

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000  
Public Law 106-393  
**Title II Project Submission Form**  
**Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory  
Committee**

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): WA-WAW05-003

<b>2. Project Name:</b> Upper Eastside Vegetation Management	<b>3. County:</b> Wallowa
<b>4. Project Sponsor:</b> Forest Service – Wallowa Mountains Office	<b>5. Date:</b> April 1, 2004
<b>6. Sponsor’s Phone Number:</b> Meg Mitchell or Alicia Glassford	
<b>7. Sponsors E-mail:</b> <a href="mailto:mmitchell01@fs.fed.us">mmitchell01@fs.fed.us</a> or <a href="mailto:aglassford@fs.fed.us">aglassford@fs.fed.us</a>	

<b>8. Project Location</b> (attach project area map)	
a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #: Lower Grande Ronde Subbasin - 17060106	
b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if known): Upper Joseph Creek 1706010626	
c. Location: Township <u>4N</u> Range <u>45E</u> Section(s) _____ Township <u>3N</u> Range <u>45E</u> Section(s) _____ Township <u>4N</u> Range <u>46E</u> Section(s) _____ Township <u>3N</u> Range <u>46E</u> Section(s) _____ Township <u>4N</u> Range <u>47E</u> Section(s) _____ Township <u>3N</u> Range <u>47E</u> Section(s) _____	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area
f. National Forest Wallowa-Whitman	g. Forest Service District Wallowa Valley
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:</b> (max. 7 lines) Improve the condition of the forest ecosystem in the Upper Joseph watershed and generate sustainable local socio-economic benefits from the forested lands and associated range and riparian areas.
--

<b>10. Project Description:</b> (max. 30 lines.)  Complete NEPA and ESA requirements for vegetation management and roads projects identified in the Upper Joseph Watershed Community Planning process (Title II project WA-WAW03-001). Two subwatersheds in the eastern portion of the Upper Joseph watershed were determined to have the highest priority for treatment. This request would finish the NEPA and ESA processes for vegetation management and road management proposals in these two subwatersheds. The funding would be used to prepare specialist input to the NEPA process for hydrology, soils, vegetation, wildlife, botany, recreation, rangelands and weeds. It would also be used to write biological assessments for consultation with NOAA-fisheries and USFWS, as well as documentation to support determinations of effect on listed fish, wildlife and botanical species.
---

<b>11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <b>If yes, then describe</b> (max. 10 lines) Projects that would be taken through the NEPA and ESA processes on federal lands have been coordinated with adjacent private lands by the integrated Upper Joseph Community Watershed Plan. Resource conditions on all ownerships were considered in this analysis.

<b>12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?</b> [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

<b>13. Project Type</b> (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	

<b>14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes</b> [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles:
c. No. Structures:	d. Est. People Reached
e. No. Laborer Days:	(for environmental education projects):
f. Other (specify): Documents completed – 1 Environmental Assessment, 3 Biological Assessments (fish, wildlife, botany)	

**15. Estimated Completion Date:** [Sec. 203(b)(2)] **September 2005**

**16. Target Species Benefited:** (if applicable) (max. 7 lines) All species associated with healthy forests.

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?**

[Sec. 2(b)(3)] (max. 12 lines)

This project would implement the next step of a community planning process that has already improved cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands. By moving the projects in the community watershed plan toward implementation, these relationships would be solidified.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.**

(max. 12 lines)

Projects resulting from this analysis would result in employment opportunities for community members as well as benefits to the recreating public from healthy forests in the Upper Joseph watershed.

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? (max. 12 lines)**

Contributes to healthy forest conditions in two subwatersheds.

<b>20. Status of Project Planning</b>			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion: September 2005			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

<b>21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (check those that apply)</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]**

Yes       No

<b>23. Anticipated Project Costs</b> [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: <b>\$28,000</b>	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request: <b>\$28,000</b>
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:	

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis**

<b>Item</b>	<i>Column A</i> <b>Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> <b>Requested County Title II Contribution</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> <b>Other Contributions</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> <b>Total Available Funds</b>
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation	51,750	25,760	10,350	87,860
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration				
30. Contract Cost				
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total	51,750	25,760	10,350	87,860
36. Indirect Cost (Overhead @ .08%) (per year for multi-year projects)	4,500	2,240	900	7,640
<b>37. Total Cost Estimate</b>	56,250	28,000	11,250	95,500

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines)  
 Wallowa Resources and the Wallowa County Natural Resource Advisory Committee could provide in-kind services such as facilitation of public participation, writing/editing, GIS analysis, quality control, etc.

**39. Monitoring Plan** [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) Success will be measured if the decision to implement the NEPA document is upheld under appeal. In addition, concurrence with the Biological Assessment by the Level 1 Consultation Team will measure adequacy of that document in addressing the ecological conditions addressed by ESA.  
**Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** Public participants with the NEPA process and the Level 1 Consultation Team
- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) The NEPA analysis will contain a socio-economic analysis which addresses employment opportunities.  
**Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** Public participants with the NEPA process
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines) The NEPA analysis will disclose an estimate of products to be removed from the National Forest  
**Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** Public participants with the NEPA process
- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)** (max. 7 lines)  
**Amount** No additional funding – monitoring will be provided by public participants through the NEPA process.

**Project Name:**

## **County Commissioner Concurrence**

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Wallowa County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the Northeast Oregon Resource Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attested by Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Priority Rating:

High     Medium     Low

Comments/Rational: