed gravels: sampling and analysis. In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers*, C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst and R.D. Hey (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 43 – 88.

Church, M., M.A. Hassan and J.F. Wolcott, 1998. Stabilizing self-organized structures in gravel-bed stream channels: field and experimental observations. *Water Resources Research* 34 (11): 3169-3179.

Clifford, N.J., 1993. Differential bed sedimentology and the maintenance of riffle-pool sequences. *Catena* 20: 447-468.

Crofts, R.S., 1974. A visual measure of single particle form for use in the field. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 44 (3): 931-934.

Crowder, D.W, 1996. Sampling heterogeneous gravel deposits within stream beds (abstract). American Geophysical Union 1996 Spring Meeting, Supplement to *EOS, AGU Transactions* 77 (17): 130.

Crowder, D. W. and P. Diplas, 1997. Sampling heterogeneous deposits in gravel-bed streams. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 123 (12): 1106-1117.

De Jong, C., 1992. Discussion of Reid, Frostick and Brayshaw (1992), in: *Dynamics of Gravel-bed Rivers*, P.Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds,), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, United Kingdom, p. 267-272.

De Jong, C. and P. Ergenzinger, 1995. The interrelations between mountain valley form and river-bed arrangement. *River Geomorphology*, E.J. Hickin (ed.), John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, p. 37-54.

De Vries, M., 1970. On the accuracy of bed-material sampling. *Journal of Hydraulic Research* 8 (4): 523-533.

Diepenbroek, M. and C. De Jong, 1994. Quantification of textural particle characteristics by image analysis of sediment surfaces - examples from active and paleo-surfaces in steep, coarse grained mountain environments. In: *Dynamics and Geomorphology of Mountain Rivers*. P. Ergenzinger and K.-H. Schmidt (eds.). Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences 52: 301-314, Springer Verlag, Berlin.

Diepenbroek, M., A. Bartolomä, and H. Ibbeken, 1992. How round is round? A new approach to the topic 'roundness' by Fourier grain shape analysis. *Sedimentology* 39 (3): 411-422.

Dietrich, W.B., 1987. Mechanics of flow and sediment transport in river bends. In: *River Channels. Environment and Process*. K.S. Richards (ed.), The Institute of British Geographer Special Publication Series, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, p. 199-227.

Dietrich, W.E. and J.D. Smith, 1984. Bed load transport in a river meander. *Water Resources Research* 20(10): 1355-1380.

Dietrich, W.E., J.W. Kirchner, H. Ikeda, and F. Iseya, 1989. Sediment supply and the development of the coarse surface layer in gravel-bedload rivers. *Nature* 340: 215-217.

Dietrich, W.E., D. Kinerson and L. Collins, 1993. Interpretation of relative sediment supply from bed surface textures in gravel bed rivers (abstract). American Geophysical Union 1993 Spring Meeting, Supplement to *EOS, AGU Transactions* 74 (16): 151.

Diplas, P., 1989. Areal sampling techniques. In: *Sediment Transport Modeling*. S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, p. 380-385.

Diplas, P., 1992a. Surface and subsurface granulometry. *In: Grain Sorting Seminar. Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule Zürich*, 117: 157-162.

Diplas. P., 1992b. Discussion to "Experimental investigation of the effect of mixture properties on transport dynamics" by P.R. Wilcock, 1992. In: *Dynamics of Gravel Bed Rivers*. P. Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 131-135.

Diplas, P. and J. Fripp, 1991. Bed material sampling: issues and answers. In: *Proceedings of the Fifth Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference, Las Vegas, Nev*., Subcommittee on Sedimentation of the Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data, p. 2.81-2.88.

Diplas, P. and J.B. Fripp, 1992. Properties of various sediment sampling procedures. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 118 (7): 955-970.

Diplas, P. and V.K. Lohani, 1997. Discussion of: "Application of the pebble count: notes on purpose, method, and variants," by G. Mathias Kondolf. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 33 (6): 1397-1399.

Diplas, P. and A.J. Sutherland, 1988. Sampling techniques for gravel sized sediments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 114 (5): 484-501.

Dunkerley, D.L., 1994. Discussion: bulk sampling of coarse clastic sediments for particle-size analysis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 19: 255-261.

Dunne,T. and L.B. Leopold, 1978. *Water in Environmental Planning*. Freeman and Company, New York.

DVWK, 1988. Feststofftransport in Fließgewässern - Berechnungsverfahren für die Ingenieurspraxis. [Solid matter transport - Computation procedures for engineers.] *DVWK-Schriften* 87, Paul Parey, Hamburg.

Edwards, T.K. and Glysson, G.D., 1988. Field methods for measurement of fluvial sediment. *U.S. Geological Survey, Open File Report* 86-531, Reston, Virginia, 118 pp.

Ehrlich, R., 1964. The role of the homogeneous unit in sampling plans for sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 34 (2): 437-439.

Ergenzinger, P., B. Kozlowski, and P. Obenauf, 1999. Eroslope II, Abschlußbericht. [EROSLOPE II, Final Report submitted to the European Programme on Climatology and Natural Hazards, Contract number EV5V-CT92-0179].

Ettema, R., 1984. Sampling armor-layer sediments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 110 (7): 992-996.

Everest, F.H., C.E. McLemore and J.F. Ward, 1980. An improved tri-tube cryogenic gravel sampler. *U.S.D.A. Forest Service Research Note*, PNW-350, 8 pp.

Fraccarollo, L. and A. Marion, 1993. Non-destructive sampling procedure for flume experiments on sediment transport. In: *Advances in Hydro-Science and -Engineering*, Vol. 1, S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), p. 1183-1188.

Fehr, R., 1987. Geschiebeanalysen in Gebirgsflüssen. Umrechnung und Vergleich von verschiedenen Analyseverfahren. [Bed material analyses in mountain streams. Transformation and comparison of various analyses procedures]. *Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie an der ETH Zürich*, No. 92, 139 pp., Switzerland.

Ferguson, R.I. and A. Werritty, 1983. Bar development and channel changes in the gravelly River Feshie, Scotland. *Modern and Ancient Fluvial Systems*, J.D. Collinson and J. Lewin (eds.). Special Publications of the International Association of Sedimentologists 6: 169-180.

Ferguson, R.I. and C. Paola, 1997. Bias and precision of percentiles of bulk grain size distributions. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 22 (11): 1061-1078.

Ferguson, R.I., T.B. Hoey, S.J. Wathen, A. Werritty, R.I. Hardwick and G.H. Sambrook Smith, 1998. Downstream fining of river gravels: integrated field, laboratory and modeling study. *Gravel-Bed Rivers in the Environment.* P.C. Klingeman, R.L. Beschta, P.D. Komar, and J.B. Bradley, eds., 85-114, Water Resources Publications LLC, Highlands Ranch, Colorado.

Fleiss, J.L., 1981. *Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 321 pp.

Folk, R.L. and W.C. Ward, 1957. Brazos River Bar: a study in the significance of grain size parameters. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 27(1): 3-26.

Folk, R.L., 1966. A review of grain-size parameters. *Sedimentology* 6: 73-93.

Fraccarollo, L. and A. Marion, 1995. Statistical approach to bed-material surface sampling. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 121 (7): 640-545.

Fraccarollo, L. and A. Marion, 1993. Non-destructive sampling procedure for flume experiments on sediment transport. In: *Advances in Hydro-Science and -Engineering*, Vol. 1, S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), p. 1183-1188.

Fripp, J.B. and Diplas, P., 1993. Surface sampling in gravel streams. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 119(4): 473-490.

Fujita, Y., 1989. Bar and channel formation in braided streams. In: *River Meandering*. S. Ikeda and G. Parker (eds.), Water Resources Monograph 12, American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, p. 417-462.

Gale, S.J. and P.G. Hoare, 1992. Bulk sampling of coarse clastic sediments for particle size analysis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 17: 729-733.

Gale, S.J. and P.G. Hoare, 1994. Reply: Bulk sampling of coarse clastic sediments for particle size analysis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 19: 263-268.

Gessler, D., C.C. Watson and N. Raphelt, 1993. Effect of grain size on sediment transport calculations. *Hydraulic Engineering*, Vol. 1, H.W. Shen, S.T. Su and F. Wen (eds.), ASCE, New York, p. 887-892.

Gessler, J., 1992. Armoring on the surface of gravel bed rivers revisited. In: *Grain Sorting Seminar*. *Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule Zürich*, 117: 299-309, Switzerland.

Gilbert, R.O., 1987. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 320pp.

Gomez, B., 1979. A technique for sampling the surface bed material in mixed sand and gravel streams. *British Geomorphological Research Group, Technical Bulletin* 24: 15-21, Geo Abstracts Ltd., Norwich, England.

Gomez, B., 1983a. Representative sampling of sandy fluvial gravels. *Sedimentary Geology* 34: 301-306.

Gomez, B., 1983b. Temporal variations in bedload transport rates: the effect of progressive bed-armouring. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 8: 41-54.

Gomez, B., 1983c. Temporal variations in the particle size distribution of surficial bed material: the effect of progressive bed armouring. *Geografiska Annaler* 65 A (3/4): 183-192.

Gordon, N.D., T.A. McMahon and B.L. Finlayson, 1992. *Stream Hydrology*. *An Introduction for Ecologists*. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, GB, 526 pp.

Grant, G.E., F.J. Swanson and M.G. Wolman, 1990. Patterns and origin of stepped-bed morphology in high gradient streams, Western Cascades, Oregon. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 102: 340-352.

Haan, C.T., 1977. *Statistical Methods in Hydrology*. Iowa State University Press, Ames, 378 pp.

Harvey, A.M., 1987. Sediment supply to upland streams: influence on channel adjustment. In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers*, C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst and R.D. Hey (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 121-150.

Hey, R.D. and C.R. Thorne, 1983. Accuracy of surface samples from gravel bed material. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 109 (6): 842-851.

Hilton, S. and T.E. Lisle, 1993. Measuring the fraction of pool volume filled with fine sediment. *U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Research Note* PSW-RN-414, 11 pp.

Hirsch, P.J. and A.D. Abrahams, 1981. The properties of bed sediments in pools and riffles. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 51 (3): 757-760.

Hogan, S.A., S.R. Abt and C.C. Watson, 1993. Development and testing of a bed material sampling method for gravel and cobble bed streams. Report prepared at the Department of Civil Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 126 pp.

Ibbeken, H., 1974. A simple sieving and splitting device for field analysis of coarse grained sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 44(3): 939-946.

Ibbeken, H., 1983. Jointed source rock and fluvial gravels controlled by Rosin's law: a grain size study in Calabria, South Italy. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 53(4): 1213-1231.

Ibbeken, H., and P. Denzer, 1988. Clast measurement: a simple manual device and its semiautomatic electronic equivalent. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 58 (4): 751-752.

Ibbeken, H. and R. Schleyer, 1986. Photo sieving: a method for grainsize analysis of coarse-grained, unconsolidated bedding surfaces. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 11: 59-77.

Inman, D.L., 1952. Measures for describing the size distribution of sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 22: 125-145.

Iseya, F., and H. Ikeda, 1987. Pulsations in bedload transport rates induced by longitudinal sediment sorting: a flume study using sand and gravel mixtures. *Geografiska Annaler* 69A(1): 15-27.

ISO 1977. Liquid flow measurement in open channels - bed material sampling. *International Organization of Standardization*, publication no. ISO 4364: 1977(E): 380-392, International Organization of Standardization, Genève, Switzerland.

ISO 1992. Liquid flow measurement in open channels - sampling and analysis of gravel-bed material. *International Organization of Standardization*, publication no. ISO 9195: 1992(E), 9pp. International Organization of Standardization, Genève, Switzerland.

Johnston, C.E., E.D. Andrews and J. Pitlick, 1998. In situ determination of particle friction angles of fluvial gravels. *Water Resources Research* 34: 2012-2030.

Julien, P., 1995. *Erosion and Sedimentation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Kappesser, G., 1995. Riffle stability index. Unpublished draft manuscript for review and comment. George Washington and Jefferson National Forests, Roanoke, VA, 21p.

Keller, E.A., 1971. Areal sorting of bed-load material: the hypothesis of velocity reversal. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 82: 753-756.

Keller, E.A. and J.L. Florsheim, 1993. Velocity-reversal hypothesis: a model approach. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 18: 733-740.

Keller, E.A. and W.N. Melhorn, 1978. Rhythmic spacing and origin of pools and riffles. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 89: 723-730.

