From:	Cam Johnson
To:	comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us
Subject:	Chattooga Ban
Date:	08/07/2008 02:48 PM

U.S. Forest Service
Chattooga River Project
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212.
comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us

August 7, 2008

RE: Chattooga River Project Comments

Dear Sumter National Forest,

I am a resident of Boise, Idaho and have a cabin on the South Fork of the Payette River in Idaho. I am an avid rafter having completed 15 overnight rafting trips and dozens of day trips in the last 10 years. Our nation's rivers and the ability of our citizens to enjoy them in sustainable ways is a core value to me.
I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment regarding the recreational management of the Chattooga River. I disagree with your analysis and your proposal. Both treat me and my community of river enthusiasts unfairly and your proposal would not meet my interests. Please consider the following concerns I have regarding this issue:

- The USFS has spent thirteen years searching for a reason to limit paddling on the Chattooga and has found *none*. It is time to open the river to boating.
- The EA is not a user capacity analysis and does not reference one. The AW appeal decision required a user capacity analysis. Where is it?
- No alternative is acceptable because they all include boating bans on the upper Chattooga Cliffs reach and on tributaries – without any justification.
- The EA and preferred alternative are not equitable or protective of the river because they considers boating to be the only management variable, while other larger more impactful uses are not seriously considered for limits.
- The USFS preferred alternative includes a total ban on 2/3 of the upper river, a ban on tributary boating, and allows only 0-6 days of limited boating on the remaining reach – while allowing all other wilderness conforming existing uses in unlimited numbers.. This is not equitable and not acceptable!
- The EA offers no basis for the boating bans and limits

- The USFS hired qualified consultants and then ignored their input
- The 450 CFS average daily flow trigger in the preferred alternative is a flawed measure that should be eliminated from any considerations. There is no way a paddler can know this number and will be an administrative burden for the agency.
- Paddlers prefer an alternative similar to Alternative 8 that 1) fully allows boating on the entire Chattooga River below Grimshawes Bridge, 2) allows paddling on tributaries, 3) includes encounter standards based on a real user capacity analysis, 4) will *equitably* limit *total* use only when encounter standards are consistently exceeded, and 5) will do so using all available indirect measures first.
- The public should have the right to float on public Wild and Scenic Rivers regardless of who owns the land along the river.
- All aspects of the "Outstanding Remarkable Values" of Wild and Scenic Rivers should be protected on the entire river, not just in some areas.
- The Chattooga Ban sets a dangerous precedent for boating bans and limits that have no basis, and are arbitrary.,

Thank you for considering these comments. Please consider conducting a real user capacity analysis and immediately allowing boating in the same numbers, places, and seasons that you allow existing users. Paddling should be allowed in a similar manner to your alternative number 8, except on the *entire* Upper Chattooga River and its tributaries.

Thank you for considering these comments,

Sincerely,

Cam Johnson
Windermere Real Estate/Capital Group, Inc.
501 Front Street
Boise, ID 83702
208-381-8000 Office
208-283-3664 Cell
208-381-8001 Fax
516-665-5652 E-Fax
camjohnson@windermere.com
Check out my listings here: http://www.camjohnsonhomes.com

Beautiful Waterfront Lots on the Payette River--Info Here

"Bringing Buyers and Sellers Together is my Business."