

# *SAR - Forest Plan - Chapter 3 - 441*

## *Comment #    Comment*

---

- 0026812-006    Many recreational activities (lodges, cabins, campgrounds, boat landings, etc.) would not be allowed in much of the forest.
- 0026814-003    -- Facility development in PWS, such as lodges on non-forest service lands only
- 0027589-009    The plan does not seem to address the current plans to develop the Turnagain Pass Area, the current proposal should be evaluated to see what effect they should have on the proposed plan revision and then should be circulated to the public for comment.
- 0028289-006    -- As mentioned above, the non-USFS land affords ample opportunity for development. The preferred alternative's recommended two lodges are excessive and unnecessary. The one Esther Island site identified in the 1984 CNF plan and in DNR's PWS Area Plan offers ample opportunity in the event that private and state opportunities are exhausted.
- 0029310-002    I strongly agree with my wife's above statement. In addition, I would love to see all of Prince William Sound put off - limits to development.
- 0034973-003    Remove RNA prescription from Coeur D'Alane, Grandview, Primrose campground.
- 0036324-008    Comment #3: Create a 'Developed Recreation Complex' at Turnagain Pass. The Forest Service proposed a development at Turnagain Pass for recreational activities about ten years ago, yet it is not shown on the map of the Preferred Alternative for some reason. The Turnagain Pass area with its developed road access and proximity to treeline and hiking trails offers a vastly superior site for a developed recreation complex for both summer and winter use than a 'whistle-stop campground site' along the rail corridor. A Turnagain Pass site would be much easier to develop and maintain than a remote area such as Spencer or Grandview and it does not have a conflict with the Alaska Railroad or mining activities.