Keller, E.A. and W.N. Melhorn, 1981. Bedforms and fluvial processes in alluvial stream channels: selected observations. In: *Fluvial Geomorphology*. M. Morisawa (ed.),

The "Binghamton" Symposia in Geomorphology International Series, No. 4., p. 253-283, George Allen & Unwin, London.
Kellerhals, R. and D.I. Bray, 1971. Sampling procedures for coarse fluvial sediments. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE,* 97 (HY8): 1165-1180.
King, R.M. and J.P. Potyondy, 1993. Statistically testing Wolman pebble counts: changes in percent fines. In: *Stream Notes*, October 1993. USDA Forest Service, Stream Systems Technology Center, Fort Collins, CO.
Kirchner, J.W., W.E. Dietrich, F. Iseya and H. Ikeda, 1990. The variability of critical shear stress, friction angle, and grain protrusion in water-worked sediments. *Sedimentology* 37: 647-672.
Klingeman, P.C. and Emmett, W.W., 1982. Gravel bedload transport processes. In: *Gravel-bed Rivers. Fluvial Processes, Engineering and Management*. R.D. Hey; J.C. Bathurst and C.R. Thorne (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 141-179.
Kondolf, G.M., 1997a. Application of the pebble count: notes on purpose, method, and variants. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 33 (1): 79-87.
Kondolf, G.M., 1997b. Reply to discussion by Gregory S. Bevenger and Rudy M. King: "Application of the pebble count: notes on purpose, method, and variants." *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 33 (6): 1395-1396.
Kondolf, G.M., 1997c. Reply to discussion by Panayiotis Diplas and Vinod K. Lohani: "Application of the pebble count: notes on purpose, method, and variants." *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 33 (6): 1395-1396.
Kondolf, G.M. and S. Li, 1992. The pebble count technique for quantifying surface bed material size in instream flow studies. *Rivers* 3(2): 80-87.
Kondolf, G.M. and M.G. Wolman, 1993. The sizes of salmonid spawning gravels. *Water Resources Research* 29 (7): 2275-2285.
Koster, E.H., 1978. Transverse ribs: their characteristics, origin and paleohydraulic significance. In: *Fluvial Sedimentology*, A.D. Miall (ed.), Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, p. 161-186.
Kothyari, U.C., 1995. Frequency distribution of river bed materials. *Sedimentology* 42: 283-291.
Kozlowski, B. and P. Ergenzinger, 1999. Ring structures – a specific new cluster type in steep mountain torrents. In: *Proceedings of the 27th IAHR Congress*, held in Graz, Austria, 9 pp; for viewing see: www.iahr.org
Krumbein, W.C., 1940. Flood gravel of San Gabriel Canyon, California. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 51: 673-706.
Krumbein, W.C., 1941. Measurement and geological significance of shape and roundness of sedimentary particles. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 11 (2): 64-72.
Krumbein, W.C., 1942. Flood deposits of Arroyo Seco, Los Angeles County, California. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 53: 1355-1401.
Lane, E.W., 1955. The importance of fluvial morphology in hydraulic engineering. *Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers*, Vol. 81, paper no. 745.
Lane, E.W. and E.J. Carlson, 1953. Some factors affecting the stability of canals constructed in coarse granular material. *Proceedings of the 5th IAHR Congress*, p. 37-48.

Lauck, T., Lamberson, R. and T.E. Lisle, 1993. A simulation model for the infiltration of heterogeneous sediment into a stream bed. In: *Advances in Hydro-Science and - Engineering*, Vol. 1, S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), p. 229-236.

Leopold, L.B., 1970. An improved method for size distribution of stream bed gravel. *Water Resources Research* 6(5): 1357-1366.

Leopold, L.B., 1992. Sediment size that determines channel morphology. In: *Dynamics of Gravel Bed Rivers*. P. Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 297-311.

Lewis, D.W. and D. McConchie, 1994. *Analytical Sedimentology*. Chapman & Hall, New York.

Li, Z. and P.D. Komar, 1986. Laboratory measurements of pivoting angles for application to selective entrainment of gravel in a current. *Sedimentology* 33: 413-423.

Lisle, T.E., 1979. A sorting mechanism for a riffle-pool sequence: summary. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, Part. 1, 90: 616-617.

Lisle, T.E., 1995. Particle size variations between bed load and bed material in natural gravel bed channels. *Water Resources Research* 31 (4): 1107-1118.

Lisle, T.E. and S. Hilton, 1992. The volume of fine sediment in pools: an index of sediment supply in gravel-bed streams. *Water Resources Bulletin* 28(2): 371-383.

Lisle, T.E. and S. Hilton, 1996. Storage of fine-grained bed material in pools of natural gravel-bed channels (abstract). American Geophysical Union 1996 Fall Meeting, Supplement to *EOS, AGU Transactions* 77 (46): F253.

Lisle, T.E. and S. Hilton, 1999. Fine bed material in pools of natural gravel bed channels. *Water Resources Research* 35 (4): 1291-1304.

Lisle, T.E. and M.A. Madej, 1992. Spatial variation in armouring in a channel with high sediment supply. In: *Dynamics of Gravel Bed Rivers*. P. Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 277-293.

Lisle, T.E., F. Iseya and H. Ikeda, 1993. Response of channel with alternate bars to a decrease in supply of mixed-size bed load: a flume experiment. *Water Resources Research* 29(11): 3623-3629.

Little, W.C. and P.G. Mayer, 1976. Stability of channel beds by armoring. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 102 (HY11): 1647-1661.

Looney, S.W. and T.R. Gulledge, Jr., 1985. Use of the correlation coefficient with normal probability plots. *The American Statistician* 39 (1): 75-79.

Lotspeich, F.B. and F.H. Everest, 1981. A new method for reporting and inerpreting textural composition of spawning gravel. Research Note, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, PNW-369. Lotspeich, F.B. and B.H. Reid, 1980. A tri-tube freeze-core procedure for sampling stream-gravels. *The Progressive Fish Culturist* 42 (2): 96-99.

Lotspeich, F.B. and B.H. Reid, 1980. A tri-tube freeze-core procedure for sampling stream-gravels. *The Progressive Fish Culturist* 42 (2): 96-99.

MacDonald, L.H., E.E. Wohl and S. Madsen, 1997. Validation of Water Yield Thresholds on the Kootenai National Forest. Final Report submitted to the Kootenai National Forest, Libby, Montana, 197 pp.

MacDonald, L.H., A.W. Smart and R.C. Wissmar, 1991. *Monitoring Guidelines to Evaluate Effects of Forestry Activities on Streams in the Pacific Northwest and*

Alaska. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Water Division, EPA/910/9-91-001, 166 pp.

McDonald, B.C. and I. Banerjee, 1971. Sediments and bed forms on a braided outwash plain. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 8(10): 1282-1301.

McNeil, W.J. and W.H. Ahnell, 1964. Success of pink salmon spawning relative to size of spawning bed materials. *US Fish and Wildlife Service Special Scientific Report, Fisheries* No. 469.

Marcus, W.A., S. Ladd and J. Stoughton, 1995. Pebble counts and the role of user-dependent bias in documenting sediment size distributions. *Water Resources Research* 31 (10): 2625-2631.

Marion, A. and L. Faccarollo, 1997. New conversion model for areal sampling of fluvial sediments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 123 (12): 1148-1151.

Marshall, T.J. and J.W. Holmes, 1988. *Soil Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Great Britain, 336 pp.

Milhous, R.T., 2001. Specific weight and median size of the bed material of gravel and cobble bed rivers. *Proceedings of the Seventh Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference*, March 25-29, 2001, Reno, Nevada. (Submitted)

Milhous, R.T., S.A. Hogan, S.R. Abt and C.C. Watson, 1995. Sampling river-bed material: the barrel sampler. *Rivers* 5 (4): 239-249.

Miller, R.L. and Byrne, R.J., 1966. The angle of repose for a single grain on a fixed rough bed. *Sedimentology* 6: 303-314.

Montgomery, D.R. and J.M. Buffington, 1993. Channel classification, prediction of channel response, and assessment of channel condition. Report TFW-SH10-93-002 prepared for the SHAMW committee of the Washington State Timber/Fish/Wildlife Agreement, 107 pp.

Montgomery, D.R., J.M. Buffington, R.D. Smith, K.M. Smith and G. Pess, 1995. Pool spacing in forest channels. *Water Resources Research* 31(4): 1097-1105.

Montgomery, D.R. and J.M. Buffington, 1997. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 109 (5): 596-611.

Montgomery, D.R. and J.M. Buffington, 1998. Channel Processes, classification, and response. *River Ecology and Management. Lessons from the Pacific Coastal Ecoregion*. R.J. Naiman and R.E. Bilby (eds.), 13-42, Springer Verlag, New York, NY, 705 pp.

Mosley, M.P. and D.S. Tindale, 1985. Sediment variability and bed material sampling in gravel bed rivers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 10: 465-482.

Naden, P.S. and A.C. Brayshaw, 1987. Small- and medium-scale bedforms in gravel-bed rivers. In: *River Channels. Environment and Process*. K.S. Richards (ed.), The Institute of British Geographer Special Publication Series, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, p. 249-271.

National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI), 1986. A comparision of four procedures for determining streambed substrate composition. *Technical Bulletin* 481.

Neter, J., W. Wasserman and M.H. Kutner, 1990. *Applied Linear Statistical Models*. 3rd ed. Irwin, Burr Ridge, Il., 1181 pp.

Neumann-Mahlkau, P., 1967. Korngrößenanalyse grobklastischer Sedimente mit Hilfe von Aufschluß-Photographien. [Granulometric analysis of rudites on outcrop-photographs.] *Sedimentology* 9: 245-261.

Newbury, R.W. and M.N. Garboury, 1993. *Stream Analysis and Fish Habitat Design. A Field Manual*. Newbury Hydraulics, Ltd., Gibsons, British Columbia, Canada.

Parker, G., 1987. Discussion to Church, M., D.G. McLean and J.F. Walcott, 1987. "Bed load sampling and analysis". In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers*, C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst and R.D. Hey (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 79-80.

Parker, G., P.C. Klingeman and D.G. McLean, 1982. Bedload and the size distribution of paved gravel-bed streams. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, 108 (HY4): 544-571.

Petrie, J. and P. Diplas, 2000. Statistical approach to sediment sampling accuracy. *Water Resources Research* 36 (2): 597-605.

Pettijohn, J.F., P.E. Potter, and R. Siever, 1972. *Sand and Sandstone*. Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 619 pp.

Platts, W.S., W.F. Megahan and G.W. Minshall, 1983. Methods for evaluating stream, riparian, and biotic conditions. In: USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden UT, *General Technical Report* INT-138, 62pp.

Poole, G.C., C.A. Frissell and S.C. Ralph, 1997. In-stream habitat unit classification: inadequacies for monitoring and some consequences for management. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 33 (4): 879-896.

Potyondy, J.P. and T. Hardy, 1994. Use of pebble counts to evaluate fine sediment increase in stream channels. *Water Resources Bulletin* 30 (3): 509-520.

Pye, W.D. and M.H. Pye, 1943. Sphericity determinations of pebbles and sand grains. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 13 (1): 28-34.

Ramos, C., 1996. Quantification of stream channel morphological features: recommended procedures for use in watershed analysis and TFW ambient monitoring. *Timber, Fish and Wildlife*, Report TFW-Am9-96-006.

Reid, I, L.E. Frostick and A.C. Brayshaw, 1992. Microform roughness elements and the selective entrainment and entrapment of particles in gravel-bed rivers. In: *Dynamics of Gravel Bed Rivers*. P. Billi, R.D. Hey, C.R. Thorne and P. Tacconi (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 253-275.

Reiser, D. and J.B. Bradley, 1993. Fine sediment intrusion and salmonid habitat. In: *Advances in Hydro-Science and -Engineering*, Vol. 1, S.S.Y. Wang (ed.), p. 257-264.

Rice, S., 1994. Towards a model of changes in bed material texture at the drainage basin scale. In: *Process Models and Theoretical Geomorphology*. M.J. Kirkby (ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, p. 159-172.

Rice, S., 1995. The spatial variation and routine sampling of spawning gravels in small coastal streams. Research Branch, British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Victoria, B.C., Working Paper 06/1995, 41 pp.

Rice, S. and M. Church, 1996a. Bed material texture in low order streams on the Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 21: 1-18.

Rice, S. and M. Church, 1996b. Sampling surficial fluvial gravels: the precision of size distribution percentile estimates. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 66 (3): 654-665.

Rice, S. and M. Church, 1998. Grain size along two gravel-bed rivers: statistical variation, spatial pattern, and sedimentary links. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 23: 345-363.

Richards, K.S., 1976. The morphology of riffle-pool sequences. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 1: 71-88.

Ritter, J.R. and E.J. Helley, 1969. Optical methods for determining particle sizes of coarse sediment. In: *Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey*, Book 5: Laboratory Analysis, Chapter 3. Preliminary Report Open File, 33 pp.

Rood, K. and M. Church, 1994. Modified freeze-core technique for sampling the permanently wetted streambed. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 14: 852-861.

Rosgen, D.L., 1994. A classification of natural rivers. *Catena* 21: 169-199.

Rosgen, D.L., 1996. *Applied River Morphology*. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, Colorado.

Sahu, B.K., 1964. Transformation of weight frequency and number frequency data in size distribution studies of clastic sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 34(4): 768-773.

Sambrook Smith, G.H. and R.I. Ferguson 1995. The gravel-sand transition along river channels. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* A, 65: 423-430.

Sambrook Smith, G.H., A.P. Nicholas and R.I. Ferguson, 1997. Measuring and defining bimodal sediments: Problems and implications. *Water Resources Research* 33 (5): 1179-1185.

Schleyer, R., 1987. The goodness-of-fit to ideal Gauss and Rosin Distributions: a new grain-size parameter. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 57(5): 871-880.

Schnackenberg, E.S. and L.H. MacDonald, 1998. Detecting cumulative effects on headwater streams in the Routt National Forest, Colorado. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 34 (5): 1163-1177.

