

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CHAPTER TOPIC [author of Chapter]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY [GEO-HAZ]

1. INTRODUCTION [GEO-HAZ].....1-1

- 1.1 Purpose and Scope of Study.....1-1
 - 1.1.1 Location and Physiography.....1-2
- 1.2 Overview of Unstable Slopes in Colorado Ski Areas.....1-2
- 1.3 Relevance of Study to USFS Decision Making System.....1-4
 - 1.2.1 2006 USFS-CBMR Meeting on Decision System1-4
- 1.3 Schedule of Tasks

2. GEOLOGY [GEO-HAZ]2-1

- 2.1 Methods2-1
 - 2.1.1 Digitizing previous landslide mapping.....2-1
 - 2.1.2 Photogeologic Mapping2-2
 - 2.1.3 Field mapping techniques.....2-2
 - 2.1.4 Drilling2-2
 - 2.1.5 Trenching.....2-2
- 2.2 Previous Geological Studies.....2-3
- 2.3 Bedrock Geologic Mapping.....2-6
- 2.4 Quaternary Geologic Mapping.....2-13
- 2.5 Landslide Deposit Mapping2-18
 - 2.5.1 Design-level landslide mapping2-18
 - 2.5.2 Comparison of USGS geologic mapping of Gothic quadrangle (Gaskill et al., 1991) with GHUs defined by USFS (2006).....2-19
 - 2.5.3 Comparison of 2007 design-level mapping with GHUs of USFS2-19
 - 2.5.3a GHUs 1A and 1B.....2-21
 - 2.5.3b GHUs 2 through 52-23
 - 2.5.3c GHUs 6 through 82-29
 - 2.5.4 Landslides outside of the Southeastern Slope.....2-33
 - 2.5.4 Landslide Drilling.....2-36
 - 2.5.4.1 Drilling above the steep slope band2-36
 - 2.5.4.2 Drilling below the steep slope band.....2-38
 - 2.5.5 Landslide Trenching.....2-39
 - 2.5.5.1 Upper Trench2-39
 - 2.5.5.2 Lower Trench2-39
- 2.6 Deep-Seated Gravitational Spreading (Sackung).....2-49
- 2.7 Summary of Landslides on the SE Side of Snodgrass Mountain.....2-50
- 2.8 Summary of landslides elsewhere in the vicinity.....2-52
 - 2.8.1 Two landslides South of Snodgrass Permit Boundary2-52
 - 2.8.2 Gold Link Slide-Flow Failure on Mt. Crested Butte2-52
 - 2.8.3 Landslide on the East River south of Gothic.....2-58

3. GEOPHYSICS [Jim O'Donnell]	3-1
3.0 Previous geophysical studies	3-2
3.1 Methods—O'Donnell Surveys of July 2007	3-3
3.1.1 Seismic Refraction Tomography	3-4
3.1.2 Vertical Shear Wave Profiling (ReMi).....	3-4
3.2 Results—O'Donnell Surveys of July 2007	3-5
3.2.1 Lines 1N and 1S	3-5
3.2.2 Lines 2N and 2S	3-8
3.2.3 Line 3	3-11
3.2.4 Line 4	3-13
3.2.5 Line 5	3-13
3.3 6 Line 6	3-14
3.3.7 Combined Lines 3-6.....	3-14
3.4 Methods—Zonge Surveys of October 2007 [Zonge Geosciences].....	1
3.4.1 Site Description.....	1
3.4.2 Data Acquisition.....	4
3.4.3 Data Processing.....	5
3.5 Results/ Interpretations—Zonge Surveys of October 2007	6
3.5.1 Tomography.....	6
4. GEOTECHNICAL [GEO-HAZ]	4-1
4.1 Methods	4-1
4.1.1 Landslide Monitoring Pins.....	4-1
4.1.2 Inclinometers.....	4-1
4.1.3 Material Testing	4-2
4.2 Landslide Monitoring Pins Results.....	4-4
4.2.1 Pins Installed in 1994 (Test 1 through Test 9)	4-4
4.2.2 Pins Installed in 2006 (Test 10 through Test 19).....	4-7
4.2.3 Pins Installed in 2007 (Test 20-21)	4-8
4.2.4 Fence posts surveyed in 2007	4-8
4.2.4.1 Interpretation of fence post deviation pattern	4-11
4.3 Inclinometer Results	4-15
4.3.1 I-2	4-15
4.3.2 I-4	4-15
4.3.3 I-8	4-19
4.4 Material Properties of Landslide and Non-Landslide Deposits	4-19
4.4.1 Previous geotechnical studies.....	4-19
4.4.2 Pinedale till	4-22
4.4.3 Older till.....	4-23
4.4.4 Landslide Deposits.....	4-23
4.4.5 Landslide Shear Zones	4-29
4.4.6 Tertiary porphyry.....	4-29
4.4.7 Weathered Shale	4-29
4.4.8 Competent Mancos Shale.....	4-30

5. SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY [Resource Engineering]	5-1
5.1 General Snodgrass Mountain Hydrology.....	5-1
5.1.1 Key Surface Hydrology Characteristics.....	5-1
5.1.2 Proposed Snowmaking on Snodgrass Mountain	5-1
5.1.3 Spatial extent of proposed trail clearing and snowmaking in relation to microwatersheds	5-2
5.2 Methods—Snodgrass Mountain Surface Hydrology Analysis.....	5-4
5.2.1 Defining the Drainage Network and Sub-Basins.....	5-5
5.2.2 Analyzing Local Precipitation Trends.....	5-6
5.2.3 Analyzing Local Surface Hydrology Characteristics.....	5-12
5.2.3.1 Monitoring local surface hydrology.....	5-12
5.2.4 Caclulating Evapotranspiration Values	5-13
5.3 Results—Snodgrass Mountain Surface Hydrology.....	5-14
5.3.1 WRENSS Modeling Results.....	5-14
5.3.1.1 Watershed A Results.....	5-16
5.3.1.2 Watershed C Results	5-17
5.3.1.3 Watershed E Results.....	5-23
5.3.1.4 Watershed F Results.....	5-24
5.3.2 Streamflow monitoring results and WRENSS model validation	5-27
5.3.2.1 Node A6/ Upper flume monitoring data	5-27
5.3.2.2 Node A3/ Lower flume monitoring data	5-29
5.3.2.3 Washington Gulch at node F2 monitoring data	5-29
5.3.2.4 WRENSS validation results.....	5-33
5.4 Methods—Snodgrass Mountain stream channel/stream stability survey	5-33
5.5 Results-- Snodgrass Mountain stream channel/stream stability survey..	5-34
5.5.1 Node A7 stream channel survey results	5-36
5.5.2 Node A3 stream channel survey results	5-36
5.5.3 Node E2 stream channel survey results	5-36
5.5.4 Node F2 stream channel survey results.....	5-37
5.6 Methods—Snodgrass Mountain hydraulic modeling.....	5-37
5.7 Results—Snodgrass Mountain hydraulic modeling	5-37
5.8 Conclusions—Predicted effects of the proposed action on surface hydrology	5-38
5.8.1 Quantitative effect of trail clearing and snowmaking on runoff and stream stability	5-38
5.8.1.1 Watershed A	5-39
5.8.1.2 Watershed C	5-41
5.8.1.3 Watershed E	5-41
5.8.1.4 Watershed F.....	5-42
5.8.2 Mitigation of any predicted adverse impacts of runoff	5-43
6.0 GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY [HydroGeo]	6-1
6.1 Piezometer Instrumentation and Testing.....	6-1
6.1.1 Piezometers Installed in 1994.....	6-1

