

Appendix A – Scoping Comments

Scoping

NEPA requires that the public and other agencies be involved in federal agency decision-making. An important part of this process is scoping. CEQ regulations refer to scoping as a process to determine the scope of the issues to be addressed in an EIS and to identify the significant issues related to a proposed action (40 CFR 1501.7). The major steps in the scoping process for this EIS included:

The project was listed in the Chugach National Forest schedule of proposed NEPA projects in January of 2003. This list is sent to approximately 300 people.

A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS was published in the Federal Register on May 15, 2003 (Volume 68, Number 94).

Four public scoping meetings were held at Girdwood, Seward, Moose Pass and Hope.

A notice describing the proposal, outlining the NEPA review process, and inviting comment was distributed to media outlets, agencies, groups, and individuals on October 31, 2002. The Districts received 221 comment letters or e-mails from federal and state agencies (3 letters/e-mails), organizations (14 letters/e-mails), and individuals (204 letters/e-mails).

An interactive data base was developed.

The Forest Service interdisciplinary team internally reviewed the proposal and scoping comments.

As a result of the scoping process, three significant issues were identified (see Chapter 1).

Alternatives

Based on the preliminary issues, the Interdisciplinary Team developed five alternatives to the proposed action submitted by CPG. These alternatives include the “No Action” alternative as required by NEPA (1502.14(d)). These alternatives were sent to interested parties for review. Four public meetings to review the proposed alternatives were held at Girdwood, Seward, Moose Pass and Hope. Fifty written responses were received.

Methods for Classifying Comments

Comments have been received in two categories: (1) scoping comments regarding Proposals 1 and 2 and (2) comments regarding the range of alternatives. Comments were read and categorized in the following manner:

1. All comments were electronically scanned.
2. Comments were separated depending on whether the comment was in response to proposals or alternatives.
3. Comments outside the scoping dates of October 31, 2002 through December 6, 2002 for comments relating to the proposal and the dates of May 15, 2003 through June 6, 2003 for comments relating to the alternatives were not included.
4. Comments within the scoping periods were read to determine the scope and the significant issues to be analyzed.
5. Comments were indexed and categorized in a database according to the significant issues (wildlife, recreational conflict, impacts to communities, and other issues) to be analyzed.
6. Access reports were run to define the scope and significant issues.
7. An index was created listing all comments received within the scoping periods.

The following are examples of the reports used by the interdisciplinary team to review scoping and alternative comments.

Core and Exploratory Units Comments

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Anchorage/Wasilla/Eagle River		Alaska Department of Fish and Game	"No fly zones" have been established by USFS and ADF&G by delineating important mountain goat habitat. ADF&G recommends that helicopter operations be prohibited in the established "no fly zones" with some exceptions. Limited helicopter operations in the southernmost portion of "no fly zone" #19.
		Alaska Mountain Wilderness Huts Association	Concern about the conflict between the CPG proposals and the AMWHTH Associations plans in the Ptarmigan and Snow River Zones. Concern about noise and wildlife. Suggestions regarding how to resolve conflicts between these two users.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's operation. Concerns regarding impacts to all wildlife and recreation conflicts with day users. Recommends considering an alternative that addresses the level of client days between the 1999-2002 seasons.
		AQRC	Concern regarding noise in the Bench Peak area. Concern regarding the number of client days under Alternative 4. Would like to see the number of client days reduced to 500-800. Concern regarding day use recreation conflicts.
		Alaska Department of Fish and Game	Supports five year permit for CPG. Recommends a three year survey for moose, bear, and goats for the exploratory zones of Seattle Creek, Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, Snow River, and Mt. Ascension. Recommends limited landings in the drainages of the exploratory areas until the survey has been completed.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding all wildlife; including, Moose, Brown Bears, Black Bears, Wolverine, Raptors and other birds, Goats, Sheep, lynx, and wolves. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with non-motorized use.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Arnett

Hans

Concern about the noise in the Turnagain area. Concern about the conflicts with day users in the areas of Bench Peak and the eastern one-half of the Mt. Ascension area. Proposal 2 would bring all of these problems closer to Seward. Seattle Creek, Bench Creek, Mt. Ascension, Kern Creek, Ingram Creek, and Mile 62 Gravel Pit areas should not be permitted to CPG.

Brekken

Josh

Concern about the increased number of user days. Concern about the increased areas. Concern about conflict with day users in the Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Bench Peak, and Glacier Winner Area. Concern about the effects on wildlife.

Butera

Bob

Opposes CPG access near Sunrise.

Cummings

Terry

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, wildlife. Would like to see an EIS completed before a permit is issued.

Edwards

Karin

Concern regarding the inclusion of Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Snow River, Bench Peak, and Ptarmigan area.

Evans

David and Diana

Opposes Seattle Creek in both proposals.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Garrigus

Julie

Supports alternative 5.

Giefer

Joe

Concerned about day use conflicts in Seattle Creek, Pyramid Peak, and Alpenglow Peak.

Gruhn

Steven

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern about litter in the Glacier Winner area.

Lynch

Kevin

Concern about the effects on goat and sheep populations in the Snow River and Ptarmigan areas. Concern about the impact on the Hut to Hut proposal.

Maclean

Amy

Supports CPG, but concerns about wildlife/access.

Maserjian

Lisa

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Skookum Placer area, Bench Peak, and West 20 Mile due to conflicts with day users.

Mason

Jullian

Supports proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding conflicts with day users. Would like to eliminate Snow River and Mt. Ascension from the exploratory areas due to conflicts with day users.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Meiwes

John

Opposes CPG operating in Seattle Creek because of conflicts with day users.

Norquist

Mark

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek, Alpenglow, and Pyramid Peak area due to conflicts with day users.

Pauls

Teri

Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and recreational user conflicts in the Snow River, Mt. Ascension, and 20 Mile areas. Concern regarding increasing user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.

Petry

Dave

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Skibo

Bobbie Jo

Opposes any expansion for CPG. Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and motorized use.

Snyder

Gary

Concern regarding noise impacts to recreation and wildlife in the Snow River, Placer Valley, Grandview, and Bench Mountain Areas.

Spencer

Page

Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users in the areas near the roads. Concerns about wildlife and goats in the all areas under consideration. Would like to see environmental impact statement completed before permits issued.

Community

Last Name
Sutherland

Author's Name
Bob

Document Summary
Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Sutherland

Bob

Supports alternative 5 with the deletion of Seattle Creek and the mile 62 staging area. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users.

Twardock

Paul

Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users, impacts to wildlife, amount of motorized use areas, increased user days from 1999-2002 seasons, and noise.

Wolfe

John

Concern regarding the allocation of client days. Also concern regarding the Iditarod Trail and the Hut to Hut Proposal.

Cooper Landing

Cooper Landing Fish
and Game Advisory
Committee

Concern regarding Dall Sheep, goats, moose, wolverine, and bear dens. All exploratory areas should be surveyed for wildlife prior to authorizing a permit.

Girdwood/Indian/Bird Creek

Anderson

Eugene

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Bailly	Thomas	Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Snow River, and Bench Peak. Concern about the conflict of interest between the Hut to Hut proposal and CPG's expansion into the Snow River area.
Brokaw	Dan	Opposes any expansion for CPG.
Brokaw	Dan	Concern regarding encroachment on day users and noise in Girdwood.
Burnett	Brian	Supports Alternative 3.
Dudley	Dave	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
Dudley	Kris	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Dudley

Ben

Concern regarding Seattle Creek.

Dugan

Bob

Opposes proposals 1 and 2.

Ellis

Ethan

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.

Freeman

Phillip

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Gillum

Stretch

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern about the noise in Girdwood and conflicts with day users.

Gross

Jared

Any increase in snowmachine use in the Placer Drainage area supports CPG's proposal to expand into other areas. However, Seattle Creek should not be allowed under the permit. However, Alpenglow may be a possible solution for their expansion.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Hanson

Tim

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Hillard

Scott

Supports CPG expansion into the 20 Mile, Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, Snow River, and Seattle Creek. No concern with wildlife impacts.

Hubbard

Connie

Opposes expanding CPG's permit.

James

Rachel

Supports alternative 6. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with recreational day users. Seattle Creek and Moose Creek should not be included.

Kline

Matt

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Kropke

Matt

Supports alternative 5.

Community

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Kropke	Matt	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users
Mace	Thom	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. CPG has does not add increased noise and operates in a manner that improves safety in the backcountry.
McPhie	Garth	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
Nissman	Jeff	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
Olson	Kjerstin	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users
Page	Jon	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Patrick

Shance

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Polk

Ron

Supports proposal 2 with the exception of Mt. Ascension and Seattle Creek. Benefits Girdwood economy.

Sasse

Dan

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.

Schilling

Dan

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.

Shafner

William

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Sherman

Jeff

Opposes expansion into the Glacier and Winner Drainage areas and the West Twenty Mile area. Concern about noise and conflicts with day users.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Theusel

Stacy

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Thomas

Joe

Concern about conflict of use with day users in the Mt. Alpenglow, Seattle Creek, and Bench Creek areas. Concern regarding safety of non-helicopter skiers with regards to avalanches

Winkler

Candace

Supports 5 year permit for CPG, but opposes expanded area.

