

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
28-Jan-05	Ken Markling	With depletion of motorized opportunities, close only routes with direct impact to historic sites. Mapping and designation should include expansion, not just closure. Use fencing and signing to protect sites. Come up with a fair and equitable solution.	Substantive - Site specific protection measures proposed.
14-Feb-05	Karen Schambach: PEER	Editorial and clarification comments.	Substantive - Several editorial changes.
26-Jan-05	Pete Eakle	Challenges statement about growth of OHV use on National Forests.	Substantive - Questions accuracy of analysis. Recommends editorial alternative.
21-Jan-05	Tribal Elders Council, Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians	Supports OHV route designation program. Avoid culturally sensitive areas. Use Native American and qualified archaeologist monitors for ground disturbance.	Substantive - Proposes treatments.
20-Jan-05	Bob Bennett: CA4WDC Central	Reroutes alternative to closures if monitoring demonstrates protection measures ineffective. Not all 50 year old sites historically significant. Balance restrictions with new trail opportunities. PA needs clear methods for allowing routes and historic properties to coexist. Mitigations questioned. Page specific clarifications requested. Public notification not sufficient.	Substantive - Multiple page specific and general comments.
29-Jan-05	Ed Waldheim: CORVA	Multiple page specific comments addressing details of PA. Cross-references CA4WDC comments.	Substantive - Multiple changes and clarifications in language of PA. See OHV PA Draft, Response to Comments.
31-Jan-05	Denise McLemore: Eldorado National Forest	Multiple page specific comments addressing details of PA.	Substantive - Multiple changes and clarifications in language of PA. See OHV PA Draft, Response to Comments.
7-Feb-05	John Stewart: CA4WDC	Multiple page specific comments addressing details of PA.	Substantive - Multiple changes and clarifications in language of PA. See OHV PA Draft, Response to Comments.
14-Feb-05	Bill Dart: ORBA	Multiple page specific comments addressing details of PA. Cross-references to comments from CA4WDC and CORVA.	Substantive - Multiple changes and clarifications in language of PA. See OHV PA Draft, Response to Comments.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
14-Feb-05	Chris Horgan: Stewards of the Sequoia	Multiple page specific comments addressing details of PA. Cross-references to comments from CA4WDC and CORVA.	Substantive - Multiple changes and clarifications in language of PA. See OHV PA Draft, Response to Comments.
31-Jan-05	Tom Walsh: Save Our Forests	OHV recreation not appropriate on public lands. FS not managing existing uses nor following current plans. Current use damaging heritage sites. Questions implementation with reduced funding. Questions ability to monitor and report.	Substantive - Addresses use figures, funding, monitoring, and reporting.
23-Jan-05	Phil Hines - CDPROHV	Page 13 - Limited testing provision may lock FS into missing other approaches such as CARIDAPS. Page 15 - what is meaning of SPMs. Page 49 - Should FS be designating OHV routes that have the potential to affect historic properties.	Substantive - Addresses testing, protection measure, and effect provisions of PA.
14-Feb-05	Don Amador: BlueRibbon Coalition	Questions the concept of "illegality" of cross country uses; requests terminology change. Questions use of interim forest orders.	Substantive - Addresses terminology change.
28-Jan-05	Jimmy Lewis	No alternative to maintaining current levels of use. Will Green Sticker funding be used for required reports? Historic property definition open ended; could be widely used to close trails. Why no option to keep areas open if no significant resource damage from OHV use? OHV use is historic and should be protected.	Substantive - Addresses reports, funding, historic property definition.
31-Jan-05	Glencjan@aol.com	Don't close roads well defined by usage. Protect heritage but allow access for public that can't or won't hike miles. Use natural barriers to restrict access to sites.	Substantive - Addresses protection measures.
31-Jan-05	Joan Brandoff-Kerr: Los Padres National Forest	Wording change to routine trail maintenance screened exemption. Monitoring needs trigger point for when to change protection measure.	Substantive - Addresses monitoring and exceptions.