Schuett-Hames, D., B. Conrad, A. Pleus, and D.Smith, 1996. Field comparison of the McNeil sampler with three shovel-based methods used to sample spawning substrate composition in small streams. Timber, Fish and Wildlife, TFW-AM-9-96-005, 33 pp.

Seal, R. and C. Paola, 1995. Observations of downstream fining on the North Fork Toutle River near Mount St. Helens, Washington. *Water Resources Research* 31(5): 1409-1419.

Seal, R., C. Toro-Escobar, Y. Cui, C. Paola, G. Parker, J.B. Southard and P.R. Wilcock, 1998. Downstream fining by selective deposition: theory, laboratory, and field observations. *Gravel-Bed Rivers in the Environment.* P.C. Klingeman, R.L. Beschta, P.D. Komar, and J.B. Bradley, eds., 61-84, Water Resources Publications LLC, Highlands Ranch, Colorado.

Sear, D.A., 1996. Sediment transport processes in pool-riffle sequences. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 21: 241-262.

Shirazi, M.A. and Seim, W.K., 1981. Stream system evaluation with emphasis on spawning habitat for salmonids. *Water Resources Research* 17(3): 592-594.

Simons, D.B. and F. Sentürk, 1992. *Sediment Transport Technology. Water and Sediment Dynamics*. Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Colorado, 897pp.

Smartt, P.F.M and J.E.A. Grainger, 1974. Sampling for vegetation survey: some aspects of the behavior of unrestricted, restricted, and stratified techniques. *Journal of Biogeography* 1: 193-206.

Smith, L. and S.W. Wheatcraft, 1993. Groundwater flow. In: *Handbook of Hydrology*, D.R. Maidment (ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York.

Sneed, E.D. and R.L. Folk, 1958. Pebbles in the lower Colorado River, Texas: a study in particle morphogenesis. *Journal of Geology* 66: 114-150.

Stedinger, J.R., R.M. Vogel, and E. Foufoula-Georgiou, 1993. Frequency analysis of extreme events. In: *Handbook of Hydrology*, D.R. Maidment (ed.), McGraw-Hill, New York.

Stream Notes, April 1996. Newsletter published by the Stream Technology Center, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Range and Experiment Station, Fort Collins.

Sullivan, K., T.E. Lisle, C.A. Dolloff, G.E, Grant, and L.E. Reid, 1987. Stream channels: The link between forests and fishes. In: *Streamside Management: Forestry and Fishery Interactions*, E.O. Salo and T.W. Cundy (eds.), College of Forests Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, Contribution No. 57: 39-97.

Surian, N., 2000. Downstream variation in bed material size along a braided river, Piave River, Italy. in: Nolan, T. and C. Thorne (eds.), Gravel-Bed Rivers 2000 CD Rom. A Special Publication of the New Zealand Hydrological Society.

Sutherland, A.J., 1987. Static armor layers by selective erosion. In: *Sediment Transport in Gravel-Bed Rivers.* C.R. Thorne, J.C. Bathurst, and R.D. Hey (eds.), John Wiley, Chichester, p. 243-267.

Swan, B., 1974. Measures of particle roundness: a note. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 44* (2): 572-577.

Teleki, P.G., 1972. Areal sorting of bed-load material: the hypothesis of velocity reversal: discussion. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 83: 911-913.

Thomas, E.L. and E.E. Rand, 1991. Methods to measure sedimentation of spawning gravels. USDA Forest Service, Los Angeles, California, *Research Note* PNW-411.

Thompson, A., 1986. Secondary flows and the pool-riffle unit: a case study of the processes of meander development. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 11: 631-641.

Thompson, D.M., 1995. The effects of large organic debris on sediment processes and stream morphology in Vermont. *Geomorphology* 11: 235-244.

Thompson, D.M., E.E. Wohl and R.D. Jarrett, 1996. A revised velocity-reversal and sediment sorting model for a high-gradient, pool-riffle stream. *Physical Geography* 17 (2): 142-156.

Thoms, M.C., 1992. A comparison of grab- and freeze-sampling techniques in the collection of gravel-bed river sediment. *Sedimentary Geology* 78: 191-200.

Todd, S.P., 1996. Process deduction from fluvial sedimentary structures. In: *Advances in Fluvial Dynamics and Stratigraphy*. P.A. Carling and M.R. Dawson (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester.

Trask, P.D., 1932. *Origin and Environment of Source Sediments in Petroleum.* Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas, 324 pp.

Triola, M.F., 1995. *Elementary Statistics*. 6th ed. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 726 pp.

Wadell, H., 1932. Volume, shape, and roundness of rock particles. *Journal of Geology* 40: 443-451.

Walkotten, W.J., 1973. A freezing technique for sampling streambed gravel. USDA Forest Service, Portland, Oregon, Research Note PNW-205.

Walkotten, W.J., 1976. An improved technique for freeze sampling streambed sediments. U.S.D.A. Forest Service Research Note PNW-281, 11 pp.

Warren, G., 1974. Simplified form of the Folk-Ward skewness parameter. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 44*: (1): 259.

Whiting, P., 1996. Sediment sorting over bed topography. In: *Advances in Fluvial Dynamics and Stratigraphy*, P.A. Carling and M.R. Dawson (eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p. 203-228.

Whiting, P.J. and J.B. Bradley, 1993. A process-based classification system for headwater streams. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 18: 603-612.

Whiting, P.J. and W.E. Dietrich, 1993. Experimental studies of bed topography and flow patterns in large-amplitude meanders. 1. Observations. *Water Resources Research* 29 (11): 3605-3614.

Whiting, P.J., W.E. Dietrich, L.B. Leopold, T.G. Drake, and R.L. Shreve, 1988. Bedload sheets in heterogeneous sediment. *Geology* 16: 105-108.

Wilcock, P.R., 1993. Critical shear stress of natural sediments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 119 (4): 491-505.

Wilcock, P.R. and R.S. Stull, 1989. Magnetic paint sampling of the surface and subsurface of clastic sediment beds. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 59: 626-627.

Williams, O.R., R.B. Thomas and R.L. Daddow, 1988. Methods for collection and analysis of fluvial-sediment data. USDA Forest Service, Watershed and Air Management Staff, Report WSDG-TP-00012, 85 pp.

Wohl, E.E., D.J. Anthony, S.W. Madsen, D.M. Thompson, 1996. A comparison of surface sampling methods for coarse fluvial sediments. *Water Resources Research*, 32 (10): 3219-3226.

Wolcott, J. and M. Church, 1991. Strategies for sampling spatially heterogeneous phenomena: the example of river gravels. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 61(4): 534-543.

Wolman, M.G., 1954. A method of sampling coarse bed material. *American Geophysical Union, Transactions*, 35: 951-956.

Wood-Smith, R.D and J.M. Buffington, 1996. Multivariate geomorphic analysis of forest streams: implications for assessment of landuse impacts on channel conditions. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 21: 377-393.

Yang, C.T., 1971. Formation of riffles and pools. *Water Resources Research* 7(6): 1567-1574.

Yang, C.T., 1996. *Sediment Transport. Theory and Practice.* McGraw-Hill, New York.

Young, M.K., W.A. Hubert, and T.A. Wesche, 1991. Biases associated with four stream substrate samplers. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 48 (10): 1882-1886.

Yuzyk, T.R., 1986. Bed material sampling in gravel-bed streams. Sediment Survey Section, Water Survey of Canada, Water Resources Branch, Inland Waters Directorate, Conservation and Protection, Environment Canada, Report IWD-HQ-WRB-SS-86-8, 62 pp.

Yuzyk, T.R. and T. Winkler, 1991. Procedures for bed-material sampling. Lesson Package No. 28. Environment Canada, Water Resources Branch, Sediment Survey Section, Ottawa, Canada, 100 pp.

10. Index

A

a-axis, 14-17, 86, 136-137, 140
Abrasion, 85, 88, 107
Absolute error, 242. *See* Error around mean, median, *and* percentiles
Accuracy, 243-245, 259, 277
  and precision, 244, 225
  definition of, 244
Adhesive areal samples, 224-226, 284, 351, 355
  methods, 172-177
    for fine gravel surfaces, 172
    clay and flour dough, 176-177, 230
    effect of sedimentary properties, 173
    freeze technique, 173
    various substances, 172
    wax, 225, 229
  modeling, 224
Adhesive penetration depth, 173-175, 217
  computed, 226-229
Adhesive properties, 173-176
Adjustment of frequency distributions, 237-238
Aggrading stream reach, 120, 122, 191, 228, 340, 345, 373, 378
Air-drying, of particles, 32, 33
Alluvial, free-formed streams, 117
Alluvial wedge, 124
Alternate bars, 109, 113
American Society for Testing and Materials. *See* ASTM
Anastomosing stream, 9
Angle of repose. *See* Pivot angles
Angularity. *See* Particle shape
Antidunes, 134
Aquatic habitat, 105, 128, 132, 146, 178, 277, 279, 371
  for spawning 131, 203, 371
Area-by-number, 171, 178, 219, 221, 228
Area-by-weight, 171, 217-220, 222-227, 229
Areal overview, 166, 183-184
Areal samples, 132, 144-146, 154, 168, 170-173, 176-178, 184, 188, 230, 234, 325, 342, 347, 351, 354-355, 380
  adhesive methods. *See* Adhesive methods
  area covered per sample, 171, 197, 283-286
  bias towards fines, 224
  converted to grid-by-number by:
    computed penetration depth, 226-229
    modified cube model, 224-226
    split plane surface model, 227-229
    voidless cube model, 218-224, 227
  combined with pebble counts by:
    adjustment of frequency distributions, 237-238
    flexible combination, 233-236
    rigid combination, 230-233
  definition of, 145, 170
  from photographs. *See* Photographic areal samples *and* Photo sieving
  hand-picking, particle retrieval, 145, 170-172
  magnetic paint, 172
  operator variability, 176
  sample size and number of samples, 171
  suitability of, 146
  thin-section technique, 173, 176
  time requirement of, 146
  to expose subsurface, 192
Areal weighting, of particle-size distributions, 347, 351, 354, 356-358, 371, 377-379
Arithmetic progression, 59
Armor layer, 129-132, 143, 188, 191, 199, 201, 207, 213, 333, 359, 371, 373
  definition of, 188
  development of, 182, 188
  diagram, 143
  difference between armor and subarmor, 190
  poorly developed, 188
  removal of to expose subarmor, 191-192
  representativeness for the reach, 372
  sample, 191, 330
  sampling, 210
  sampling depth, 188, 190
  thickness, 188-190, 192, 330
Armored beds, 228
Armoring, 128, 130-131, 199
  degree of, 188, 191
ASTM C136-71, 296
ASTM D75-71, 296
ASTM E-11, 21
A-type streams, 4, 9, 122-123, 325, 326

B

Backfill, of transverse clast dams, 133-134
Backhoe, 203
Backwater, 123-124, 126, 277, 340, 372

Bankfull, 118, 289, 338, 380
Bank line, 120
Banks, 283, 326, 346
  self formed, 120
Bankward fining, 120
Bar graph, 38
Bar head, 113, 117, 119-120, 371, 380
Barrel samplers, 206-208, 331, 367, 372
Bars, 107-111, 113, 119-121, 326, 333, 340
  alternate bars, 109, 112
  bed-material size, 113-114
  downbar fining, 108, 114, 323, 326
  forced by obstacle, 114
  free-formed, 114, 380
  in braided streams, 112, 114
  in B-type streams, 122
  in mountain streams, 111
  in streams with large sediment supply, 112
  lobe front, 109, 133
  medial bars, 113
  point bars, 109
  representativeness for sampling location, 114
  riffle bars, 109
  sampling on, 380-381
Bar toe, 114
Bar types, 111
Bar unit, 109-111, 113, 115-117, 122
b-axis 14-17, 21-25, 27-28, 86, 136-137, 168-169, 179
  and square-hole sieve size, 21
  lengths, 16, 21, 23-24
  measurements of, 28, 30, 164. *See also* Measurements of particle size
  of the D_{max}, 189
  on photographs, 166, 168-169, 178-179, 184
  plane, position of, 168-170, 178, 184
Beaver dams, 123
Bedform morphology, 120
Bedload, 107-108, 119, 123-124, 127, 130-132, 343
  particle-size distribution of, 373
  blockage of conveyance by LWD, 123-124, 126
Bedload sheets, 135-136
Bedload transport
  prediction of, 141, 188, 343
  rates, 127, 136, 148, 289
  supply-limited and transport limited, 340, 343, 373, 383
  three-dimensional patterns of, 108
Bed-material sampling. *See* Sampling,
Bed-material size, 107-108, 113, 126
  and sediment supply, 114
  around boulders, 124, 127
  around LWD, 116, 125-126
  chaotic patterns, 126
  coarse, 115
  coarsest parts of the reach, 117
  heterogeneity (heterogeneous). *See* Heterogeneity
  homogeneity (homogeneous). *See* Homogeneity
  in pools, 113, 118, 119
  in riffle-pool reach, 120, 122
  on bar heads, 120
  on bars, 113, 114
  on riffle crests, 113
  on riffle-related features, 117
  on riffles, 108, 116, 118, 120
  patchy, 126, 323. *See also* Patch, patchiness
  reach-averaged. *See* Reached-averaged bed-material size
  spatial variability. *See* Spatial variability of bed-material size
  vertical stratification, 128-132, 143
  wide size range of, 199
Bed stability, 136, 362, 372
Bed-surface plane, 173, 175, 177
Bed-surface structures, 114, 133-141,154, 156, 183, 327
  analysis by photo sieving, 181
  and photographic methods, 133
  effects on bed-material sampling, 119
  effects on hydraulic roughness, 142
  effects on particle mobility, 141
Bias, 243-245
  against fines
    in pebble counts, 224, 229
    in photographic grid counts, 170
    in photo sieving
    in shoveled samples, 199
  against large particles, 338
    in heel-to-toe samples, 154, 156-157
    in small samples, 304
    in volumetric samples, 192, 194
  and percentiles, 311-314
  and sample mass, 313
  and sorting, 311-314
  in areal samples, towards fine sediment 224
  in pebble counts, against fines, 224, 229
  in photo sieving against fine and large particles, 178, 181
  in poorly accessible stream locations, 333
  towards large particles, 300-301, 341
    in areal samples, 285
    in small samples, 304
Biased sample, 298
Bimodal, 51, 62, 82-84, 108, 275
Bimodality, 62, 83-85
Binned data, 71
Binning, of particle sizes, 42, 328
Binomial approach (sample size), 261, 264-265