Wunnicke

Paul

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek and Mt. Ascension. Support expansion into Moose Creek.

Zaccaro

Marco

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. No adverse impact of day users in core areas.

Homer

Martin

Mildred

Opposes the inclusion of the Moose Creek and Ptarmigan areas. Support the yearly issuance of permits.

*Community
Hope*

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Adventure Alaska

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek area due to noise issues with the community.

Adventure Alaska

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek area due to noise issues with the community.

Green

Hugh

Opposes inclusion of Seattle Creek. Concern regarding conflict with day users.

Moose Pass

Gaule

John

Supports CPG to operate in the core areas of Glacier, Winner Creek, West 20 Mile, North 20 Mile, East 20 Mile, Placer, Bench Creek, and Grandview. Concerned about the noise in the Moose Pass area

Lindquist

Heather

Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding noise, pollution, wildlife, and avalanche safety.

Lindquist

Irene

Opposes expansion into the Ptarmigan, Snow River, Mt. Ascension and Moose Creek areas. Concern regarding noise in the Girdwood community.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

O'Brien

Ellen

Opposes any expansion into Moose Pass.

Rosenfanz

Hope

Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones under proposals 1 or 2. There has been no research done on the effects of heli-skiing on the Dall Sheep populations. The Forest Service is acting unfairly by restricting snowmachining yet proposing to allow heli-skiing. The noise caused by heli-skiing would be burdensome to the Moose Pass community. There is no economic benefit of expanding CPG's permit to the Moose Pass residents.

Satuble

Mark

Opposes expansion into the Moose Pass area because of noise and impacts to wildlife.

No Address Given

Americus

Leo

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek and Skookum Placer area.

Boucher

Tina

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding conflicts with day users in the Seattle Creek, Bench Creek, Lynx Creek, Johnson Pass, and Silvertip. Concerns about wildlife, including, wolverine and goats.

Burkholder

Sally

Opposes CPG expansion into the any areas near road access. These areas include ski side of Turnagain Pass, Portage Valley, Skookum, and Spencer areas.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Dewane

Dennis

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Flowers

Chris

Concern regarding encroachment on day users, crowding, and trash left behind by CPG.

Goldmann

Edward

Supports Proposal 2 with the exception of the Seattle Creek Area.

Grant

Laura

Opposes CPG expansion into the Snow River and Ptarmigan areas. Concern about conflict between CPG and Hut to Hut in these areas.

Jones

Matt & Anne Wilkas

Opposes any expansion into the Seattle Creek area. Concern regarding conflicts with day users.

Siem

Kwie

Opposes expansion into the Snow River area.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Other Alaska Towns</i>	Carol	Alisa	Recommends issuance of a one year permit. Recommends an increase in non-motorized areas.
	Wells	Kathy	Concern regarding noise, day users, wildlife
	Wunnicke	Paul	Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding non-motorized use for Bench Peak, Placer-Skookum areas, and Mt. Ascension. Concern regarding increase of user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.
<i>Seward</i>	LeVan	Duane	Has concerns about how take offs and landings will affect wildlife around the Moose Pass area.
	McRae	Doug	Opposes expansion into Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, and Snow River.
	Page	John	Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones. Recommends 1400 client days be granted for proposal 1 and 1800 client days be granted for proposal 2.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Sunrise</i>	Leamy	Mark	Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle Creek area. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users.
	Leamy	Marion	Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle Creek area. Concerns about avalanche safety, noise, and conflicts with day users.

Impacts to Wildlife Comments

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Anchorage/Wasilla/Eagle River		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's operation. Concerns regarding impacts to all wildlife and recreation conflicts with day users. Recommends considering an alternative that addresses the level of client days between the 1999-2002 seasons.
		Alaska Department of Fish and Game	Supports five year permit for CPG. Recommends a three year survey for moose, bear, and goats for the exploratory zones of Seattle Creek, Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, Snow River, and Mt. Ascension. Recommends limited landings in the drainages of the exploratory areas until the survey has been completed.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding all wildlife; including, Moose, Brown Bears, Black Bears, Wolverine, Raptors and other birds, Goats, Sheep, lynx, and wolves. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with non-motorized use.
		Alaska Department of Fish and Game	"No fly zones" have been established by USFS and ADF&G by delineating important mountain goat habitat. ADF&G recommends that helicopter operations be prohibited in the established "no fly zones" with some exceptions. Limited helicopter operations in the southernmost portion of "no fly zone" #19.
		Alaska Quiet Rights Coalition	Concern regarding noise in all of the proposed areas. Concern regarding noise impacts to recreationalists and wildlife. Recommends an EIS be completed prior to the issuance of a permit.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Bergt

Steven

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife, noise, and environmental impact statement.

