

# Environmental Assessment Errata Sheet

The errata sheet serves to provide minor revisions or corrections to the draft environmental assessment (EA). The errata sheet and draft EA together serve as the final EA.

Based upon a recent court decision and order (*Citizens for Better Forestry v. USDA and Defenders of Wildlife v. Johanns*, March 30, 2007), the Forest Service is enjoined from implementing and utilizing the 2005 Planning Rule. Therefore, all citations of the 2005 Planning Rule currently in the EA are void and must be removed.

In the meantime, current Forest Service project planning follows transition period language in the 2004 Interpretative Rule (36 CFR 219.35). Transition provisions allow the responsible official to prepare plan amendments using the 1982 Rule. This change in rule language does not alter the original determination of non-significance for the proposed plan amendment.

**1. Page 11. Remove second paragraph in its entirety and replace with following text:**

“The MIS analysis is consistent with the Coconino Forest Plan (1987, as amended).”

**2. Page 116. Remove fifth paragraph in its entirety and replace with following text:**

“The MIS analysis is consistent with the Coconino Forest Plan (1987, as amended).”

**3. Pages 120, 122, 124, 126, 129, 131, 133. Remove the following sentence:**

“The appropriate scale for MIS monitoring is the area covered by the Forest Plan, 36 CFR 219.14 (f).”

**4. Page 167, first paragraph. Remove citation “36 CFR 219.8(e)(3)” and add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph:**

“Regulations at 36 CFR 219.10(f) direct the Forest Service to consider whether a proposed amendment to a forest plan would be considered a significant change.”

**5. Page 169. Amend first sentence of last paragraph as follows:**

“The proposed Forest Plan amendment is consistent with ~~36 CFR 219.6(a)(2), 36 CFR 219.8(e)(3), 36 CFR 219.13(a)(1)~~ **36 CFR 219.10(f)** and FSH 1909.12 Section 25.4.”