Boom trucks, 203
Bootstrap approach (sample size and sample mass), 152-153, 162, 243, 261, 268-277, 288, 309, 315-320
and multinomial approach, 277
and total error, 276-277
computer program for, 309
versus one-step approach, 276
Bootstrap error, 268-275, 315-320
Bootstrapping. *See* Bootstrap approach
Boulder and cobble berms, 135, 362, 380
Boulder-bed streams, 1
Boulders, 17-18, 20, 326, 327, 338
avoided in heel-to-toe samples, 154
effect on flow and sediment transport, 116, 124, 127
effect on local bed-material size, 124, 127
excluded from sampling, 127, 289, 327
in cascades, 117
included in the sample, 127, 289
in step-pool streams, 123
in transverse clast dams, 133
measurements of, 33-34
selection in pebble counts, 161
untransportable (immobile), 127, 131, 141, 326, 327, 342
Braided stream, 9, 109-110, 122-115, 131, 375
B-type streams, 4, 9, 122, 325, 326, 333, 334, 374
Bulk density, 100-101, 106, 294, 297, 313, 317
computation of, 104
effect of particle packing, 100, 104
for shoveled sediment, 196
for various sediments, 104
in dry channels, 101
in inundated channels, 100
in situ, 196
in situ measurements, 100-104
relation to sediment porosity, 105
required sample mass, 103

C

Calipers, 16, 20, 27-28, 30, 33, 86, 164-165, 329
Cascades, 6, 8-9, 116-117
c-axis, 14-17, 24, 33, 86
estimation in photo sieving , 179
of the D_{max}, 189
Censored layer, censored gravel, 130-132
Center of class, 23-24, 47-48, 61, 65, 72
Central tendency, 62
Channel. *See* Stream
Chi-square, 265
Clay and flour dough for areal samples, 176-177
Clusters, clustered 107, 114, 118, 121, 136-138, 142, 144, 169, 327, 338, 342
Coarse gravel-bed streams, 114
Coarse mode, 84
Coarse particles, 108, 117, 131, 137
Coarsest locations in the streambed, 118
Cobble beds, 208
Cobbles, 17, 24, 28, 117, 123, 128, 133
and sample mass, 299
measurements of, 32-34
mobile and immobile, 326
selection in pebble counts, 161
Coefficient of variation, 252
Combination of particle-size distributions from different methods of sampling and analysis, 216, 355
adjustment of frequency distributions, 237-238
flexible combination, 233-236
rigid combination, 230-233
Computed adhesive penetration depth, 226-229
Computer sampling, 364. *See also* Bootstrap approach
Confidence interval, 264-265
around all particle-size classes, 265-268
Confidence level, 243, 247-250, 266-267, 271, 280, 287, 316, 349, 350
definition of, 247
Contents of the pan, 60
Contingency table, 278
Conversion of particle-size distributions 216-217, 278, 342, 354
computed penetration depth, 226-229
modified cube model, 224-226
split plane surface model, 227-229
voidless cube model, 218-224, 227
Cookie-cutter sampler, 206-207
using divers, 207
Core samples, 132
Coupled streams, 12
C-type streams, 111, 120-122, 325, 326, 333, 345, 374
Cumulative particle-size frequency distribution, 23, 27, 31, 38, 40-41, 43-44, 47, 57, 62, 66, 357-358
in mm, 38
in ϕ-units, 38
of equivalent Gaussian distribution, 52
of small samples, 63
percent finer, 38, 47, 74
percent coarser, 38, 74
plotting; curve, 38-39, 41

D

D'Agostino test, 54
Dams, 130-131
Data sheet, for sieving, 31

Debris flows, likelihood of reaching stream, 12
Degrading stream, 120, 122, 124, 340, 373
Delineation of geomorphological units, 340-341, 343, 371
Delineation of sedimentary units, 326, 336, 340-341, 345-351, 359, 371, 377, 378
criteria for, 346
for subsurface sampling, 373
moving window technique, 329, 348, 351
retroactively, 329, 361, 369
statistical, 329, 348-352, 357
visual, 345-348
Deposition, 326
of coarse sediment, 113, 118, 123-128, 130, 188. *See also* Lag deposits
of fines, 120, 125-126, 133, 138, 140, 191, 340, 380. *See also* Fine sediment
on riffles, 118
Deposits,
of debris flows and landslides, 123, 136-137
non-stratified, 132
$D_{50surface}/D_{50subsurface}$ ratio, 131, 191, 373, 379
$D_{50armor}/D_{50subarmor}$ ratio, 188
$D_{50surface}/D_{bedload\ fines}$ ratio, 373
$D_{50local}/D_{50\ reach\text{-}avg.}$ ratio, 379
Dissipation of flow energy, 118
Distribution, 57
central parts, 46
fine and coarse tails, 40, 44-46, 56, 60-61, 74, 76, 78
Distribution parameters, 38, 41, 45, 55-60, 108
accuracy of, 60
and near-normality, 57
arithmetic approaches, 56-59
central tendency, 62
comparison between methods, 80-81
compilation of (Table 2.8), 58
computerized computation, 61
frequency distribution method. *See* Moment method
geometric approaches, 56-60
graphic approaches, 56-59, 61
moment method, 56-58, 60-61, 63. *See also* Moment method
number of percentiles needed, 60
overview, 57
various approaches, 56
Distribution types,
assumed. *See* Underlying distribution type
testing for, 42
Divers, 131, 181, 204, 207
Double (or multiple) counting, 147-148, 156, 166, 168, 327, 338, 341. *See also* Serial correlation
effect on particle-size distribution, 156
Downbar fining, 108, 114, 323, 326
Downstream conveyance of sediment, blockage by LWD, 123-124, 126
Downstream fining, 107-108
Downwelling flows, 132
Dredge, 215, 216, 383
Dune-ripple stream, 6, 8

E

Eddy, eddies (wake), 137-138
recirculating eddy, 118
Ellipsoid, ellipsoidal. *See* Particle shape.
Embedded, embeddedness, 139-142, 169, 189-190
effect on photographic analysis, 182
Entrenchment ratio, 7
Equal mobility transport, 128, 130-131, 188
Erosion pavement, 124, 128, 130-131. *See also* Lag deposit
Erosion threshold, 136, 141
Error (mass-based computation and general). *See also* Precision
around mean, 309
around median, 309, 368. *See also* Two-stage approach
around percentiles, 315-320
absolute, 305-309, 318-320, 320-322
central percentiles, 301-304
computed analytically, 315-320
estimated from regression function, 304-306
percent, 305, 307, 320-322
standard error, 315-320
conversion between absolute, standard, and percent, 272
effect of large particles, 291, 298
from bootstrap approach, 315-320
in distribution tails, 60
preset acceptable, 242, 315, 323, 326, 331, 338, 366, 372, 376
Error (number-based computations and general). *See also* Precision
around mean, 245-246, 249-258, 276
absolute, 249-252, 254-255, 257, 272, 276
comparison of absolute and percent, 251-252, 258
comparison of sample-size eqs., 256-258
limited population size, 254-256
percent, 250-257
around median, 261-263, 276, 285. *See also* Two-stage approach
absolute, 262-263, 272, 276, 285
around percentiles, 247, 261, 264-277, 284,
absolute, 152, 271
central percentiles, 261-263
computed analytically, 274

in symmetrical and skewed distributions, 272-273
percent, 152, 157, 264-268
standard error, 242, 247, 268-275
conversion between absolute, standard, and percent, 272
from bootstrap approach, 268-275
in 100- and 400-particle pebble counts, 149, 152, 157, 250, 266, 271, 276-277, 327-328, 334, 338, 347, 352
in distribution tails, 60
in percent fines, 283
in skewed distributions, 272, 276-277
in symmetrical distributions, 271
preset acceptable, 242, 246, 248, 250, 258-259, 285, 323, 326, 338
Type I and Type II, 278-280, 282
Error band around entire particle-size distribution, 265-268, 277
Error curves around percentiles, 273-274, 305-307
and replicate sampling, 307, 364
comparisons, 320-322
trumpet curve, 305-306
from best-fit regression function, 305-306
scatter in, 305, 308, 364

F

Facies units. *See* Sedimentary units
Familiarization with reach or facies units, 326, 345, 346, 348, 377
Field, 25, 27-28, 30, 32
Field book, 166, 240
rain-proof, 240
Field computations, 260
of sample size, 263
Field forms 240. *See also* Data records and data sheets
developing, 240
for pebble counts, 165
Field notes, 300
Field sieving and weighing, 33-34, 36, 181
Field site, 32-34, 177
number of, 181, 182
remoteness of, 199, 208
Field time, 144-146, 170, 181
for photographic analysis and photo sieving, 181, 184
Field work, 240, 241
experience necessary, 5
Filled gravel, 129
Fine particles
accumulation in poorly accessible areas, 151
between low and high flow water line, 151
inclusion or exclusion from sample, 166
in interstitial voids, 229
partially hidden, 171, 283
underrepresented in pebble counts, 151, 153
variability between samples or operators, 151-152
Fine sediment in gravel beds, 105, 108, 131, 371 151, 191, 386
abundance of, 128, 139
accumulation of, 277
around embedded particles, 140
at the downstream end of the bar, 114
deposits of, 133, 138, 380
exclusion from sample, 153
in backwater, 124, 372, 380
in bedload, 373
in bed-surface structures, 133-141
infiltration of, 130-132, 182, 188
in pools, 118-119, 323, 340, 372, 373, 380, 382-383
in spawning gravel, 203
in subsurface sediment, 372
intrusion, 131
in wake of boulder, 127
scour of, 124, 128, 130
storage of, 372
stream locations indicative of, 380
supply of, 119, 130, 132, 372,
tallying in one size class, 154
veneer of, 330
washed away during sampling, 13, 199, 203
wide size range < 0.1 to > 8 mm, 203
Fisheries biologists, 139
Fish habitat (studies), 185, 203, 371. *See also* Aquatic habitat
Flexible combination, 233-236
Floods, very large, 133, 326, 380
Flow
around boulders, 127
energy, dissipation of, 118
helical, 113
high energy, 131, 134
subcritical, critical, supercritical, 117
three dimensional pattern, 108, 126
Flow depth, 110, 113, 117, 184
Flow hydraulics, 128, 139-140, 326
Flowlines, 138
Flow separation zone, 137
Flow velocity, 113, 117
fast, 199
in pools, 118
on riffle-related features, 117
on riffles, 117-118
reversal of, 118
surface and bottom, 114
Fluvial transport distance, 16, 24, 51, 83, 88-89
Form-sphericity diagram, 179

Framework subsurface sediment, 188
Framework-supported gravels, 128, 131, 225
Fredle index, 76-77
Free matrix particles, 104-141
Free particle space, 140-141
Freeze-core samplers, 198, 204-205, 208, 210-213
  problems with large particles, 213
Freeze-core samples, 100, 104
  comparison with other samples, 213
  sample mass, 211
  stratification, 210
Freeze technique, for areal samples, 173
Frequency-by-number, 40, 216-217, 219-222, 237
Frequency-by-weight, 216-217, 219-222
Frequency distribution. *See* Particle-size frequency distribution
Froude number, 117, 135

