
CHAPTER 4. CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

Preparers and Contributors

The Forest Service consulted the following individuals, Federal, State, and local agencies, tribes and non-Forest Service persons during the development of this environmental impact statement:

Interdisciplinary Team Members

Dan Blessing: 22 years experience in fuels, timber preparation, and silviculture; BA Environmental Biology, Silvicultural Institute; expertise as Certified Silviculturist; responsible for vegetation analysis, silvicultural prescriptions, and economic analysis.

Leslie Burkhart: 28 years experience as Civil Engineering Technician, Team Leader, Lands and Special Use Officer; AA Forest Engineering and Forest Management; expertise in roads; responsible for maintaining road work summaries.

Juan de la Fuente: 33 years experience as District and Forest Geologist; BS Geology; expertise in assessing forest management effects on geomorphic processes, developing mitigation measures for active landslides affecting roads and facilities; responsible for geology analysis, prescriptions in unstable areas.

Thomas Herold: 23 years experience in timber preparation, silviculture, and fuels; BS Forestry; Natural Resources Institute; expertise in fuels planning and implementation; responsible for fire and fuels analysis, air quality analysis, logging system feasibility.

Lynda Karns: 29 years experience as Environmental Coordinator, Silviculturist, and Forester; BA English, BS Forestry; expertise in NEPA sufficiency; responsible for social analysis, writing and editing, team leader.

Marla Knight: 24 years experience as Botanist; BS Renewable Natural Resources; expertise in botany; responsible for botanical analysis, noxious weed risk assessment, Plant Biological Assessment.

Sharon Koorda: 14 years experience as Hydrologist; BS Geology; expertise in hydrological processes within the Klamath Region; responsible for hydrology analysis.

Tom Laurent: 26 years experience as Soil Scientist; BA Geology, MS Soil Science; expertise in soil management, monitoring, and inventory; responsible for soil analysis.

Jerry Mosier: 28 years experience as Landscape Architect; BS Landscape Architecture; expertise in scenery conservation and environmental design arts; responsible for scenic quality analysis and Wild and Scenic River analysis.

Brenda J. Olson: 20 years experience in fisheries management, recreation, and range management; BS Range Management, MS Natural Resources with emphasis on Freshwater Fisheries Management; expertise in cold water fisheries and watershed restoration; responsible for fisheries analysis and Fisheries Biological Assessment.

Susan Stresser: 14 years experience in wildlife habitat management, BS Biology, expertise in Endangered Species Act consultation; responsible for final wildlife analysis and final Wildlife Biological Assessment, wildlife input from January 2003 through present.

David Vann: 10 years experience in Archaeological work; BA Anthropology; expertise in Heritage Resource surveys, responsible for heritage resource analysis.

Marc Williams: 30 years experience in wildlife management and range management; BS Wildlife Management, MS Wildlife Management; expertise in wildlife management; responsible for initial wildlife analysis and draft Wildlife Biological Assessment, wildlife input through December 2002.

Technical Support

Don Elder: Cumulative Watershed Effects Modeling

Mary Gausen: Wildlife Surveys

Fred Schmalenberger: Developed S&M management recommendations for wildlife species, prepared Supplement to Wildlife Biological Assessment

Richard Van de Water: GIS Support

Karen West: S&M Wildlife Report and Technical Expertise

Federal, State, And Local Agencies

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Fisheries

California Department of Fish and Game

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region

Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors

Tribes

Karuk Tribe of California

Hoopa Valley Tribe

Yurok Tribe

Quartz Valley Reservation

Distribution of the Environmental Impact Statement _____

This environmental impact statement has been distributed to the following government agencies and to those organizations and individuals who specifically requested a copy of the document. Other parties on the project mailing list were provided with a Summary document and notified that the full document is on the Forest Web Site.

Federal Agencies

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Agriculture, U.S. Department of

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Forest Service, Region 5

Forest Service, Washington Office,

National Agricultural Library

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Commerce, U.S. Department of

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – Fisheries

Eureka Office

Office of Policy and Strategic Planning

Protected Species Division, Southwest Region

Yreka Office

Defense, U.S. Department of

Army Corp of Engineers, South Pacific Division

U.S. Navy, Office of Chief of Navy

Naval Oceanography Division

Energy, U.S. Department of

Office of Environmental Compliance

Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Federal Activities

Region 9

Federal Highway Administration, Western Region

Interior, U.S. Department of

Bureau of Land Management, California State Office

National Park Service, Pacific West Region

Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Yreka Office

State Agencies

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region

California Department of Fish and Game

County

Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors

Organizations and Individuals

Kimberly Baker, Klamath Forest Alliance

Philip C. Barney, Jr.

