From:	morgan randell
To:	francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us; comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us
Subject:	Concerning Chatooga River
Date:	07/16/2008 10:21 PM

Agreeing with Pat Glazier and American Whitewater :)

Dear Sirs,
I was surprised that the environmental assessment focused on protecting fishing hobbyists rather than the forest. When I kayak I try to do no harm to the plants, the riverbanks or anyone else. Sometimes I pick up trash. Sometimes I pass by a fisherman on rivers like the Lumber, Roanoke, Cheoah, Haw, Nantahala or any other river. I might say “fine day to be out here” and they will say “sure is”. Conflict-Nonexistent! That environmental assessment was a view into how ridiculous decisions are rationalized ridiculously.

If option #4 is made law I will never boat this particular scenic area. I work a full time job and can’t imagine myself jumping through hoops to become one of the lucky few that might qualify for a legal run as described. Too bad, because I pay my taxes and don’t think I would be a burden to the other citizens or to the wildlife.

Sincerely Against Option #4,
Morgan Randell
Chapel Hill, NC

PS, What about people who want to fish from their kayak? Are they eaten up with internal conflict or have they realized the meaning of zen?