

# Subsistence

## Comment # Comment

- 0028-003 Discourage subsistence settlers by giving greater protection to the Forest's wildlife.
- 0051-003 7. Stop the "federal preference" to rural users - People in Valdez were treated unfairly on last fall's deer season.
- 0063-001 What I would like to see incorporated into any Land Management Plan is a plan which allows the take of any fish and game. I agree that this should be monitored and carefully planned so that any resource is protected through proper management which would prohibit resource depletion. Call it subsistence or hunting and fishing, it really doesn't matter. I do feel strongly that those indigenous lands should be available for responsible subsistence hunting.
- 0246-006 It is also important to me that subsistence issues be addressed.
- 0289-001 Do we "sacrifice" any local economic/social values (ie, jobs, quality of life - which may include subsistence opportunities) for the good of national representation or "warm fuzzy" feelings of commercial interests or NGOs.
- 0349-002 . Federal Subsistence issue. A. Commercial fisherman. ADFG Comm. fish Dept.
- 0377-001 1. A. Relationship of wildlife habitat with human use. How will FS meet the mandated subsistence needs. Cooper Landing could make a case ,if fisheries is included in subsistence, that they want a fisheries in Cooper Creek, based on customary & traditional use.
- 0377-004 3. A. coordination with subsistence board, AKF & G goals, local community (timber) subsistence needs. Example: Hope residents ask for houselogs as per CFR. Their needs should be met timely, relatively near their residence in a coordinated, planned manner.
- 0392-001 Subsistence priority for public lands.
- 0400-015 Subsistence: The plan must recognize subsistence fish and game harvesting as a priority use of the forest and ensure that legally-mandated protections for subsistence are met. In particular, actions that adversely affect subsistence are justifiable only if they are necessary to achieve some other unavoidable management mandate.
- 0423-003 1) Fish and Wildlife Habitat Management a) Subsistence opportunities The Native communities of Prince William Sound deserve to have the Plan address the ways in which the Forest Service will see to it that future Chugach forest management will not be conducted in a manner that will curtail their ANILCA-protected subsistence opportunities. The same goes for any of the non-Native communities on the east Kenai road grid which may be considered "rural communities" within the meaning of ANILCA.
- 0451-005 5. Hope residents demand that the VSFS manage the Forest to protect our subsistence activities of mining. fish & game utilization, use of forest products and access to all Kenai Peninsula! Hope is a Rural Subsistence comm.
- 0479-037 Subsistence: Title VIII of ANILCA directs the Forest Service to protect existing and future subsistence opportunities on the Forest. Any significant resource development or habitat manipulation proposed in the revision process must address how these actions will impact subsistence resources, what the alternatives are, and how the Forest Service intends to mitigate these impacts.
- 0781-027 ACE Would like the Forest Service to ensure its compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA, which provides direction to protect existing and future subsistence opportunities, by addressing the issue within the cumulative Analyses, requested. Projects proposed on the forest which may endanger subsistence opportunities are unacceptable. Alaskans, those who have lived here for thousands of years and those who came later, have a tradition of utilizing the resources the land and waters provide. These uses are, in general, much mote compatible with maintaining wildlife viability, watershed quality and ecosystem, health than most other uses on the forest. In the past, the Forest Service has addressed the issue of subsistence in various proposals, however, assurances for mitigation have been week. ACE would like the Forest Service to seriously consider potential impacts to subsistence in each of its management alternatives with an equal consideration given to mitigation.
- 0820-048 subsistence: Many of ACE's members utilize resources from the forest to maintain a subsistence lifestyle. ACE would like the Forest Service to ensure its compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA, which provides direction to protect existing and future subsistence opportunities, by addressing the issue within the cumulative analyses requested. Projects proposed on the forest, which may endanger subsistence opportunities are unacceptable. Alaskans, those who have lived here for thousands of years and those who came later, have a tradition of utilizing the resources the land and waters provide. These uses are, in general, much more compatible with maintaining wildlife viability, watershed Quality and ecosystem health than most other uses on the forest. In the past, the Forest Service has addressed the issue of subsistence in various proposals, however, assurances for mitigation have been weak. ACE would like the Forest Service to seriously consider potential impacts to subsistence in each of its

***Comment # Comment***

0862-013      Subsistence – fish and game, how relates to other management priorities.