G

Gaussian distribution. *See* Normal distribution
Geometric progression, 59
Geomorphological units, 323, 325, 326, 337, 340, 341, 344, 371
  comparison of particle sizes between, 340, 343
  delineation of, 326, 336, 340-341
  retroactive delineation of, 329
  sampling on, 341-342
  size of, 331
  small, 341
  sorting on, 373
  spatial variability on, 341
Geomorphologically stratified sampling,
  surface sampling, 340-345
  volumetric sampling, 371-373
Glide. *See* Riffle
Goodness-of-fit, 45, 47-48
  and computational consistency, 47
  and sieve size, 47
  effect of skewness, 51
  effect of truncation, 51
  for fine or coarse part of distribution, 51
  to lognormal distribution, 47
  to normal (Gaussian) distribution, 45, 47, 51, 243
  to Rosin distribution, 51
Grab samples, 372, 373
  comparison between computations, 369
  mass of, 366-369
  number of, 367, 376
  repetitions of, 368
  small and biased, 366
Gradation coefficient. *See* Sorting
Gradation curve. *See* Cumulative particle-size frequency distribution curve
Grain-to-grain contact, 136-137
Gravel, 17, 20, 30-31
Gravel- and cobble bed streams, 2
Gravel lobes, 114. *See also* Lobe fronts
Gravel mobility, 141
Gravelometer. *See* Template
Gravel pits, 132. *See also* Sampling pits
Gravel sheets, 135
Gravel sheltered in pockets, 141
Grid-by-number, 154, 168, 171, 178, 197, 217, 219, 221-225, 227-228, 230, 233, 237, 354
  correspondence with volume-by-weight, 227
Grid-by-weight, 219
Grid counts, 145-146, 166, 169-170, 184
  definition of, 145, 166
  photographic. *See* Photographic grid counts
Grid sampling, 324, 334, 361, 363, 382
  for each facies unit, 351, 353
  for volumetric riffle samples, 372
  in heterogeneous riffle-pool reach, 338
  reach spanning, 351-353, 362-363, 374
  several, overlaying, 324, 336, 341, 354, 363
Grid spacing, 327, 338-339, 341, 347-348, 353, 361, 365. *See also* Pebble count, sampling point spacing
  cell size, 362
  in different geomorphological unit, 341
  in meander bends, 337-338
  in streams of different widths and D_{max}, 339
  minimum, 348
  selection of, 362
  small-scale, 380
  tightly spaced, 332, 336-337, 341
  widely-spaced, 333, 334, 337
Guidelines for bed-material sampling, 2, 5
  in mountain streams, 5
  in sand-bedded streams, 2
  no substitute for experience, 5
  problems of, 4
  wide variety of, 5
Gullies, 9

H

Hand-picking subsurface particles, 197
Hand-picking surface particles in areal samples, 172
Hanging scale, 33-34
Heel-to-toe sampling, 146-149, 152-159, 161-164
  comparison with sampling frame, 161
  mean percent error, 153
  overrepresentation of mid-sized particles, 156
  total error, 152

underrepresentation of fines and cobbles/ boulders, 156-157
Helley-Smith sampling bag, 200-201
Heterogeneity of bed material, 333, 336, 345, 360, 363
degree of, 323, 332, 333, 345, 348, 359, 363, 377, 378
definition of, 326, 370
effect on selected sampling scheme, 336
example of,
evaluation of, 359
reduced by delineation into facies units, 345
sampling of. *See* Sampling patterns in heterogeneous reach
High flow, 113, 117-118, 121, 131
Histogram, 38, 40, 239
Homogeneity of bed material, 117, 120, 122, 323, 360
degree of, 323, 332, 333, 345, 359
definition of, 326
near-homogeneity, 326, 333
truly homogeneous, 326, 360
relatively homogeneous, 332, 334, 359, 373
reach-averaged particle-size, 334
homogeneous units, 345
sampling of. *See* Sampling patterns in homogeneous reach
Horseshoe vortex scour, 127, 138-139, 142
Hybrid pipe-freeze-core sampler, 199, 213-215, 372
Hybrid sampling, 217, 351, 354, 355

I

Imbricated, imbrication 118, 121, 134, 136-137, 141-142, 144, 169, 343, 372
Imhoff cone, 204
Immobile particles and object, 127, 131, 138
Infiltration of fines, 130-132, 182, 188
Initial motion, 141, 146
Intergranular friction angle. *See* Pivot angles
Interstitial fines, 333
Interstitial flow, 131
Interstitial space index, 141
Interstitial spaces, 277
Iterations in sample-size computation, 250-251, 262, 285-286, 303. *See also* Two stage approach
ISO (1977, 1992), 290, 293, 299, 301

J

Joined sieving, 343, 361, 366, 367, 370
Judgement in selection of sampling locations, 375
Judgement sampling, 355, 375

K

Kurtosis, 42, 56-58, 79, 149
classification of, 78
comparison between methods, 82
definition of, 78
fourth moment, 79, 80
graphic arithmetic, 78
graphic geometric, 79

L

Laboratory time, 144-146, 181
Lag deposit of coarse sediment, 117-118, 120, 123-127, 130-131
Landscaping cloth, for particle drying, 32
Landslide deposits, 123
Landward fining, 108, 114, 122, 323, 326
Large woody debris. S*ee* LWD
Lateral fining, 108, 122, 334, 378, 379
Liquid nitrogen, 210, 215
Lobe front of coarse sediment, 114, 133, 330
Local hydraulics, 191
Logarithmic transformation, 41-42, 46, 54, 60, 65, 72
Log jams, 107, 124, 130
duration of, 124
sequences of, 127
Lognormal distribution, 17, 42-43, 45-47, 69, 73, 82, 242, 253, 256, 263
standard equation for, 46
Lognormality, 41, 43, 54
Longitudinal clast ribs, 134, 136
Longitudinal stream profile, 108, 117
Low flows, 113, 115, 117-119, 121, 124, 135
LWD (large woody debris), 108, 116, 123-127, 326, 327, 334,
effect on bed-material size, 123, 125
effect on flow and sediment transport, 116, 124, 127
heavy loading of, 126, 345

M

Magnetic paint, 172
Map, mapping,
of facies units, 337, 346, 348, 378
sketch map of reach, 326, 341, 348, 357
textural, 346-347, 378
using photographs, 142
Matrix of finer sediment, 136, 139
Matrix-supported gravel, 128, 225
Meander bend, 114, 325, 337, 338, 343
Meandering streams, 109-111, 113, 115, 325, 343
meadow meanders, 9
valley meanders, 9

Mean particle size, 41-42, 46, 56-57, 59, 62-65
arithmetic, 46, 59, 62, 348-350
comparision of computations, 80-81
definition of, 62
first moment, 65
geometric, 59, 64, 223
graphic arithmetic, 62, 64
graphic geometric, 63-64
in skewed distribution, 63
visual assessment of, 327
Measurement of particle size, 20, 27-30, 323
in pebble counts, 164
operator error in, 148, 164, 329
record of, 164
Measurement of particle volume, 98, 310
Median particle size, 40, 46, 59-60, 62, 74
basis for stream classification, 1, 7
definition of, 40, 62
in skewed distribution, 62
visual assessment of, 345
Mesh-bag scoop, 200-201, 210
McNeil samplers, 198-200, 203-206, 213
and percent fines, 204
comparison with other samplers, 204
comparison with shoveled samples, 200-201
diagrams, 205
dimensions, 204
representative collection of fines, 200
sample mass, 204
sampling suspended bed sediment, 203-204
truncation of coarse particles, 203
Micro-channels, sand-filled, 363
Modality, 62
Mode, 46, 62, 66, 83
definition of, 62
in Rosin distribution, 48
in skewed distribution, 62
Modified cube model, 217, 224-226
Moment method, 56-58, 60-61, 63, 82
advantage/disadvantage of, 61
effect of truncation, 61
exclusion of "pan", 80
first moment (mean), 65
fourth moment (kurtosis), 79
second moment (sorting), 71, 246
suitability, 61
third moment (skewness), 77
Monitoring bed-material size, 148, 188, 335
for detecting change in
amount of fines, 128, 132, 203, 277, 340, 371, 380, 382-383
channel bed, using photographs, 184
riffle sediment size, 343
for watershed effects analysis, 146, 343, 371, 373
using visual estimates, 185
Mountain streams, 12, 111, 114, 131, 143, 150, 158, 164, 199, 243, 258, 271, 275, 277, 326, 327, 335, 336, 360, 370,
volumetric sampling in, 319, 331, 332, 360, 363, 365
Moving window technique, 329, 348, 352
Multinomial approach (sample size), 261, 265-268, 275, 277, 284, 287
Multiprobe freeze-core sampler, 199

N

Nominal diameter, 16-17, 221, 291
Non-normal distribution, 44-45
Non-parametric statistics, 43
Non-random sample, 148, 156
Normal distribution, 17-18, 24, 28, 40, 42-48, 51-52, 57, 59-60, 62, 67, 69, 73, 78, 242, 243, 248, 253, 264, 272, 273
as prerequisite for statistical applications, 42
assumption of. *See* Underlying distribution type
binned data, 45
change of curvature, 40
comparison with best-fit normal distribution, 45
Normality, 41-43, 46, 54, 57. *See also* Normal distribution
approximate, 327
assessing the degree of, 51-52, 57
assessment by regression analysis, 45
D'Agostino test for, 54
departure from, 42-43, 54
effect on sample size, 42
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for, 54, 270
near-normality, 51, 54, 376
not in a strict statistical sense, 42
null-hypothesis of, 54-55
resemblence with, 45
summary statistics of, 51
testing by regression coefficients, 45
testing for, 43, 45
visual assessment of, 43, 52
wrongly assumed, 42
Number-based frequency. *See* Frequency-by-number
Number of volumetric samples, 331-332
effect of heterogeneity and sorting, 370, 373
for spatially integrative sampling, 359, 363, 364, 366, 368, 370, 371,
geometrical and ecological criteria, 363, 365, 370, 372
grab sample repetitions, 368
grab samples, 372, 376
in large streams, 364
in small streams, 363

individually unbiased subsamples, 376
on riffles, 372
per area, 331
per reach, 363, 364, 373
retroactive computation of, 370
subsample repetitions, 364
subsamples, 364
Null hypothesis, 51, 54, 281, 348

O

Obstacle clast, 137-138
One-step vs. Multinomial approach, 277
Operator arbitrariness, 344
Operator bias, 147, 243, 245, 259, 279, 334
and sample size, 245
against cobbles and boulders in pebble counts, 154-155, 244, 333
effect of boot size, 154
in heel-to-toe samples, 157, 161
reduction by sampling frame, 161
against small particles in pebble counts, 60, 149-153, 157, 244, 277, 283, 333
due to poor streambed accessibility, 151
in visual particle-size estimates, 185
towards cobbles and boulders, 147-148, 156
in areal samples, 172
towards fines in areal samples, 172
towards mid-size particles, 244
Operator error, 20, 28, 148-149, 162, 259, 277, 283, 304
and sample size, 259
effect of multiple operators, 259-260
in heel-to-toe samples, 152
in particle-size measurements, 148, 164, 329
in pebble counts, 148-149, 152
when using sampling frame, 162-164
Operator training, 5
Operator variability, 25, 27
in areal sampling, 176
in pebble counts, 151, 154
in visual particles-size estimates, 185
Optical particle-size analyzer, 169, 178
Oven-drying, of particles, 32
Overbank deposits, 380
Overbank flow, 135

P

Parent population, 245, 248, 250, 252, 259, 261-262, 264-265, 268, 275, 285-286, 321
computer generated, 288, 308
generated from sample, 288
surrogate for, 304
Particle area, 284
Particle availability for sampling, 243, 254-256
Particle axes, 14-16, 20, 28-29, 86, 164. *See also* *a*-axes, *b*-axes, and *c*-axes
ellipse-approximation, 86, 178-179
position of, 136
ratios, 86, 87
Particle breakdown, 107
Particle counting
number of per photograph, 178, 181
number of per size class, 36, 38
Particle density, 14, 34, 36-37, 98, 290, 301, 310, 313, 317
for different materials, 98
Particle interlocking, 118, 343, 372
Particle mass, 367
measurement of, 98
Particle mean weight and sieve size, 36-37
Particle mobility, 138, 141
Particle number
per photograph 178, 181
per size class, 36, 38
Particle packing, 94-97, 100, 103-104, 133, 136, 142, 165, 170, 182, 184, 287. *See also* Framework gravels, Matrix gravels, *and* Bed-surface structures
and compaction, 104
and effect of particle-size distribution, 104
void containing, 224
voidless, 193, 218, 221
Particle paths for coarse and fine bedload, 114
Particle position
effect on embeddedness, 190
effect on photo sieving, 179
Particle protrusion, 141
Particles
irretrievable, 150, 151, 336
large and wedged, 13, 122-123, 141, 155, 165, 333
partially hidden, 144, 169, 171-172,
effect on photo sieving, 184
on photograph, 179, 184
to be included in a sample, 148, 166
unisized, 95, 104
untransportable, 108, 117, 124, 127, 131, 138
Particle shape, 14-17, 21-25, 28, 36-37, 60, 85-91, 94, 98
analysis by photo sieving, 181
and abrasion, 83, 88, 90
and particle mobility, 90
angular, angularity, 16, 27, 85-86, 90-92, 94-97
and transport distance, 90
bladed, bladedness, 86-90, 179
categories, 91
classification, 87
compact, compactness, 36, 87-90, 179
Corey shape factor, 89, 90

