

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Submission Form
Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory
Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): BA-WAW06-106

2. Project Name: <i>Burnt River Riparian Rehabilitation</i>	3. County: Baker
4. Project Sponsor: <i>Whitman District/Bonnie Clugston</i>	5. Date: 02/18/2005
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541 523-1445	
7. Sponsors E-mail: <i>bclugston@fs.fed.us</i>	

8. Project Location: . (attach project area map)	
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #: <i>17050202 Burnt River</i>	
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if known): <i>1705020221 South Fork Burnt River</i>	
c. Location: Township <u>13S</u> Range <u>36E</u> Section(s) <u>28-32</u> Township <u>13S</u> Range <u>351/2</u> Section(s) <u>24</u> Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area
f. National Forest <i>Wallowa-Whitman</i>	g. Forest Service District <i>Unity</i>
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: *Recreation, Water, Soils objectives identified in Forest Plan.*

Goal: *Rehabilitation of riparian conditions within a number of newly developed dispersed recreation sites and move overall area into an upward trend relative to riparian management objectives (RMO's).*

10. Project Description: *Up to a total of 20 sites and 10 acres within the riparian habitat conservation area (RHCA) South Fork of the Burnt River have been identified for rehabilitation. These sites are significantly degraded. While specific site conditions vary somewhat overall sites are typically void of vegetation, experiencing soil loss leading to increased sedimentation and compaction.*

Improvements include rehabilitating soil conditions conducive to reestablishing vegetation, planting native tree shrub species and installing natural barriers to afford better protection from human recreation use.

--

Version: April 13, 2001

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Retrofit South Fork Campground/Trailhead</i> <i>This project will improve the existing deteriorated facilities to accommodate additional and different types of use. The site will provide drive through sites, accessible restrooms, an information kiosk and easy access in and out of the trailhead and trail.</i>

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	<i>This project will also reestablish native species.</i>

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres: 10 acres	b. Total Miles:
c. No. Structures: 40 (1-3 structures per site)	d. Est. People Reached <i>600 families/campers needing campsites that accommodate their needs, ie.drive-thru or longer sites.</i>
e. No. Laborer Days: 54 besides volunteers	
f. Other (specify):	

15. Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)] 11/2006

16. Target Species Benefited: *Aquatic, terrestrial, and fauna*

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?

By utilizing the people who use the area to rehabilitate it, they will take ownership in the accomplishments and want to see it protected in the future. OHV volunteers come to help with district projects each year. As many as 3-10 volunteers, some for 1-3 weeks and others come for a weekend. Vale Elementary 6th grades and teachers spend several days each spring in the area. Forest Service employees provide forest education classes. This would be a great opportunity for them to help plant and learn the importance of riparian health. The local 4-H Clubs, Future Farmers of America and the foreign exchange students are always looking for a community project. This will also be an opportunity to engage a crew from Juvenile Community Service. All of these groups are looking for projects that will make a difference and what a great way to get their cooperation while teaching them the importance of riparian health.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? Community Benefits,

This project will rehabilitate and stabilize sites. In addition to improving and better sustaining desirable riparian conditions, the project will raise the level of awareness by users in the need to balance recreation use with the desired riparian management objectives (RMO's). Partnerships in doing this work will raise awareness by users and ownership in taking better care of these sites and promote long term resource protection.

By improving recreation opportunities more people will come to the area. People who come to the area support local businesses.

19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources?

The primary objective of the Burnt River Riparian Rehabilitation Proposal is to provide public recreational opportunities while enhancing stream and riparian structure and function. This objective meets the goals and direction of the Wallowa-Whitman Forest Plan and INFISH direction.

The proposed project will benefit federal lands and resources by improving the aquatic ecosystems consequently improving recreation values and wildlife habitats associated with water quality. This management proposal will have the following positive effects on water quality:

- 1. reduce the deliver of coarse sediment.*
- 2. reduce inorganic matter inputs*
- 3. provide channel stability*
- 4. enhance vegetation along critical stream habitats*

The urgency of this restoration effort has been validated by previous field analysis and documentation illustrating the decline in water quality caused from human-induced impacts. Numerous basin-wide management plans have been completed including South Fork Burnt River Watershed Analysis, South Fork Burnt River Grazing EIS, and Mile 9 Vegetation Management Environmental Assessment 2005.

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion: <i>August 2005</i>			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	Not Required
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	Not Required
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (<i>check those that apply</i>)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): Youth Crew	

Contractor will be hired with equipment to haul in natural barriers, ie boulders, logs, etc.

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 18,360	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request: \$
d. FY03 Request: \$	g. FY06 Request: \$ 18,360
e. FY04 Request: \$	

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	1,500			1,500
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation	2,500			2,500
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation		300		300
29. Contract Administration		300		300
30. Contract Cost		4,000		4,000
31. Workforce Cost		9,200	1,540	10,740
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring (PART OF PROJECT)	1,500			1,500
34. Other trees, shrubs, native seed		3,000		3,000
35. Project Sub-Total	5,500	16,800	1,540	23,840
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8%) (per year for multi-year projects)	400	1,560	123	2,083
37. Total Cost Estimate	5,900	18,360	1,663	25,923

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]
(max. 7 lines) *Forest Service appropriate funding to complete NEPA, pre-field work, and monitoring, volunteers and youth groups to do planting. Oregon Parks & Recreation funding (\$62,000) has been requested to upgrade South Fork Campground/Trailhead to provide adequate parking to eliminate need for these dispersed sites. A Tread Lightly Grant (\$9,000) has been submitted to complete drainage and maintenance on access road. Other funding will include the costs associated with the Juvenile Community Service crews and other groups working on the project, which are difficult to determine.*

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:**

Monitoring will be designed to assess the designated recreational use and how it affects water quality, through effectiveness monitoring.

Effectiveness monitoring will answer six questions:

- 1. Were the protective/enhancement measures implemented as planned?*
- 2. Does a recreational management activity still jeopardize water quality?*
- 3. Which recreational management system best protects water quality?*
- 4. Were the assumptions used to predict the positive and negative effects valid?*
- 5. How effective are the riparian protection measures?*
- 6. Were the restoration efforts effective?*

The combined data will provide a basis to assess “Best Management Practices” effectiveness and identify any issues with the recreational uses and the respective cause(s). The Recreation Specialist, Hydrologist, and/or qualified Forestry Technicians will be responsible for this monitoring item.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Who is responsible for this monitoring item**

Monitoring will be designed to determine how this project contributed toward local employment and/or training opportunities, through effectiveness monitoring.

Effective monitoring will answer six questions:

- 1. Was the project implemented as planned?*
- 2. What activities specifically benefited youth job programs?*
- 3. Which outsourcing system best meets this type of need?*
- 4. Were the hiring cost estimates used to predict this project valid?*
- 5. How effective were the safety precaution measures?*
- 6. Were the training methods beneficial to the youth job programs?*

The Recreation Specialists, and/or qualified Forestry Technicians will be responsible for this monitoring item.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: _____**

Not applicable, no products will be removed from National Forest System lands.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33) Amount

MONITORING ITEM	MONITORING ACTIVITY	MONITORING COSTS
a.	Recreational Use and the Effects on Water Quality	\$ 750.00
b.	Employment/Training Opportunities	\$ 750.00
	TOTAL	\$1500.00

Project Name: *Burnt River Riparian Rehabilitation*

County Court Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of _____ County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the _____ County Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

Attested by _____ County Judge

Date

Priority Rating:

High Medium Low

Comments/Rational:

