SAR - Forest Plan - Chapter 3 - 314

Comment #	Comment
0027080-007	Specific improvements that I recommend for the Preferred Alternative are: Replanting of forests after logging.
0028328-035	The Forest restoration prescription is a misnomer, as detailed below under salvage logging. This prescription should not be used on the forest , especially in RARE II areas. The prescription allows for roads and the preferredalternative places the prescription in roadless areas, contradictory to the intent of the roadless plan.
0029471-007	I am encouraged to see no ASQ on the Forest, I'm still concerned about forest health rhetoric, however, which appears in the Forest Restoration prescription, There should be no salvage logging on the Chugach. There is no evidence to show that it is beneficial for the forest, however the associated roads, loss of habitat, soils compaction, increased access, fragmented habitat and potential stream degradation all contribute to a general decline in forest productivity for fish and wildlife.
0035025-005	This plan does not have a decent forest restoration Pla. It also does not provide access to be able to implement one.
0036011-001	. In closing, I would like to see the intent of the Roadless Plan carried out in the forest plan by excluding salvage logging in these areas. Although proposed for purposes of 'forest restoration,' this rhetoric only fuels controversial logging plans.