Bitlingmaier

Kurt

Supports proposal 1. Has concerns about wildlife, noise, and effects on day users.

Brekken

Josh

Concern about the increased number of user days. Concern about the increased areas. Concern about conflict with day users in the Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Bench Peak, and Glacier Winner Area. Concern about the effects on wildlife.

Cummings

Terry

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, wildlife. Would like to see an EIS completed before a permit is issued.

Fitzgerald

Kim

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise impacts on wildlife and effects on other users.

Gwartney

Frank

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife and conflict with non-motorized users.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Hansen

Lena

Opposes expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding day use recreation and wildlife.

Lusch

Mark

Opposes the introduction of any more motorized use on the CNF. Concern about wildlife. Would like to see an Environmental Impact Statement before the issuance of any permit.

Lynch

Kevin

Concern about the effects on goat and sheep populations in the Snow River and Ptarmigan areas. Concern about the impact on the Hut to Hut proposal.

Maclean

Amy

Supports CPG, but concerns about wildlife/access.

Mjos

Peter

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, safety, wildlife, and conflicts with day users.

Nelson

Gretchen

Concerned about the noise and effect of noise on the wildlife around Girdwood and other places CPG plans to fly.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Olsen

Susen

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding wildlife and noise.

Pauls

Teri

Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and recreational user conflicts in the Snow River, Mt. Ascension, and 20 Mile areas. Concern regarding increasing user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.

Rodgers

Greg and Theresa

Concern about rescues and effects on wildlife.

Skibo

Bobbie Jo

Opposes any expansion for CPG. Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and motorized use.

Snyder

Gary

Concern regarding noise impacts to recreation and wildlife in the Snow River, Placer Valley, Grandview, and Bench Mountain Areas.

Thorson

Scott

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. No concern about wildlife.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
	Twardock	Paul	Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users, impacts to wildlife, amount of motorized use areas, increased user days from 1999-2002 seasons, and noise.
<i>Cooper Landing</i>		Cooper Landing Fish and Game Advisory Committee	Concern regarding Dall Sheep, goats, moose, wolverine, and bear dens. All exploratory areas should be surveyed for wildlife prior to authorizing a permit.
<i>Girdwood/Indian/Bird Creek</i>		Alyeska Resort	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.
	Daniels	Larry	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. Expanded use will offer benefits to wildlife and day users.
	Descutner	Gordon	Supports CPG proposal 2.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Dugan

Bob

Opposes proposals 1 and 2.

Hammel

Robert

Supports proposal 2. Economic benefits to Girdwood and Anchorage. CPG has a proven safety record.

Hubbard

Connie

Opposes expanding CPG's permit.

McCoy

George

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Persons

Bob

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Wuerth

Soren

Concerns regarding avalanche safety, wildlife, and noise.

Community
Lower 48 States

Last Name	Author's Name	Document Summary
Denison	Mr. and Mrs. J.L.	The noise and pollution of heli-skiing disrupts wildlife. Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2.
Griffin	Phillip	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. Economic and safety benefits support the issuance of a permit.
Petty	Clarence	Opposes all alternatives and the issuance of a 5 year permit.

Moose Pass

	Moose Pass Community	Supports alternative 4.
Gaule	John	Supports CPG to operate in the core areas of Glacier, Winner Creek, West 20 Mile, North 20 Mile, East 20 Mile, Placer, Bench Creek, and Grandview. Concerned about the noise in the Moose Pass area

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Kaiser

JJ

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern about wildlife, noise, and the effects on the ecosystem.

Lindquist

Heather

Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding noise, pollution, wildlife, and avalanche safety.

Lindquist

Tom

Opposes CPG expansion into the Moose Pass area. Concern regarding wildlife, noise, and safety.

Lindquist

Gary

Concern regarding effects on wildlife and helicopter competition. Forest Service is proposing snow machine closures but is considering allowing helicopter skiing. Opposes CPG operating in the Moose Pass area.

O'Brien

Ellen

Supports alternative 1. Concern regarding noise in Moose Pass.

O'Brien

Ellen

Opposes any expansion into Moose Pass.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Odhner

Jan

An EIS should be performed before any expansion of CPG's permit is allowed. The use of helicopters in the exploratory areas is disturbing to day users.

Prochazka

Thomas

Opposes CPG expansion into the Moose Pass area due to the increase noise and the effects on wildlife.