diamond-shaped, 30
disc, 86-87, 89
dominant shape, 97
effect of fluvial transport distance, 88-89
effect on embeddedness, 190
effect on photographic analysis, 182, 184
effect on photo sieving, 179
effect on pivot angle, 93
effect on suspensibility, 88
ellipsoidal, 14-17, 23-25, 89-90, 95-97, 189, 284, 290-291, 310
elongated, elongatedness, 36, 86-91, 95
flat, flatness, 20-25, 90-92, 94, 136
form factor *F*, 87, 89
form-factor *S*, 88
per sieve class, 24
platy, platyness, 86-90, 95, 179
rhombic, rhomboidal, 14-16, 30
rod, 86
rounded, roundness, 88-91, 94, 97
visual chart for, 90-91
roundness and abrasion, 90
roundness index, 90
sample size for identification of, 98
shape/roundness matrix, 91
spherical, sphere 16-17, 21, 23-25, 86, 88-91, 94, 96-97, 104, 221, 284, 290, 291, 310
sphericity, 86-90. *See* Sphericity
sphericity-form diagram, 87, 97
variability at a site, 97
visual field identification, 97
Particle sieve-diameter, 17
Particle size, 14, 16-20, 24, 28, 32-33
analysis, 3, 21, 31-32
categories, 17
classes, 14, 16-28, 30, 32-34, 36-37
conversion between measurements, 28, 30
D_{max}, 289, 290, 327
dominant large, D_{dom}, 29, 289, 340, 371
fraction. *See* Size class
gradation, 18, 20
gradation curves. *See* Cumulative particle-size frequency distribution
mean, 14, 17. *See* Mean particle size
measurements of, 20, 27-30, 323
in pebble counts, 164
operator error, 148, 164, 329
median, 17. *See* Median particle size
metric, in mm, 17
per sieve class, 24
ratios, 343
$D_{50surface}/D_{50subsurface}$, 131, 191, 373, 379
$D_{50armor}/D_{50subarmor}$, 188
$D_{50surface}/D_{bedload\ fines}$, 373
$D_{50local}/D_{50reach\text{-}avg.}$, 379
Particle-size distribution, conversion between sampling methods, 216-217
computed penetration depth, 226-229
modified cube model, 224-226
split plane surface model, 227-229
voidless cube model, 218-224, 227
Particle-size frequency distribution, 17-18, 38, 40-41, 43-48, 51, 56, 61-62, 64, 67, 80, 84
advantage of plotting, 158
analysis of, 39
bimodal, 82-83
computation of, 38, 370
example of, 39
in various stream types, 1
irregular, 46
obtained by different methods of sampling and analysis, 216-217
symmetrical, 62
tail of fines, 42, 48
wide, 230
with different sorting coefficients, 69
Particle-size measurements. *See* Measurements of particle size
Particle-size parameter. *See* Distribution parameters
Particle sliding, 136
Particle specific gravity, 99
Particle specific weight, 99
Particle submerged specific weight, 100
Particle volume, 16, 34, 293
ellipsoid approximation, 178-179
estimation from particle shape, 98
measurement of, 98, 310
Particle weight, 31, 34
per size class, 36-37
weighing in the field, 34, 36, 370
Patch, patchiness, 108, 120, 126, 323, 330, 336, 345, 374, 375, 378-379
Pavement, 124, 130, 131
Peakedness. *See* Kurtosis
Pebble box, 16, 20, 28-30, 86
Pebble counts, 25, 38, 54, 123, 132, 145-165, 182, 184, 191, 230, 248, 250, 271, 276-278, 283, 323, 325, 328, 332-340, 340-345, 345-358, 378
and fines, 149-153, 157
and volumetric sample, 191
adjustment with areal sample, 237-239
along transects, 108, 333, 334, 344
at measuring tape, 147, 151, 155, 158-159, 333, 334
in meandering reach, 337-338
number of to cover the reach, 338-339, 343-345, 352, 355
paced, 332-334
parallel, 333, 334, 341

spanning facies units, 352
tightly / widely spaced, 332, 333, 336-337, 341
area needed for, 354
bias against fines, 224, 229
data record for, 164-166, 329
definition of, 144, 146
combination with areal sample. *See also* Hybrid sampling, 354-355
flexible, 233-236
rigid, 230-233
geomorphologically stratified sampling, 340-345
grid spacing. *See* Grid spacing
heel-to-toe sampling. *See* Heel-to-toe sampling
inherent bias towards coarse particles, 156
in poorly accessible stream locations, 150, 151, 283, 332, 333, 336
in presence of bed surface-structures, 156
in small cobble-bed streams, 339
in submerged beds, 150
methodological differences, 148
of particles large and wedged, 13, 122, 123, 141, 155, 165, 333
on dry beds, 150
on exposed subsurface, 197-198
on facies units, 346-347
operator bias. *See* Operator bias
operator error. *See* Operator error
particle identification, 146-150, 332, 333
based on foot placement, 154
using sampling frame, 161
particle retrieval, extraction from bed, 122-123, 133, 149-150, 161, 164, 333, 334, 336
error in 100- and 400-particle pebble counts, 149, 152, 157, 250, 266, 271, 276-277, 327-328, 334, 338, 347, 352. *See also* Error (number-based computations)
sample size, 250. *See also* Sample size (number-based) *and* Sample size - error relation
sampling path, 151, 332
avoiding cobbles and boulders, 155
obstructed, 333
systematic, 333-336
unplanned, 333-334
sampling point spacing, 142, 145-148, 156, 159, 165, 168, 198, 327, 335, 338-339, 355. *See also* Grid spacing
sedimentary stratified sampling (within facies units), 345-358
sources of errors, 148
spatial aspects of, 327
spatially integrated sampling, 332-340
spatially segregated sampling, 340-358
statistical error 148-149, 152, 157, 162-164, 259, 271, 283
around small percentiles, 278
in heel-to-toe samples, 152
when using the sampling frame 162-164
subsamples, 341
time requirement, 145
unbiased, 157
within representative area of facies unit, 355
zigzag sampling, 278, 325, 332, 329, 333-336
Pebbles, 28, 32-33, 36
Penetration depth of adhesive. *See* Adhesive penetration depth
Percent error, 242. *See* Error around mean, median *and* percentiles.
Percentile error. *See* Error around percentiles
Percent finer, 38, 47, 74
Percent fines, 82-83, 108, 146, 148-149, 153, 278-279, 327
and error, 283
comparability of, 204
in McNeil samplers, 204
sample size for determining, 277-283
variability in pebble counts, 151, 153
Percentage frequency distribution, 38
Percentiles, 39-41, 48, 57-58, 62-63, 66-67
analytical computation of, 310-311
at points of curvature, 59
at tails of the distribution, 59
central, 61, 261
computation of, 40
example computation, 41
graphically determined, 39, 41
linear interpolation, 41
of normal distribution, 247
quartiles, 40, 60, 63, 76, 78
usage for, 146
Photographic analysis
areal overviews > 100 m^2, 166, 182-184
close-ups < 0.1 m^2, 182, 184
for small sampling areas, 146, 351
intermediate scale > 1 m^2. *See* Photographic grid counts *and* Photo sieving
under water, 181, 184
variety of spatial scales, 167, 184
Photographic areal sampling, definition of, 178. *See* Photo sieving
Photographic grid counts, 166-170, 178
bias against fines, 170
deviation from sieve results, 169
problems of, 169-170
projection onto a screen with grid lines, 168
suitability of, 170
Photographs, 144-146, 167-168, 170, 178, 181-182, 184

for mapping bed surfaces, 142
of imbricated surfaces, 142
Photo sieving, 86, 171, 178-181, 184, 351, 354, 380
calibration, 181
comparison with mechanical sieving, 179, 181
field time vs. lab time, 181
flow chart for analysis, 179-180
for particle-shape analysis, 181
using divers, 181
Pilot study, 241, 246, 251, 252, 274, 288, 308, 325, 326, 360, 363, 365, 367, 373
Pipe dredge, 383. *See also* Dredge
Pipe samplers, 195, 203, 331, 367. *See also* McNeil samplers, 203
Pivot angle, 93-97, 119
Plane-bed stream, 6, 8, 117, 122, 275, 325, 326, 334, 374
Planimetric particle-size measurements and analysis, 166, 169. *See also* Photo sieving
Plotting particle-size distribution
advantage of, 158
Plywood shield, 210, 365, 367
to enclose the sampling area, 200, 209,
Pocket gravel, 141
Point bar, 111. *See also* Bar
Pool, pools, 107-109, 111, 113, 116-123, 325, 326, 334, 372
backwater pool, 126
bed-material size in, 113, 326
caused by LWD, 116
dammed pool, 124
deposition of fines in, 119, 373, 380, 382-383
forced pool, 326, 334
location of, 111
plunge pools, 124, 127, 130
residual pool volume, 382
scour in, 117
scour pool, 124, 126-127, 130
various kinds of, 116
Pool-exit-slope, 117
Pool-riffle-bar triplet, 109, 116
Pool-riffle stream, 6, 8, 111, 120-122. *See also* Riffle-pool
Population size
and sample size, 254-255, 270
limited, 242-243, 254-257, 269-270
unlimited, 255
Pores, 100, 131
Porosity, 104, 105, 106, 224
Polymodal 62, 83
Precision, 241-245, 247-248, 250, 261, 268, 275-276, 284, 288, 323, 327, 328, 336, 338, 359, 360, 364, 368, 372, 376. *See also* Error
and accuracy, 245
definition of, 244-245
for all percentiles, 284, 287
for set of subsamples, 286, 288, 304
mean, for given sample size, 286-287
stringent criteria, 328
unduly high, 364
Probability, 43-44
Probability graph paper, 41, 43, 50, 57. *See* Appendix
Probability plotting, 41
and regression analysis, 51
approximation of probability scale, 43
of residuals, 51
visual assessment, 43-44
Proportional sampling, 343-344

Q

Quantiles, 55
Quartiles, 40, 63, 76, 78

R

Random, randomness of
particle selection, 146
sample, 146, 151, 155-156
sampling locations, 324, 333, 360
within grid cells, 324, 360-363, 366, 372, 374
Randomization of locations for volumetric samples, 324, 361, 362, 363
Randomized grid patterns, 331
Rapids. *See* Riffles
Reach, 107-108, 113-114, 116-117, 120-121, 127, 141-142. *See also* Sampling area
definition of, 325
delineation of. *See* Delineation
familiarization with, 326, 345, 346, 348
large, 351, 355, 374
length of, 325-326, 335,343-345
long, 323, 343-344, 355
size of, 338, 365
small, 332, 338-339, 340-341, 347, 374
Reach-averaged bed-material size, 114, 323, 325, 332, 334, 336, 338, 340, 342, 343, 348, 354, 356, 357-358, 359, 364, 366, 370, 371, 373, 376, 378
area-weighted, 347, 348, 351, 354, 356-358, 377-379
of subsurface sediment size, 364, 373, 377-379
Reach-spanning grid system, 351-353, 362-363, 374
Recording field results, 240. *See also* Field book, –computations, –forms, *and* –notes
Regression analysis, 55, 305-306, 364
Replicate sampling, 307-310, 363, 368
Resampling procedure. *See* Replicate sampling
Residuals, 43, 51-54

Resin cores, 213
Rigid combination, 230-233
Riffle crest, 108-109, 113, 117, 325, 326, 382
Riffle-pool sequence, 9, 108-109, 111, 113, 118-120, 122, 128, 323, 325, 338, 343, 344, 379
Riffle-pool morphology, 120-122, 325, 374
Riffle-pool stream, 275, 378
Riffles, 9, 107-109, 113, 116-121, 371
  as sampling locations, 120, 342, 343, 371-372
  bed-material size on, 114, 121, 326
  coarser or finer than reach, 342, 371-372
  flow hydraulics and cross-section, 342
  glide, 117, 326, 343
  location of, 111
  pebble counts on, 342-343
  rapids, 9, 116-117, 326, 334, 343
  related features, 116
  response to sediment supply, 340
  runs, 116-117, 120, 122, 326, 334, 343
  structural stability on, 118, 121, 343, 373
  unrepresentativeness for the reach, 343, 372
  volumetric sampling on, 371-372
Riffle spacing, 118
Riffle splitter, 32, 35. *See also* Sample splitting
Riffle Stability Index, 340
Riparian areas
  damage to, 203
Riprap, 94
Rockfall, 97, 127, 131, 371
Rosin distribution, 48-51
Rotary scoop sampler US RBMH-80, 202-203
Round-hole sieves, 168
Roughness
  form, 127
  hydraulic, 133, 142
  of bed, 135, 146, 289
Ruler, 16, 20, 25, 27-28, 30, 33, 36, 86, 164-166, 168-169, 178, 184, 329
Runs. *See* Riffles

