

SAR - FP - Interests - Private Property Rights

Comment # Comment

0005679-001

The purchase of the development rights from Chugach by the Fed. Government makes good economic sense.

0008204-001

I support the idea of purchasing development rights with federal funds, to preserve this pristine land while supporting the native community.

0008275-001

Buy whatever land is needed to protect these [Illegible] lands from logging and mining.

0026529-001

Under ANILCA I thought all further withdraws had to have Congressional approval? As usual the current administration is circumventing the law to appease the environmental movement! Enough is enough I and most true Alaskans cannot support this new plan we already have more land locked away in this state than all other states combined?

0026811-005

6. There is no analysis of the impacts Wilderness designations would have on access to private inholdings, adjacent private lands, or potentially developable land within the forest. To suggest that these rights are fully protected by the Plan is simply wrong. The EIS fails to address the increased, costs and strong likelihood that seemingly authorized activities will, in fact, be prohibited as a practical (and perhaps legal) matter by the Plan. To delay the realization of a right, or to erect nearly insurmountable hurdles, amounts to the denial of a right.

0027045-002

As citizens of Alaska and the Borough, we use to have a property RIGHT amounting to 35% of the market value of all the spruce trees in that vast Federal acreage. So far as I know, we still have that RIGHT.

'WHAT MORAL RIGHT, OR LEGAL AUTHORITY, DID YOU HAVE, AND DO YOU HAVE, FOR CREATING AND MAINTAINING A SET OF CONDITIONS, LOCALLY, WHICH THREATENS OUR LIVES, OUR PROPERTIES AND ESPECIALLY, THE LIVES OF OUR CHILDREN?'

You've depreciated the value of all private property in the area as well as the public's.