Rosenfanz

Hope

Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones under proposals 1 or 2. There has been no research done on the effects of heli-skiing on the Dall Sheep populations. The Forest Service is acting unfairly by restricting snowmachining yet proposing to allow heli-skiing. The noise caused by heli-skiing would be burdensome to the Moose Pass community. There is no economic benefit of expanding CPG's permit to the Moose Pass residents.

Satuble

Mark

Opposes expansion into the Moose Pass area because of noise and impacts to wildlife.

Shafer

David

Opposes expanding CPG's permit into Moose Pass.

Community

Last Name
Smeriglio

Author's Name
R

Document Summary
Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns about wildlife.

No Address Given

Central Peninsula Fish
and Game Advisory
Committee

Supports CPG proposal 1. Concerns
regarding wildlife.

Other Alaska Towns

Lacey

Dave

Concern regarding motorized use on wildlife.

Vrsalovic

Ric

Opposes any increase in client days

Wells

Kathy

Concern regarding noise, day users, wildlife

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Seward</i>	LeVan	Duane	Has concerns about how take offs and landings will affect wildlife around the Moose Pass area.
	McRae	Doug	Opposes expansion into Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, and Snow River.
	Wright	Ami	Concern about wildlife and noise.

Impacts to Communities Comments

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Anchorage/Wasilla/Eagle River</i>		Alaska Quiet Rights Coalition	Concern regarding noise in all of the proposed areas. Concern regarding noise impacts to recreationalists and wildlife. Recommends an EIS be completed prior to the issuance of a permit.
		Alaska Mountain Wilderness Huts Association	Concern about the conflict between the CPG proposals and the AMWHTH Associations plans in the Ptarmigan and Snow River Zones. Concern about noise and wildlife. Suggestions regarding how to resolve conflicts between these two users.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's operation. Concerns regarding impacts to all wildlife and recreation conflicts with day users. Recommends considering an alternative that addresses the level of client days between the 1999-2002 seasons.
		AQRC	Concern regarding noise in the Bench Peak area. Concern regarding the number of client days under Alternative 4. Would like to see the number of client days reduced to 500-800. Concern regarding day use recreation conflicts.
		Kenai Fjords National Park Service	Concern regarding noise impacts on the National Park if Seward is a staging area for CPG

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding all wildlife; including, Moose, Brown Bears, Black Bears, Wolverine, Raptors and other birds, Goats, Sheep, lynx, and wolves. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with non-motorized use.
	Arnett	Hans	Concern about the noise in the Turnagain area. Concern about the conflicts with day users in the areas of Bench Peak and the eastern one-half of the Mt. Ascension area. Proposal 2 would bring all of these problems closer to Seward. Seattle Creek, Bench Creek, Mt. Ascension, Kern Creek, Ingram Creek, and Mile 62 Gravel Pit areas should not be permitted to CPG.
	Bergt	Steven	Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife, noise, and environmental impact statement.
	Cook	Joseph	Opposes increasing the number of client days for CPG because of the increase in noise.
	Fitzgerald	Kim	Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise impacts on wildlife and effects on other users.
	Freitag	Phillip	Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Gwartney

Frank

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife and conflict with non-motorized users.

Herminghaus

Trisha

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise and the ratio of motorized to non-motorized use in the CNF.

Lusch

Mark

Opposes the introduction of any more motorized use on the CNF. Concern about wildlife. Would like to see an Environmental Impact Statement before the issuance of any permit.

Lynch

Kevin

Concern about the effects on goat and sheep populations in the Snow River and Ptarmigan areas. Concern about the impact on the Hut to Hut proposal.

Mjos

Peter

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, safety, wildlife, and conflicts with day users.

Nelson

Gretchen

Concerned about the noise and effect of noise on the wildlife around Girdwood and other places CPG plans to fly.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Olsen

Susen

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding wildlife and noise.

Skibo

Bobbie Jo

Opposes any expansion for CPG. Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and motorized use.

Snyder

Gary

Concern regarding noise impacts to recreation and wildlife in the Snow River, Placer Valley, Grandview, and Bench Mountain Areas.

Spencer

Page

Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users in the areas near the roads. Concerns about wildlife and goats in the all areas under consideration. Would like to see environmental impact statement completed before permits issued.

Stockert

Clare

Would like to see EIS before permit is issued.

Sutherland

Bob

Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Sutherland

Bob

Supports alternative 5 with the deletion of Seattle Creek and the mile 62 staging area. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users.

Swanson

Bonnie

Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Twardock

Paul

Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users, impacts to wildlife, amount of motorized use areas, increased user days from 1999-2002 seasons, and noise.

Girdwood/Indian/Bird Creek

Anderson

Eugene

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Biorn Roli

Per

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Brokaw

Dan

Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Brokaw

Dan

Concern regarding encroachment on day users and noise in Girdwood.