S

Sample, 25, 27, 30-31
  coarse portion, 32
  computer generated, 288
  large, 32
  largest particle to be included, 123, 127
  partitioning into gravel and sand fraction, 51
  representative, 74
  unsieved portion, 33
  with coarse particles, 34
Sample combination
  adjustment of frequency distributions, 230, 237-238
  flexible, 230, 233-236
  rigid, 230-233
Sample conversion
  computed penetration depth, 226-229
  split plane surface model, 227-229
  modified cube model, 224-226
  voidless cube model, 217-224, 227
Sample mass (volumetric samples), 32-33, 191, 195-197, 200, 213, 363, 368, 371
  effect of large particles, 291, 298
  effect of sampling scheme on, 359, 360
  for grab samples, 366-368, 373
  for identifying particle shapes, 97
  for measuring embeddedness, 141
  for riffle samples, 372
  for subsamples, 363, 365, 373
    for bias avoidance in, 304, 365, 368-370, 372, 373, 376
    grab samples, 366-368
    reduction of, 366, 376
  for total sample, 199, 331, 332, 359, 363, 365, 366, 368, 369-370, 373, 376
    comparison between computations, 369
    ecological criteria, 363, 365, 370, 372
    for homogeneous reach, 360
    in poorly sorted, coarse stream, 360, 365
    large, unfeasible, 359, 370, 372
    manageability of, 370, 376,
    reduction of, 299-301, 365, 366, 370, 373
    sedimentary stratified vs. integrated sampling, 375-377
  from barrel samples, 206, 208
  from freeze core, 211
  from hybrid sampler, 215
  from McNeil samplers, 204
  from plywood shield, 210
  from tri-tube samples, 212
  in coarse, poorly sorted mountain stream, 319, 331, 360, 365
Sample mass equations
  analytical, 365
    based on normal distribution, 308-320
    for specified percentile error, 315-320
  empirical, 288, 289-298, 299, 365
    0.1, 1, 5, and 10% criteria (constant CV), 293-294, 315, 320, 360, 365, 366
    American, 296
    as function of D_{max}, 196, 289-299
    based on error due to largest particle, 291
    based on sample volume, 294-295
    Canadian, 297
    field criterion (5 D_{max} particles), 295
    for low, normal, and high precision, 293
    German (DVWK), 297
    Swiss, 297
Sample mass - error relation, 288, 301, 311, 316, 331, 360. *See also* Error curves, 376

and assumed distribution type, 288-289, 293, 304, 309
and standard deviation, 316-320, 372
and study objective, 372
bootstrap approach, 315-320
for bias avoidance, 311-314, 331, 369, 370, 372-373, 376
for error around mean, 309
for error around median, 309, 376
for percentile error, 372
absolute, 305-309, 318-320, 320-322, 365
central percentiles, 301-304
estimation from regression function, 304-306
percent, 305, 307, 320-322
standard error, 315-320, 365
Sample depth in volumetric samples, 192-194, 197
thickness of strata, 132
Sample size (for areal samples), 242, 283
geometrical consideration, 284
multinomial approach, 287
two stage sampling, 285-287,
Sample size (number based), 241-243, 245, 247-249, 253, 255-256, 271, 275-276, 278, 282, 347
and confidence levels, 248
and cost and benefits of field work, 241
and error. *See* Error (number-based computation)
computation in the field, 260, 263
computation of, 249, 283
effect of limited population size, 243, 254-256
effect of multiple operators, 259
effect of preset acceptable error, 259
effect of sorting, 258
effects of bed-material characteristics, 241, 258-259
effects of spatial variability, 328
estimating from pilot study, 326
factors affecting, 242
for characterizing a population, 275
for detecting change in percent fines, 278-283
for low percentiles, 277, 328
geometric approximation, 287
in skewed distributions, 276
of subsamples, 262
Sample-size computation, iteratively, 250-251, 262, 285-286, 303
Sample-size equations (number-based), 245, 261, 272, 276
comparison of, 256-257
for areal samples, 283-284
for error around mean, 249-258
absolute 249-252
limited population size, 254-256
percent, 252-254
for error around median, 261-263, 272, 285. *See also* Two-stage approach
for error around percentiles, 261, 264-275, 284. *See also* Binomial, Multinomial, *and* Bootstrap approach
absolute, 271
central percentiles, 261-263,
percent, 264-268
not applicable for heterogeneous reach, 341
Sample size – error relation, 242-243, 247, 250, 256, 258, 269, 275, 327, 341
and assumed underlying distribution type, 242-243, 249, 264-265, 268, 271-274
and sorting (standard deviation), 276
around any percentile, 285
around mean and median, 276
binomial approach, 265-266
computation in the field, 260
for heterogenous reaches, 341
from bootstrap approach, 270, 274
Sample-size statistics, 243, 277. *See also* Error *and* Precision
Sample splitting, 31-35
in the field, 33, 370
Sample storage 31
Sample volume, 32-33, 195-197
fixed and small, 199
manageable, 323
relative, 310-320
Sampling area, 347, 348. *See also* Reach
disturbance by sampling, 158, 299, 323, 331, 365
for areal samples, 197, 283-286
for pebble counts, 145, 328
for volumetric samples, 195, 198
large, 355, 363, 364. *See also* Streams, large
small, 242, 249, 323, 332, 338, 342, 354
Sampling bed material, 141
challenge of, 3
coarsest clasts only, 371
finest only, in pools, 372, 380, 382-383
in entire reach, 150
in fast and deep flow, 13
in gravel- and cobble-bed streams, 2, 4
in heterogeneous reach. *See* Sampling patterns
in homogeneous reach. *See* Sampling patterns
in mountain streams, 4, 370. *See* Mountain streams
in plane-bed streams, 122
in presence of bed-surface structures, 133, 142
in presence of structural features, 119
in reaches with much LWD, 126, 127, 345
in step-pool streams, 123
in turbid water, 13
in various stream types, 2

in vertically stratified sediment, 132
in vicinity of boulders, 127
locations of. *See* Sampling locations
methodological differences, 4
non-destructive, 143, 170, 171, 184
on bar heads, 371, 380-381
on bars, 114
on riffles only, 120, 342, 372
purpose of, 2
to demonstrate downstream fining, 108
with no replications, 304
with replications, 307-310, 363, 368
Sampling bias, 243, 245
Sampling effort, 336, 341, 359, 360, 364, 370
Sampling equipment and procedures
and coarseness of the bed, 331
combination of, 351, 354. *See also* Hybrid sampling
effect of natural and man-made factors, 143
for volumetric samples, 195, 198-216, 367
Sampling errors. *See* Error(s) *and* Precision
Sampling frame, 153, 158-166, 198, 259, 276, 283
comparison with heel-to-toe samples, 161
construction of, 158
operator error, 162
reduction of operator error, 161, 163-164
usage of, 159
Sampling grid. *See* Grid sampling
Sampling locations, 108, 114, 331, 366, 368. *See also* Sedimentary *and* Geomorphological units, *and* Pebble counts
accessibility, 332
at locations representative of reach-avg. D_{50} or $D_{50\ sub}$
at representative locations within each or almost every facies unit, 351, 355, 374, 375
effect of heterogeneity and sorting, 370
extending over bankfull width, 338
for stream competence analysis, 380
for reach-avg. subsurface sediment and D_{50sub}, 374, 377, 379
inaccessible, 13, 151, 336, 283
indicative of fine sediment, 380
in high- or low-flow bed, 120, 151, 166, 338. *See also* Stream width
number of, 331-332. *See* Number of volumetric samples
patterns of. *See* Sampling patterns
representative selection of, 2, 355, 359, 374, 375, 377-379
retroactive computation of, 370
where local $D_{50} \cong$ reach-averaged D_{50}, 374
within grid cells, 324, 362, 363, 372, 374
Sampling method
selection of, 3, 4, 145
"standard", 4
suitability, 145
Sampling patterns. *See also* Sampling locations
in heterogeneous reach, 242-243, 245, 304, 323, 325-326, 328, 332-333, 336, 340-341, 347, 373, 377. *See also* Sedimentary segregated *and* Geomorphologically segregated sampling
in homogeneous reach, 241, 254, 261, 275, 284, 304, 323, 325-326, 328, 330, 332-334, 341, 344-345, 359, 360, 373. *See also* Sedimentary integrated *and* Geomorphologically integrated sampling
overlapping, shifted grids, 324, 336, 341-342, 360, 363
random, 324, 333, 360-361
randomization of, 331, 362
random locations within cells, 324, 360-363, 366, 372, 374
spatially focused sampling. *See* Spatially focused sampling
systematic grid, 324, 333, 334, 336, 338, 341, 348-349, 351, 366, 372, 374
facies-spanning, 351, 353
pebble count combined with areal sampling (hybrid sampling), 351, 354, 355
reach-spanning, 351, 352, 360, 362-363, 374
Sampling patterns for pebble counts. *See* pebble counts
Sampling pit, 189, 191, 195, 197-198, 208
Sampling point spacing. *See* Pebble counts *and* Grid spacing
Sampling protocol, 151
Sampling reach. *See* Reach
Sampling results,
comparability of, 145
effects of sampling schemes, 323
Sampling schemes, 323, 324, 335, 345, 374. *See also* Sampling patterns
and degree of spatial variability, 333, 360
and sample mass, effort and precision, 359, 360
based on surface facies, 331
errors in, 148
for subsurface sediment, 331
for volumetric samples, 331
geomorphologically stratified (segregated)
pebble counts, 340-345
volumetric samples, 371-373
overview, 324
sedimentary integrated, 333, 336, 345
sedimentary stratified (segregated), 373-379
pebble counts, 336, 345-358

sampling patterns for, 351-355, 374-377. *See* Sampling patterns
volumetric samples, 373-379
selection of, 3, 332, 333, 336, 358-359
spatially focused, 323, 379
spatially integrated, 323, 324, 331, 336, 358-371
pebble counts, 332-340
volumetric samples, 358-371
spatially segregated, 245, 323-325, 331, 336-338, 371-379
pebble counts, 340-358
volumetric samples, 358-359, 371-379
unsuitable, 333
Sampling suspendable bed material, 203-204, 208
Sand, 17-21, 24, 30-33, 36, 132, 134, 336, 362, 375
Sand-bed streams, 1, 2
Scour, 107, 116-118, 121, 123-124, 126-128, 130, 141, 326
around boulders or LWD, 127
around bridge piers, 138
in horse-shoe vortex, 127, 138-139, 124
in pools, 117, 124
of fines, 124, 128, 130
on riffle, 118-119
Sedimentary stratified (segregated) sampling. *See* Sampling schemes
Sedimentary (facies) units, 126, 145, 185-186, 303, 323, 324, 326, 328-330, 332, 345, 348, 352, 359, 371, 372, 374
definition of, 330, 345
delineation of. *See* Delineation
familiarization with, 348
few per reach, 374, 378
identification of, 127
large, 355-356, 373, 375, 378
many small ones, 359
map of, 346, 347, 378
multiple occurrences of, 374-375
particle-size distribution on, 378
representative sampling of, 332
size of, 331, 345, 347, 559
small, and fine sediment, 347, 360-361
sorting on, 355, 373
visually distinguishable, 378
Sediment entrainment
delay of, 118
Sediment strata (layers), 132, 189, 329
Sediment stratigraphy, 211
Sediment supply, 107, 112, 116, 122, 128, 131
and transport capacity, 119
cut off from, 124, 130
effect on bed-material size, 114
from debris flow, 12, 97
from fluvial sources only, 12
from non-fluvial sources, 12, 108
from rockfall, 97, 127
from rock source, 51
from tributary, 107
high, 133, 135, 188, 336, 373
of coarse sediment, 135
of sand and silt, 119
low, 111, 114, 124, 136, 336, 355, 379
of fine sediment, 151, 191, 372, 386
of non-transportable large clasts, 108, 289
Sediment transport analysis, 188, 373
Sediment volume, 106
Serial correlation, 123, 142, 147-148, 156, 166, 168, 327, 335, 338, 341
Settling velocity
effect of particle shape, 89
Shear stress, 117
excess, 124
reversal of, 118
zones of highest, 113
Shovels, 195, 198-199, 204
Shovel samples
comparison with McNeil sampler, 200-201
on dry beds, 195
under water, 199
Sieve, 14, 16-17, 20-25, 27, 30-31, 33
analysis. *See* Sieving.
box, 33-34
class, 16-17, 21, 24-25, 28, 33-34, 36
curve. *See* Cumulative particle-size frequency distribution curve
diameter, 17, 25, 33
round-hole sieve, 20, 23-25, 28
set, 16, 25, 32-33
size, passing, 17, 23-25, 27, 31, 49
size, retaining, 17, 23-24, 36, 37, 49
square-hole sieve, 20-25, 28, 30, 37
Sieving, 20-27, 30-35
contents of the pan, 43
in the field, 32-34
in the lab, 30
manually, 30
ROTAP, 30
subsamples, 30-33
jointly, 343, 361, 366, 367, 370
Significance level, 54-55
Silt, 18, 132
Single-thread streams, 122
Single-tube freeze-cores, 199, 213
Single-tube freeze-core sampler, 210-211
Sinuosity, 7
Sketch map of reach, 326, 341, 348
Skewness, 38, 41-42, 56-58, 61, 75, 149
and departure from normality, 73
and sample size, 263, 272-275

