From:	Matthew Valentino
To:	comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us
Subject:	Concerns about the Environmental Assessment of the Chattooga River
Date:	07/19/2008 12:52 PM

U.S. Forest Service
Chattooga River Project
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212.
comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us
16 July 2008
RE: Chattooga River Project Comments
Dear Sumter National Forest,
My name is Matthew Valentino. I currently am a KC-135 pilot in the Air Force stationed in New Hampshire. As an outdoor enthusiast and paddler, the issue of the Chattooga River has recently caught my attention.
I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment regarding the recreational management of the Chattooga River. I disagree with your analysis and your proposal. Both treat me and my community of river enthusiasts unfairly and your proposal would not meet my interests. Please consider the following concerns I have regarding this issue:

- The proposed alternative will negatively impact protections granted under the Wilderness Act and Wild and Scenic Rivers Act on the Chattooga and other rivers nationwide.
- The USFS has spent thirteen years searching for a reason to limit paddling on the Chattooga and has found *none*. It is time to open the river to boating.
- The EA is not a user capacity analysis and does not reference one. The AW appeal decision required a user capacity analysis. Where is it?
- No alternative is acceptable because they all include boating bans on the upper Chattooga Cliffs reach and on tributaries – without any justification.
- The EA and preferred alternative are not equitable or protective of the river because they considers boating to be the only management variable, while other larger more impactful uses are not seriously considered for limits.

- The USFS preferred alternative includes a total ban on 2/3 of the upper river, a ban on tributary boating, and allows only 0-6 days of limited boating on the remaining reach – while allowing all other wilderness conforming existing uses in unlimited numbers.. This is not equitable and not acceptable!
- The EA offers no basis for the boating bans and limits
- The EA lacks a full range of alternatives
- The EA is no better or different than the last one, is at least a year late and has wasted millions in tax payer money
- The USFS hired qualified consultants and then ignored their input

Overall I worry that a politically-based decision on the Chattooga River could negatively affect Wild and Scenic Rivers throughout the country, and that is not what the soldiers overseas are fighting to protect. Thank you for considering these comments. Please consider conducting a real user capacity analysis and immediately allowing boating in the same numbers, places, and seasons that you allow existing users. Paddling should be allowed in a similar manner to your alternative number 8, except on the *entire* Upper Chattooga River and its tributaries. Thank you for considering these comments,

Sincerely

Lt.Matthew Valentino
113 Berwick Rd
Delmar, NY 12054