Bronson

Michael

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern with the amount of motorized use on the CNF. Concern with noise and disturbance to day users.

Dalzell

Mike

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding the amount of noise in Girdwood.

Daniels

Larry

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. Expanded use will offer benefits to wildlife and day users.

Davidson

Michael

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. CPG is economically beneficially to Alaska.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Descutner

Gordon

Supports CPG proposal 2.

Frankevich

Joan

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerned about use levels being set too high.

Gillum

Stretch

Concern regarding increased noise in Girdwood.

Gillum

Stretch

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern about the noise in Girdwood and conflicts with day users.

Hillard

Scott

Supports CPG expansion into the 20 Mile, Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, Snow River, and Seattle Creek. No concern with wildlife impacts.

Hubbard

Connie

Opposes expanding CPG's permit.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Livingston

Phil and Diana

Supports proposal 2. Noise is not an issue in Girdwood.

Mace

Thom

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. CPG has does not add increased noise and operates in a manner that improves safety in the backcountry.

Polk

Ron

Supports proposal 2 with the exception of Mt. Ascension and Seattle Creek. Benefits Girdwood economy.

Sherman

Jeff

Opposes expansion into the Glacier and Winner Drainage areas and the West Twenty Mile area. Concern about noise and conflicts with day users.

Sherman

Jean

Supports CPG's proposal 1. Would like to see a EIS before a 5 year permit is issued. Concern about increased noise from expanded permit in Girdwood.

Tryck

Lauri

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Wuerth

Soren

Concerns regarding avalanche safety, wildlife, and noise.

Wunnicke

Paul

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek and Mt. Ascension. Support expansion into Moose Creek.

Hope

Adventure Alaska

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek area due to noise issues with the community.

Adventure Alaska

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek area due to noise issues with the community.

Leany

Marvin

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern about avalanche safety and noise.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Kodiak</i>	Rosenkranz	Greg	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.
<i>Lower 48 States</i>			
	Denison	Mr. and Mrs. J.L.	The noise and pollution of heli-skiing disrupts wildlife. Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2.
	Schure	Garett	Supports alternative 3. Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users.
<i>Moose Pass</i>			
		Moose Pass Community	Supports alternative 4.
	Follett	Jeanne	Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. The areas under proposals 1 and 2 are for non-motorized use. To allow CPG to operate in these areas would have the effect of allowing a specific user group the only access to these areas.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Gaule

John

Supports CPG to operate in the core areas of Glacier, Winner Creek, West 20 Mile, North 20 Mile, East 20 Mile, Placer, Bench Creek, and Grandview. Concerned about the noise in the Moose Pass area

Gaule

Ann

Does not support CPG around Moose Pass.

Gaule

John

Concern about noise in the Moose Pass Area. Supports CPG to keep its core zones. Does not support the inclusion of exploratory zones.

Kaiser

JJ

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern about wildlife, noise, and the effects on the ecosystem.

Knotek

Erin

Opposes CPG operating around Moose Pass.

Lindquist

Julie

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise in Moose Pass, safety, and effects on wildlife.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Lindquist

Irene

Opposes expansion into the Ptarmigan, Snow River, Mt. Ascension and Moose Creek areas. Concern regarding noise in the Girdwood community.

Lindquist

Heather

Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding noise, pollution, wildlife, and avalanche safety.

Lindquist

Tom

Opposes CPG expansion into the Moose Pass area. Concern regarding wildlife, noise, and safety.

Lindquist

Gary

Concern regarding effects on wildlife and helicopter competition. Forest Service is proposing snow machine closures but is considering allowing helicopter skiing. Opposes CPG operating in the Moose Pass area.

Milam

April

Supports alternative 1. Concerns regarding regulation, noise, Moose Pass.

Mitchell

Jeff

Opposes Proposal 1 and 2 due to the increase in noise in the Moose Pass area and the marginal economic benefit to the Moose Pass area.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

O'Brien

Ellen

Supports alternative 1. Concern regarding noise in Moose Pass.

O'Brien

Ellen

Opposes any expansion into Moose Pass.

Odhmer

Judith

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Opposes any helicopters in Moose Pass.

Odhner

Jan

An EIS should be performed before any expansion of CPG's permit is allowed. The use of helicopters in the exploratory areas is disturbing to day users.

Prochazka

Thomas

Opposes CPG expansion into the Moose Pass area due to the increase noise and the effects on wildlife.