7-Jan-05	Sandy Ellis: Mountains Group, Sierra Club	Supports plan. Should be stronger. Enforcing designations priority. Limiting areas highest priority.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
17-Jan-05	Clifford Miller	Closure is political. Opposed to the closure of public land. No mention of user groups. How will be funded?	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	???? Ben	Opposes closing public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Allen Lee	Opposes closing public lands to motorcycles or other vehicles.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Brad Bellah and Family	Opposes closing public lands for any reason.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Chris Perry	Opposes closing public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Frank Honey	Opposes closing off-road riding areas.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Gary Greiner	Opposes closing public or forest lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	James Garland	Opposes closing or restricting public owned lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Jerrold M. Parsons	Opposes closing public lands to OHV use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Kenny Romano	Opposes closing public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Marc DeMarco	Opposes closing roads or trails on public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Mark Winston	Opposes closing public access to recreational or OHV use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Michael B????	Opposes closing public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Mike Linan	Opposes closing public lands to off road vehicles. Wants all trails open.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Mike Parsons	Opposes closing land to OHV use. Disapproves closing public roads or trails.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Ralph Rote	Opposes closing public lands. Explain value of any closure for historic reasons.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Scott Rose	Opposes closing public lands for OHV access.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
21-Jan-05	Stephen Ferrara	Opposes closing public land to OHV use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	Steve Abbott	Opposes closing public lands to OHV use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
21-Jan-05	William D. Jones	Opposes closing forest or public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Croft Long	Don't close unmapped roads because all existing routes not mapped. Closing routes limits access to areas that people enjoy.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Jason Scherer	Don't restrict lands based on few problem users. Educate users. Look for alternatives to closing public lands.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Jim Garey	Most off-roaders stay on trails. Don't make changes that restrict motorcycles and ohvs. Land should be open to all.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Kirk Wheeler	OHV use has grown but available public riding areas have decreased. More areas need to be available. Map all currently used trails as baseline; add to, not subtract.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Mike Reilly	Open space and recreation trails have been dwindling for OHVs. Open more trails. Users can be asset to protect and maintain trails.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Peter Cochran	Create specific system; allow more areas in which to disperse. Don't close huge blocks of land. Limit or alternate trail systems.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Scott Wallenberg	Opposed to any closures of routes, marked or unmarked. If already in use, keep it available.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Spd737@aol.com	Against any more regulation of use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
26-Jan-05	Steve Williams	Important to keep public lands open for recreation. Lands are important as population grows and needs escape from cities.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
27-Jan-05	barry425@yahoo.com	Bad law shuts off all desert riding areas because unmapped. Riding areas in desert have no historic properties. No more restrictive interference with off roading.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	Ben Johnson	Opposes closures of public lands; wrong. Historic sites easy to protect. Put Green Sticker money into educating children.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	David Behlings	Outraged FS taking away cross-country motorized vehicle travel.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	David Kitchen	Concern about closing roads. Keep current routes open. Get public input to making and enforcing rules. Use monitors to assist informing public and keeping routes open.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	James Diethrich	Closure is political. Opposed to the closure of public land. No mention of user groups. How will be funded?	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	Peter Giusti	OHV use beneficial to economy and family life. Proper use allows for resource protection.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
27-Jan-05	Ray Abbott	Don't close any unmapped roads simply because have not successfully mapped them. Maintain a balance perspective on issues of land use, specifically OHV access.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Arthur More	Attempt to close trails. No more trails should be taken. FS needs to map all trails. Users need to make sure all trails and roads are designated. Keep areas open for the future.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Bruce Boring	Not valid to close routes without mapping them. Enough trails have been closed, many for the wrong reason. More trails need to be opened.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Curt Fournier	No more restrictions on our off road bikes.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
28-Jan-05	Dave Wood	Conflict between inventorying all routes and the June 2006 closure of all unmapped areas. Concerned about reduction of single tread trails in favor of machined groomed quad trails, and poor maintenance of FS trails that causes a problem. OHV mileage is misleading as alot of it is for roads, not single track routes.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Grant Palenske	Don't close any unmapped roads simply because have have not successfully mapped them.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Jess McKinley	Not valid to close routes without mapping them. Map all existing routes in Region 5. Should be building and managing routes to meet demand.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Jim Williams	Don't close routes simply because no adequate inventory. Restricting OHVs to smaller and smaller areas is not answer; increases impacts and not safe. Take measured approach and work together with public for acceptable outcome.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	John Ferro	Not valid to close routes without mapping them. Map all existing routes in Region 5; OHV enthusiast will help. Should be building and managing routes to meet demand.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Larry Atnip	Don't close all unmapped trails and deny users right to ride where they have for decades just because FS does not have resources for management.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Nina Santillanez	Don't close our ohv parks.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Patty Richardson	Don't close any unmapped roads simply because have have not successfully mapped them.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Paul McKinney	Keep our lands open to off road use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
28-Jan-05	Sarah Jacobs	Not valid to close routes without mapping them. Map all existing routes in Region 5. Should be building and managing routes to meet demand.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.

OHV PA Comments			
DATE	COMMENTS FROM	COMMENT	RESPONSE
28-Jan-05	Steve Jensen	Don't close any existing trails or routes to off-road vehicles.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
29-Jan-05	Carl Davis	Are we picking on OHV? Are there similar programs for other unmanaged trail uses?	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
29-Jan-05	John Griffin	Keep all trails and areas open to OHV use. Restricting OHVs to smaller areas is not the answer.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
29-Jan-05	Richard Ambrose	Do not further limit open riding areas	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
30-Jan-05	Duke Lambert	Don't close any unmapped trails or routes. Keep trails open in an environmentally safe manner.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
31-Jan-05	Mark Gigas	Don't close any unmapped routes because not successfully mapped. Does not accept loss of opportunities because not inventoried.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
31-Jan-05	Peter Shore	Not valid to close routes without mapping them. Must map all existing routes in Region 5. Should be building and managing routes to meet demand.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
7-Feb-05	Alan Blomberg	Opposes closure of public land to OHV use.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
8-Feb-05	Albert Llata	Discrimination against less abled. Process of limiting motorized trails needs to be stopped unless good reason to not have motorized trails in areas.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion; does not address PA.
29-Jan-05	Wesley Miller	Less and less area to ride. Restricting OHVs to smaller and smaller areas increases the impacts in those areas.	Nonsubstantive - States a position or opinion