

APPENDIX A

**PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE FEDERAL
AND NON-FEDERAL PARCELS**

FEDERAL PARCEL



Photo 1. Iron Mine Draw with backfilled area to the right of the wash. View facing northwest.



Photo 2. Forest Service Road 122 crossing Iron Mine Draw. View facing west.



Photo 3. Happy Jack Lodge and RV Park. View facing southwest from FH 3.



Photo 4. Stock tank located in the SE¹/₄ of the project parcel. View facing north.



Photo 5. Water retained in Iron Mine Draw. View facing north.



Photo 6. Reclaimed area in the west-central section of the parcel. View facing east.



Photo 7. Base of an unfinished log cabin. View facing north.



Photo 8. Mining excavation which has created a minor depression. View facing east-southeast.



Photo 9. Mining excavation and waste pile. View facing north-northwest.



Photo 10. Mining excavation containing portion of an equipment cab. View facing northeast.

NON-FEDERAL PARCEL



Photo 11. East Clear Creek on southwest portion of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 12. East Clear Creek on southwestern portion of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 13. Cliffs adjacent to East Clear Creek on southwest portion of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 14. West side of Clear Creek Canyon. View facing west from east rim near center of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 15. View of property facing south from center of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 16. View of property facing east from center of non-Federal parcel.



Photo 17. View facing south from point where Forest Service Road 137 enters the north boundary of the non-Federal parcel.



Photo 18. View looking north into East Clear Creek Canyon near center of the non-Federal parcel. Photo taken below east rim at an elevation of 6,700 feet.



Photo 19. Burn barrel and cabin by Buckhorn Tank. View facing east-southeast.



Photo 20. Buckhorn Tank on non-Federal parcel. View facing east-northeast.

This page left intentionally blank.

APPENDIX B
SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

PUBLIC SCOPING COMMENT SUMMARY

Location in Document Where Concern is Addressed if within the Scope of this Document	Document Code	Comment #	Comment
N/A	L1	1	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L9	2	Supports the land exchange.
Land Use and Infrastructure, page 13		3	Concern that private parcel sizes should be large (at least 1-acre) and refrain from apartment or condo development.
Land use and Infrastructure, page 13 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice, page 48		4	Concern the area may become too densely populated.
N/A	L11	5	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L18	6	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L22	7	Supports the land exchange.
Alternatives Analyzed in Detail, page 11	L26	8	Would like reasons for the exchange.
Alternatives Analyzed in Detail, page 11	L27	9	The topography on the non-federal parcel is steep, so why is it necessary to acquire it?
Mineral and Cave Resources, page 53		10	What area has been affected by mining?
Alternatives Analyzed in Detail, page 11		11	What are values acquired on the newly public parcel?
Land Use and Infrastructure, page 13 and Public Access and Recreation, page 41		12	What would be the uses of the new public land?
		13	What are anticipated uses on newly public land that could be privatized?
		14	Potential impacts to land surrounding parcel.
N/A	L28	15	Concerned the mailing list for the project is not up-to-date.
Introduction, page 1	L29	16	Would like clarification on the location and acreage of the parcels for exchange.
Public Access and recreation, page 41	L30	17	Concerned about the impact of the land exchange on the annual archery gathering on the federal parcel.
N/A	L31	18	The exchange is not beneficial for the area.
N/A		19	The federal parcel is very productive with ponderosa pine and other native plants.
N/A		20	Creating a parcel of private land in the middle of National Forest is not needed.

Location in Document Where Concern is Addressed if within the Scope of this Document	Document Code	Comment #	Comment
N/A		21	Land exchanges should occur near cities isolated tracts of land.
N/A		22	If the trade is not made, the federal parcel will continue to provide wildlife habitat and limited dispersed recreation.
Land Use and Infrastructure, page 13		23	The federal parcel has been rejected in previous land exchanges. It will never be developed, so there is no advantage to the trade.
N/A	L32	24	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L33	25	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L34	26	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L35	27	Supports the land exchange.
N/A		28	A fire station and a school should be built on the private ground after the exchange.
N/A	L39	29	Opposes the land exchange.
N/A		30	Private interest bought private land to exchange for federal land they could use.
Mineral and Cave Resources, page 53		31	Federal land has not really been mined.
Land use and Infrastructure, page 13		32	Developer will never develop the land they currently own.
Wildlife, page 25		33	Exchange will be detrimental to wildlife.
Public Access and Recreation, page 41, Roads, page 47, Hazardous Materials, page 37 Fire and Fuels, page 36 Water and Soils, page 42		34	Concerned new development will bring increased off-road vehicles use, fire danger, erosion, depletion of ground water, and sewer and trash problems.
N/A	L40	35	The land exchange is not really a consolidation.
N/A	L41	36	Inquiry regarding the mailing list.
N/A	L43	37	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L44	38	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L45	39	Supports the land exchange.
Public Access and Recreation, page 41 Roads, page 47	L47	40	Concerned about an increase in traffic.
Wildlife, page 25	L51	41	Concerned about impacts on wildlife.
Noise, page 40		42	Concerned about an increase in noise.
Public Access and Recreation, page 41 Roads, page 47		43	Concerned about an increase in traffic.

Location in Document Where Concern is Addressed if within the Scope of this Document	Document Code	Comment #	Comment
Range, page 50	L53	44	Concerned about grazing.
Public Access and Recreation, page 41		45	Concerned about increased off road vehicle use.
N/A	L54	46	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L56	47	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L57	48	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L61	49	Supports the land exchange.
N/A	L63	50	Opposes the exchange.
Water and Soils, page 42	L66	51	Concerned about impacts to aquifers.
Land use and Infrastructure, page 13	L67	52	Parcel would not be developed.
Hazardous Materials, page 37		53	Concerned about trash and sewage.
Fire and Fuels, page 36		54	Concerned about fire danger.
N/A		55	Concerned about lights.
Noise, page 40		56	Concerned about noise.
Public Access and Recreation, page 41 and Roads, page 47	L69	57	Concerned about access.
N/A	L70	58	Supports the land exchange.

This page left intentionally blank.