Reynolds

Richard

Opposes CPG expansion into Moose Pass. Concern regarding noise.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Rosenfanz

Hope

Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones under proposals 1 or 2. There has been no research done on the effects of heli-skiing on the Dall Sheep populations. The Forest Service is acting unfairly by restricting snowmachining yet proposing to allow heli-skiing. The noise caused by heli-skiing would be burdensome to the Moose Pass community. There is no economic benefit of expanding CPG's permit to the Moose Pass residents.

Satuble

Mark

Opposes expansion into the Moose Pass area because of noise and impacts to wildlife.

Shafer

David

Opposes expanding CPG's permit into Moose Pass.

Whitmore-Painter

Ann

Concern about noise and maintenance of airport.

No Address Given

Colter

Alan

Concern about areas accessible from the road.

Community

Last Name
Herschleb

Author's Name
Anne

Document Summary
Concern regarding Girdwood Airport.

Moody

Josh

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise and motorized use.

Other Alaska Towns

Wells

Kathy

Concern regarding noise, day users, wildlife

Seward

Kenai Fjords National
Park

Concern regarding noise and visual degradation if permit is granted to the Mt. Ascension areas. Proposes no travel through the Resurrection River Corridor to alleviate visual and noise concerns.

McRae

Doug

Opposes expansion into Moose Creek, Ptarmigan, and Snow River.

Community

Last Name
Wright

Author's Name
Ami

Document Summary
Concern about wildlife and noise.

Sunrise

Dupier

John

Concern about noise in the Sunrise area.
Does not support CPG operating near
Sunrise.

Leamy

Mark

Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle
Creek area. Concern regarding noise and
conflicts with day users.

Leamy

Marion

Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle
Creek area. Concerns about avalanche
safety, noise, and conflicts with day users.

Recreation Conflicts Comments

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Anchorage/Wasilla/Eagle River</i>		Alaska Mountain Wilderness Huts Association	Concern about the conflict between the CPG proposals and the AMWHTH Associations plans in the Ptarmigan and Snow River Zones. Concern about noise and wildlife. Suggestions regarding how to resolve conflicts between these two users.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding all wildlife; including, Moose, Brown Bears, Black Bears, Wolverine, Raptors and other birds, Goats, Sheep, lynx, and wolves. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with non-motorized use.
		AQRC	Concern regarding noise in the Bench Peak area. Concern regarding the number of client days under Alternative 4. Would like to see the number of client days reduced to 500-800. Concern regarding day use recreation conflicts.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's operation. Concerns regarding impacts to all wildlife and recreation conflicts with day users. Recommends considering an alternative that addresses the level of client days between the 1999-2002 seasons.
	Arnett	Hans	Concern about the noise in the Turnagain area. Concern about the conflicts with day users in the areas of Bench Peak and the eastern one-half of the Mt. Ascension area. Proposal 2 would bring all of these problems closer to Seward. Seattle Creek, Bench Creek, Mt. Ascension, Kern Creek, Ingrahm Creek, and Mile 62 Gravel Pit areas should not be permitted to CPG.
	Bergt	Steven	Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife, noise, and environmental impact statement.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Bitlingmaier

Kurt

Supports proposal 1. Has concerns about wildlife, noise, and effects on day users.

Butera

Bob

Opposes CPG access near Sunrise.

Calhoun

John

Concerned about future motorized closure for Johnson Pass, Lost Lake, Snow River/Paradise Valley, and other areas on the Turnagain Arm.

Cummings

Terry

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, wildlife. Would like to see an EIS completed before a permit is issued.

Dugan

Tory

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding ratio of motorized to non-motorized use.

Edwards

Karin

Concern regarding the inclusion of Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Snow River, Bench Peak, and Ptarmigan area.

Evans

David and Diana

Opposes Seattle Creek in both proposals.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Gahm

Dave

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Garrigus

Julie

Supports alternative 5.

Giefer

Joe

Concerned about day use conflicts in Seattle Creek, Pyramid Peak, and Alpenglow Peak.

Gwartney

Frank

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding wildlife and conflict with non-motorized users.

Hansen

Lena

Opposes expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding day use recreation and wildlife.

Henderson

Wayne

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. No adverse effects to wildlife or ecosystem.

Herminghaus

Trisha

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding noise and the ratio of motorized to non-motorized use in the CNF.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Kruse

Steve

Supports access of CPG to all areas requested.

Lusch

Mark

Opposes the introduction of any more motorized use on the CNF. Concern about wildlife. Would like to see an Environmental Impact Statement before the issuance of any permit.

Lynch

Kevin

Concern about the effects on goat and sheep populations in the Snow River and Ptarmigan areas. Concern about the impact on the Hut to Hut proposal.

Maclean

Amy

Supports CPG, but concerns about wildlife/access.