James J. Bender

Regina Chichizola, Klamath Siskiyou Wildlands Center

Andrew Getz

Scott Greacen, Environmental Protection Information Center

Michael Hentz

Adam Jacobs

Lindea Kirschner

William J. Marcy

Richard Marshall

Indica Rebelle

Petra Taylor-Vandormael, Californians for Alternatives to Toxins

INDEX

Areas with Watershed Concerns, 2-13, 3-23, 3-40, 3-41

Aquatic Conservation Strategy, 1-7, 3-52, 3-68, 3-71

Aquatic Conservation Strategy Objectives, 1-4, 3-31, 3-46, 3-52, 3-54, 3-55

Best Management Practices, v, 2-8, 2-9, 3-29, 3-31, 3-34, 3-35, 3-36, 3-43, 3-44, 3-49, 3-50, 3-52, 3-53, 3-71

Community Capacity, 3-79, 3-80, 3-81, 3-82, 3-83

Critical Habitat, v, 1-7, 2-16, 3-46, 3-52, 3-54, 3-59, 3-60, 3-61, 3-63, 3-64, 3-65, 3-66, 3-87, 3-88, 3-90

Cumulative Watershed Effects, 1-7, 2-16, 3-24, 3-25, 3-32, 3-33, 3-34, 3-35, 3-36, 3-38, 3-40, 3-41, 3-42, 3-43, 3-44, 3-46, 3-48

Desired Condition, v, 1-3, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-7, 3-14, 3-15, 3-17, 3-51, 3-55, 3-73, 3-79, 3-81, 3-82, 3-89

Fire Risk, 3-11, 3-14, 3-15, 3-17, 3-39, 3-58, 3-89

Fuel Reduction, 1-7, 2-1, 2-14, 3-14, 3-16, 3-18, 3-30, 3-32, 3-35, 3-46, 3-61, 3-90

Geologic Riparian Reserve, 2-1, 2-9, 3-26, 3-27, 3-39, 3-41, 3-47, 3-48, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57, 3-58, 3-74, 3-88

Hydrologic Riparian Reserve, 2-1, 2-9, 3-39, 3-41, 3-42, 3-43, 3-47, 3-48, 3-50, 3-51, 3-53, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57, 3-58, 3-68, 3-87

Jones Gulch, ii, iii, 1-7, 2-1, 2-3, 2-7, 2-16, 3-23, 3-24, 3-25, 3-26, 3-27, 3-36, 3-40, 3-43, 3-44, 3-47, 3-49, 3-51, 3-72, 3-78, 3-89, 3-90

Land and Resource Management Plan, ii, 1-1, 1-4, 1-5, 2-1, 2-15, 3-1, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-9, 3-15, 3-23, 3-25, 3-28, 3-29, 3-30, 3-31, 3-36, 3-41, 3-55, 3-56, 3-62, 3-68, 3-69, 3-71, 3-73, 3-74, 3-75, 3-76, 3-79, 3-81, 3-82, 3-83, 3-86, 3-88, 3-89, 3-90

Landscape Character, 3-73, 3-74, 3-75, 3-87

Landslide Potential, ii, 2-16, 3-24, 3-25, 3-26, 3-27, 3-48, 3-88, 3-90

Northern Spotted Owl, v, 1-4, 1-7, 2-10, 2-11, 2-13, 2-16, 3-59, 3-60, 3-61, 3-62, 3-63, 3-64, 3-65, 3-66, 3-67, 3-87, 3-88, 3-90

Present Net Value, 2-16, 3-76, 3-77, 3-78

Riparian Reserves, ii, iii, iv, 1-2, 1-4, 1-7, 2-1, 2-3, 2-5, 2-7, 2-9, 2-16, 3-3, 3-4, 3-7, 3-10, 3-23, 3-25, 3-26, 3-27, 3-31, 3-32, 3-35, 3-36, 3-39, 3-40, 3-41, 3-42, 3-43, 3-45, 3-46, 3-47, 3-48, 3-50, 3-51, 3-53, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57, 3-58, 3-59, 3-67, 3-68, 3-74, 3-87, 3-88

Salmon or Salmonid, 3-34, 3-38, 3-46, 3-51, 3-54, 3-61, 3-71

Scenic Integrity, 3-73, 3-74, 3-75, 3-87, 3-88, 3-90

Sediment, 2-7, 3-22, 3-23, 3-24, 3-25, 3-29, 3-31, 3-32, 3-33, 3-34, 3-36, 3-37, 3-39, 3-40, 3-42, 3-44, 3-45, 3-46, 3-48, 3-49, 3-50, 3-52, 3-53, 3-55, 3-56, 3-58, 3-87, 3-88, 3-90

Sensitive Species, 3-4, 3-9, 3-46, 3-47, 3-59, 3-62, 3-66

Socioeconomic Status, 3-79, 3-83

Survey and Manage Species, 1-4, 2-11, 3-4, 3-5, 3-59, 3-62, 3-63, 3-68, 3-81

Temperature, 1-7, 3-6, 3-9, 3-14, 3-31, 3-43, 3-44, 3-45, 3-46, 3-47, 3-48, 3-88, 3-90

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive, 2-11, 3-4, 3-5, 3-9, 3-10, 3-46, 3-47, 3-59, 3-62, 3-66, 3-71

Transportation System, ii, 1-1, 1-2, 2-9, 2-13, 2-14, 3-27, 3-85, 3-86

Turbidity, 1-7, 3-31, 3-40, 3-45, 3-46, 3-48, 3-49, 3-58, 3-88

Visual Quality Objectives, 3-71, 3-72, 3-73, 3-74, 3-75, 3-90

Volume, 2-16, 3-76, 3-77, 3-78

Wild and Scenic River, ii, iv, 1-7, 2-15, 2-16, 3-31, 3-36, 3-45, 3-69, 3-70, 3-71, 3-72, 3-73, 3-75, 3-81, 3-83, 3-85, 3-89, 3-90

Woody Debris, 1-7, 3-28, 3-29, 3-46, 3-50, 3-51, 3-53, 3-55, 3-56, 3-58, 3-64, 3-68, 3-69, 3-71, 3-87, 3-88, 3-90