classification of, 75
comparison between methods, 82
computation of, 74
definition of, 73
graphic arithmetic, 74, 78
graphic geometric (Fredle index), 76
in mountain gravel-bed rivers, 73
in Rosin distribution, 48
moment method (third moment), 77-78
negative, 73-74
numerical values of, 75
positive, 73-74
quartile, 76
range of percentiles used, 74-75
sensitivity of data range, 74
towards tail of fines, 128, 270-272, 276-277, 307, 320-322, 327, 328
Sorting, 38, 41-42, 46-47, 56-58, 61, 73-74, 96, 149, 157, 162, 185, 242-243, 246, 257-260, 271, 310, 333, 364, 373
and standard deviation, 67
chart for visual estimation, 67-68
classification of, 68
coefficient. *See* Sorting
comparison between methods, 80, 82
for Rosin distribution, 49
gradation coefficient, 70-71
graphic arithmetic, 67, 73
graphic geometric, 69-72
in skewed distributions, 67
longitudinal, 123, 136
moderately-well sorted gravel bed, 149
moment method (second moment), 71-73
number of percentiles used, 67
of different facies, 126
poorly sorted gravel, 147, 149, 214, 244-245, 250, 253, 258, 276, 291, 311, 314, 315, 327, 333, 334, 346, 365, 370
values in gravel-bed rivers, 68
well sorted sediment, 134, 188, 241, 252, 332, 365
Spacing between sampling points or grid points. *See* Pebble counts *and* Grid spacing
Spatially focused sampling, 323, 377, 379
in pools, 372, 380, 382-383
on a few (representative) locations only, 375, 377
on bar heads, 371, 380-381
Spatial sampling schemes. *See* Sampling schemes
Spatial scale of sampling project, 323, 325
Spatial variability of bed-material size, 114, 171, 326, 329, 332-334, 340, 341
and LWD, 126
and sampling patterns, 332
complex, 332
covered by sampling, 332, 367
degree of, 326, 330, 333, 345, 359, 363
downbar fining, 108, 114, 323, 326
downstream fining, 107
ignored, 340
inferences of, 330, 359
insight in, 340
landward fining, 108, 114, 122, 323, 326
lateral, 108, 122, 334, 378, 379
longitudinal, 334, 378, 379
moderate, 332, 344
no information on, 334, 370
none, 326, 345
of fines, 60
of subsurface sediment, 330-331, 372
on bars. *See* Downbar *and* Landward fining, *and* Bar heads
on geomorphological unit, 107, 114-115, 120
on riffles, 108, 120, 342, 372
patchy, patchiness, 108, 120, 126, 323, 330, 336, 345, 374, 375, 378-379
patterns of, 107
pronounced, 336
within reach, 108, 120, 363
within sedimentary (facies) units, 331
Spawning
gravel, 178, 198, 203. *See also* Aquatic habitat
success and fines, 82
Sphericity, 86-90
and fluvial transport distance, 88-90
effective settling, 89-90
effect of geological parent material, 88-89
effect of sediment source, 97
effect on suspensibility, 90
effect on transportability, 88-90, 92
sphericity-form diagram, 87, 97
Split plane surface model, 227-229
Splitting apparatus, 34. *See also* Sample splitting
Spreadsheet, 19, 31, 38, 41, 43-44, 57, 61, 260, 261, 263
Square-hole sieves, 164, 168, 179
Standard descriptive statistical parameters, 42
Standard deviation, 38, 40-41, 46, 55-56, 59, 66-67, 69, 72-73, 80, 82, 242-243, 245-246, 248, 252, 257, 259-261, 268, 270-271, 285-286, 327
between or of subsamples, 262, 263, 302, 364
comparison between original and log-transformed data, 72
computation of, 66
definition of, 66
graphic geometric, 253
logarithmic geometric, 250, 251
of population, 245
Standard error, 242, 247, 268-275, 316. *See also* Error around percentiles

Standing waves, 134
Statistical bias, 243, 245
Statistical error. *See* Pebble counts
Step-pool stream, 6, 8, 9, 123-124, 325, 326
Stilling well. *See also* Plywood shield
  to enclose sampling area, 200
  to prevent loss of fines in shoveled samples, 199
Stone cells, 136
Stoss deposit, 137-138
Straight streams, 108-110, 113, 115
Streambed
  disturbance by sampling, 158, 299, 323, 331, 365, 376
  heterogeneity. *See* Heterogeneity of bed material
  homogeneity. *See* Homogeneity of bed material
  monitoring. *See* Monitoring
Stream blockage, 124, 126. *See also* log jams
  effect on stream morphology, 124
Stream classifications, 6
  applicability of, 9
  based on median particle size, 1, 7
  difference between Rosgen and Montgomery-Buffington, 9
  educational aspect of, 6
  Montgomery and Buffington (1993, 1977, 1998), 6-8, 11
  Rosgen (1994, 1996), 1, 6-7, 9-11
Stream competence, 107, 118, 130, 141, 380-381
Stream gradient, 1, 7, 107, 112, 116-118, 122
  local, 117, 343
  steep, 1, 133-134, 343
  in Montgomery-Buffington and Rosgen classification, 11
Stream morphology, 1, 6, 9, 108, 116, 122-124, 323, 325, 338
  and spatial variability of bed-material size, 114
  around boulders and LWD, 116, 124
  of riffles, pools, and bars
  studies, 146
  units, 108, 116
Stream morphometry, 7, 9
Streams
  aggrading, 120, 122, 191, 229, 340, 345, 373, 378
  degrading, 120, 122, 124, 340, 373
  large, 326, 355, 364, 370, 375
Stream type, 325, 341
  anastomosing, 9
  A-type streams, 4, 9, 122, 123, 325, 326
  boulder-bed, 1
  B-type streams, 4, 9, 122, 325, 326, 333, 334, 374
  braided (D-type), 9, 109-110, 112-115, 131, 375
  cascades, 9, 116-117
  classification by Montgomery and Buffington, (1993, 1997, 1998), 6-8, 11, 325
  classification by Rosgen (1994, 1996), 1, 6-7, 9-11, 325
  C-type streams, 4, 9, 111, 120-122, 325, 326, 333, 345, 374
  common in Pacific Northwest, 9
  coupled stream, 12
  distinction by sediment source, 12
  gravel- and cobble-bed, 1, 2
  gullies, 9
  meandering, 109-111, 113, 115
  mountain streams, 12. *See* Mountain streams
  plane-bed, 6, 8, 117, 122, 275, 325, 326, 334
  pool-riffle, 6, 8, 111, 120-122. *See also* Riffle-pool
  relict/non-fluvial, 12
  sand-bed, 1, 2
  self-formed, 12
  single-thread streams, 112
  step-pool, 6, 8, 9, 123-124
  straight, 108-110, 113, 115
  uncoupled stream, 12
  wadable and unwadable, 13
Stream width, 117-118, 151, 161, 185, 325, 336, 338
Student's *t*, 247-248, 251, 257-258, 286, 302, 350
Study methods, 2, 4
  selection of, 3-4
Study objective, 2-4, 120, 150, 371, 372, 374
Subarmor sediment, 188, 199, 201, 330, 359, 373. *See also* Subsurface sediment
  definition of, 191
  diagram, 143
  sample depth, 192
  sampling of, 191, 210
Subsamples, 261, 286
  area for, 348
  area represented by, 361
  combination of several, 199
  difference between, 363
  grab samples. *See* Grab samples
  joint analysis of, 361, 366
  for armor layer sampling, 330
  for sieving, 30-33
  mass for bias avoidance, 304, 365, 368, 369, 370, 372, 373, 376
  reduction of mass, 366, 376
  number of, 248, 261-263, 284-285, 288, 301-305, 364, 370, 372, 373, 376
Subsurface particles, hand-picking, 197

Subsurface sediment, 128, 130-131, 188, 199, 359, 364, 371-373, 383
and bedload particle size, 373
definition of, 191
diagram, 143
example particle-size distribution, 39
in facies units, 331, 379
fining of, 128, 130
reach-averaged D_{50} size, 364, 373, 377-379
relation to surface sediment size, 330, 359
sample depth, 192
sampling of, 191, 377-379
size, controlled by, 191
Summary statistics, in normal distribution, 51
Surface coarsening, 128, 130-131, 191
Surface fines, 277
sampling of, 278
veneer of, 191
Surface fining, 132
Surface particles, 128-129
distinction from subsurface particles, 172
marking with spray paint, 172
problem of identification, 144
removal of, 197
Surface sampling. *See also* Pebble counts *and* Areal sampling
definition of, 144
differences between methods, 145
in small sampling areas, 146
Surface sediment, 188, 199, 330
definition of, 144
diagram, 143
relation to subsurface sediment size, 330, 359
removal of, 191
Surveying sedimentary units, 346, 359, 378
Suspended sediment concentration, 132
Systematic sampling along measuring tape, 147, 151, 155, 158-159

T

Tape recorder, voice activated, 164
Tarps, 32-34
Template, for gravel measurements, 16, 20, 25-28, 30, 32-33, 148, 161, 164-165, 328
Ternary (triaxial diagram), 186-187, 347
Textural map, 346-348, 378
Thalweg, 108, 113-114, 120-121
stream length, 335-336
Thin-section analysis
for areal resin samples, 173, 176
for resin cores, 213
Total error (statistical and operator), 152, 162, 271, 277
Traction carpets, 136-137
Transportability, 90
Transport capacity, 107, 113, 119, 122, 131
Transport competence, 107, 118, 130, 141, 380-381
Transport controlled (limited), 51, 340, 343, 373, 383
Transport distance, 16, 24, 51, 88-89
Transverse clast dams, 133-134
Transverse ribs, 117, 134-135, 327, 362
Triaxial (triangular) diagram (ternary), 186-187, 347
Tri-tube freeze-core sampler, 212-213
Truncation of sample, 61
and comparison of samples, 61
and surface fines, 82
at coarse end, 60, 82, 204, 366-367, 373
and readjustment, 299-300
at fine end, 60, 80, 153
effect on distribution curve, 60
effect on Fredle index, 77
effect on moment method, 61
effect on second moment, 80
effects on sorting, 46
effects on summary statistics, 61
of distribution tails, 45
Turbulence, 118
Two-stage sampling approach, 149, 171, 261, 263, 275, 284-286, 288, 301-307, 309, 328, 331, 341, 360, 361, 363, 367-368, 372
and ϕ-units, 263, 287, 301
computerized, 304-307
for heterogeneous reaches, 336
with resampling, 307, 309

U

Underlying distribution type, 242-243, 271-274
assumption of (normal distribution), 45, 249, 264-265, 288-289, 309, 311, 318, 328, 360, 365, 368, 369, 373, 376
no assumption, 264-265, 268, 288, 293, 304, 360, 365, 368
Underwater storage box for shoveled samples, 199
Unimodal, 62, 83-84, 243
Unstratified bulk sediment, 188, 336, 359, 371-373
Untransportable objects or clasts, 117, 127, 131, 289

V

*V**, 380, 382-383
Vehicle access, 32-33
Velocity reversal, 118
Visual chart for
degree of sorting, 67-68
particle roundness, 90

particle size-categories (ternary), 93
shape/roundness matrix, 91
Visual delineation of sedimentary (facies) units, 185, 329, 345-348
Visual estimates
of bed-surface parameters, 327
of particle sizes, 142, 184-185, 345-348
Visual field identification of particle shape, 97
Visual image of bed stratigraphy, 213
Visualization of sampling process, 240
Voidless cube model, 218-224, 227
Void ratio, 100, 105-106
Voids, 128, 132, 227, 287
filled with fines, 130
neglecting presence of, 227
Void volume, 106
Volume-by-number, 219
Volume-by-weight, 171, 197, 217, 219, 221, 223-230
Volumetric samples, 132, 323, 325, 329, 359
areal extent of, 365
at random locations within systematic grid cells, 360
at several overlaying grid systems, 360
at systematic grid points, 360
definition of, 188
depth of, 192-194, 197
grab samples, 366
in dry beds, 195
of subsurface, 277
Volumetric sampling, 288-320, 325, 329, 380
armor layer, 330. *See* Armor layer
equipment and procedures, 195, 198-216, 367
geometrical and ecological criteria, 363
geomorphologically stratified, 371-373
in homogeneous reaches, 359-360
in large streams, 364
in mountain streams, 370
number of samples or sampling points, 359, 363, 364, 366, 368, 370-373, 376
reduction of, 366
retroactive computation of, 370
number of subsample replications, 363, 372
on riffles, 372
problems of, 199
sample mass. *See* sample mass
sedimentary stratified, 373-379
spatial aspects of, 329
spatially integrated, 358-371
spatially segregated, 371-379
under water, 198-199, 202, 207

W

Wading, wadable, wadability, 13, 25, 146, 147, 151, 181, 202, 203, 207, 215, 332, 333, 334
Wake deposit, 137-139, 142, 156, 340, 380
Weight-based frequency. *See* Frequency-by-weight
Weight per size class, 38
Wentworth scale, 17-18
Wet-sieving, 173, 177
Width-depth ratio, 7

Z

Zigzag paths for pebble counts. *See* Pebble counts

Federal Recycling Program Printed on Recycled Paper

RMRS
ROCKY MOUNTAIN RESEARCH STATION

The Rocky Mountain Research Station develops scientific information and technology to improve management, protection, and use of the forests and rangelands. Research is designed to meet the needs of National Forest managers, Federal and State agencies, public and private organizations, academic institutions, industry, and individuals.

Studies accelerate solutions to problems involving ecosystems, range, forests, water, recreation, fire, resource inventory, land reclamation, community sustainability, forest engineering technology, multiple use economics, wildlife and fish habitat, and forest insects and diseases. Studies are conducted cooperatively, and applications may be found worldwide.

Research Locations

Flagstaff, Arizona
Fort Collins, Colorado*
Boise, Idaho
Moscow, Idaho
Bozeman, Montana
Missoula, Montana
Lincoln, Nebraska
Reno, Nevada
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Rapid City, South Dakota
Logan, Utah
Ogden, Utah
Provo, Utah
Laramie, Wyoming

*Station Headquarters, Natural Resources Research Center,
2150 Centre Avenue, Building A, Fort Collins, CO 80526

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.