6.1.1.1 Piezometer SG-3.....	6-4
6.1.1.2 Piezometer SG-4.....	6-5
6.1.1.3 Piezometer SG-5.....	6-6
6.1.2 Piezometers Installed in 2006-2007.....	6-9
6.1.2.1 Drilling Methodology.....	6-10
6.1.2.2 Piezometer PZ-1	6-12
6.1.2.3 Piezometer PZ-2	6-13
6.1.2.4 Piezometer PZ-3	6-13
6.1.2.5 Piezometer PZ-4	6-14
6.1.2.6 Piezometer PZ-5	6-15
6.1.2.7 Piezometer PZ-6	6-16
6.1.2.7.1 Piezometer PZ-6 ^a	6-16
6.1.2.7.2 Piezometer PZ-6B	6-17
6.1.2.8 Piezometer PZ-7	6-17
6.1.2.9 Piezometer PZ-8	6-18
6.1.2.9.1 Piezometer PZ-8 ^a	6-18
6.1.2.9.2 Piezometer PZ-8B	6-19
6.1.2.10 Piezometer PZ-9	6-20
6.1.2.11 Piezometer PZ-10	6-21
6.1.2.12 Piezometer PZ-11	6-21
6.1.2.13 Piezometer PZ-12	6-22
6.1.2.14 Piezometer PZ-13	6-23
6.1.2.14.1 Piezometer PZ-13 ^a	6-23
6.1.2.14.2 Piezometer PZ-13B	6-24
6.1.2.15 Piezometer PZ-14	6-25
6.1.2.16 Piezometer PZ-15	6-26
6.1.2.17 Piezometer PZ-16	6-27
6.2 Potentiometric Surface of the Groundwater.....	6-28
6.3 Slug Tests	6-33
6.4 Groundwater Recharge, Groundwater Discharge, and Water Balance ..	6-35
6.4.1 Groundwater Recharge from Infiltration of Precipitation and Snowmelt	6-35
6.4.2 Groundwater Discharge	6-36
6.4.3 Water Balance	6-37
6.4.3.1 Recharge to the Groundwater System from Direct Precipitation	6-37
6.4.3.2 Groundwater Flow in the Saturated Sediments in the Upper Landslide Complex	6-38
6.4.3.3 Discharge from the Groundwater System to Surface Water	6-38
6.5 Monitoring and Maintenance	6-39

7. SURFACE WATER-GROUNDWATER INTERACTIONS [GEO-HAZ]	7-1
7.1 Methods	7-1
7.2 Results	7-1
7.2.1 Gaining and losing stream sections interpreted from piezometers near streams	7-1
7.2.2 Response of the water table to infiltration events.....	7-1
7.2.2.1 Response to Spring 2007 snowmelt.....	7-1
7.2.2.2 Response to Summer 2007 rainfall	7-5
http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/snotel/snotel.pl?sitenum=380&state=co 7.2.3 Estimating rise in water levels due to increases in surface water	7-10
7.2.3.1 Estimating groundwater rise as a function of increased runoff	7-11
8. LANDSLIDE STABILITY ANALYSIS [GEO-HAZ]	8-1
8.1. Stability Analysis by Historic Analogy	8-1
8.2 Analysis by Predictive Modeling—Methods	8-3
8.2.1 Construction of geologic cross-sections from boreholes and geophysics	8-3
8.2.2 Geotechnical Testing	8-4
8.2.3 Computer slope stability analysis.....	8-4
8.2.4 Geotechnical Input Parameters.....	8-4
8.2.4.1 Landslide thickness.....	8-5
8.2.4.2 Unit weight	8-5
8.2.4.3 Cohesion	8-6
8.2.4.4 Friction Angle	8-6
8.2.4.5 Saturated zones and pore pressures	8-7
8.3 Analysis by Predictive Modeling-- Results.....	8-9
8.3.1 West Cross-Section	8-9
8.3.1.1 Pre-Development stability	8-16
8.3.1.2 Post-Development stability.....	8-17
8.3.2 Central Cross-Section, North Half.....	8-20
8.3.2.1 Pre-Development stability	8-23
8.3.2.2 Post-Development stability.....	8-23
8.3.3 Central Cross-Section, South Half	8-26
8.3.3.1 Pre-Development stability	8-26
8.3.3.2 Post-Development stability.....	8-26
8.3.4 Ken's Crux landslide (polygon 22)	8-32
8.3.4.1 Pre-Development stability	8-32
8.3.4.2 Post-Development stability.....	8-32
8.3.5 Young earthflow.....	8-37
8.3.2.1 Pre-Development stability	8-37
8.3.2.2 Post-Development stability.....	8-41

8.3.6 Eastern Cross-Section (the East Slide).....	8-42
8.3.6.1 Pre-Development stability	8-47
8.3.6.2 Post-Development.....	8-48
8.4 Potential of new shallow landslides (infinite-slope failures such as the Gold Link slide of 2000)	8-49
8.4.1 Background.....	8-49
8.4.2 Results	8-52
8.5 Variations in future Factor of Safety with time	8-52
9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDED MITIGATION	9-1
9.1 Conclusions	9-1
9.1 Western Cross-Section.....	9-2
9.2 Central Cross-Section.....	9-2
9.3 Eastern Cross-Section.....	9-5
9.4 Philosophy of Mitigation.....	9-7
10. CHANGES MADE TO TRAIL AND SNOWMAKING LAYOUT	10-1
11. REFERENCES	11-1