Maserjian

Lisa

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Skookum Placer area, Bench Peak, and West 20 Mile due to conflicts with day users.

Mason

Jullian

Supports proposals 1 and 2. Concern regarding conflicts with day users. Would like to eliminate Snow River and Mt. Ascension from the exploratory areas due to conflicts with day users.

Meiwes

John

Opposes CPG operating in Seattle Creek because of conflicts with day users.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Mjos

Peter

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding noise, safety, wildlife, and conflicts with day users.

Munoz

Doug

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Norquist

Mark

Opposes expansion into the Seattle Creek, Alpenglow, and Pyramid Peak area due to conflicts with day users.

Pauls

Teri

Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and recreational user conflicts in the Snow River, Mt. Ascension, and 20 Mile areas. Concern regarding increasing user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.

Petry

Dave

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Skibo

Bobbie Jo

Opposes any expansion for CPG. Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and motorized use.

Snyder

Gary

Concern regarding noise impacts to recreation and wildlife in the Snow River, Placer Valley, Grandview, and Bench Mountain Areas.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Spencer

Page

Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users in the areas near the roads. Concerns about wildlife and goats in the all areas under consideration. Would like to see environmental impact statement completed before permits issued.

Stockert

Clare

Would like to see EIS before permit is issued.

Sutherland

Bob

Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Sutherland

Bob

Supports alternative 5 with the deletion of Seattle Creek and the mile 62 staging area. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with day users.

Swanson

Bonnie

Opposes any expansion for CPG.

Zeek

Gerry

Supports CPG proposal 2.

Girdwood/Indian/Bird Creek

Snow Dynamics

Supports alternative 3.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Anderson

Eugene

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Bailly

Thomas

Opposes CPG expansion into the Seattle Creek, Mt. Ascension, Snow River, and Bench Peak. Concern about the conflict of interest between the Hut to Hut proposal and CPG's expansion into the Snow River area.

Brokaw

Dan

Concern regarding encroachment on day users and noise in Girdwood.

Cerutti

Jeremy

Opposes CPG expansion into areas used by day users.

Dudley

Dave

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.

Dudley

Ben

Concern regarding Seattle Creek.

Dudley

Kris

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Dugan

Bob

Opposes proposals 1 and 2.

Dugan

Tory

Supports alternative 1. Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users.

Ellis

Ethan

Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.

Evanhouse

Richard

Supports proposals 1 and 2. Concern about a landing near Ingram Creek due to conflicts with snowmachine parking.

Freeman

Phillip

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Gillum

Stretch

Opposes proposals 1 and 2. Concern about the noise in Girdwood and conflicts with day users.

Gross

Jared

Any increase in snowmachine use in the Placer Drainage area supports CPG's proposal to expand into other areas. However, Seattle Creek should not be allowed under the permit. However, Alpenglow may be a possible solution for their expansion.

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Hanson

Tim

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Hostetter

Bob

Supports CPG proposals.

Hubbard

Connie

Opposes expanding CPG's permit.

James

Rachel

Supports alternative 6. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with recreational day users. Seattle Creek and Moose Creek should not be included.

Jansen

Jim

Supports CPG proposal 1. Concern about area west of Johnson Pass Trailhead and Seattle Creek area. Would like to see an EIS completed before a 5 year permit is awarded.

Kline

Matt

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
	Kropke	Matt	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users
	Mace	Thom	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. CPG has does not add increased noise and operates in a manner that improves safety in the backcountry.
	McCoy	George	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.
	McPhie	Garth	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
	Nissman	Jeff	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
	Olson	Kjerstin	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Page		Jon	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.
	Patrick	Shance	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users
	Polk	Ron	Supports proposal 2 with the exception of Mt. Ascension and Seattle Creek. Benefits Girdwood economy.
	Sasse	Dan	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
	Schilling	Dan	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek, Moose Creek within 5 miles of the plowed road, Bench Peak within 5 miles of mile 63, and Snow River Zone.
	Shafner	William	Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Community

Last Name

Author's Name

Document Summary

Sherman

Jeff

Opposes expansion into the Glacier and Winner Drainage areas and the West Twenty Mile area. Concern about noise and conflicts with day users.

Theusel

Stacy

Supports the core zones in proposals 1 and 2 with the exception of the Bench Peak zone. Opposes Seattle Creek, Mt. Alpenglow, Pyramid Peak, and the portion of Moose Creek within five miles of the road from inclusion under proposal 1. In addition to the areas opposed to for exploratory zones under proposal 1, opposes the inclusion of Snow River and Mt. Ascension. General concerns are the safety of other backcountry users and conflicts with day users

Thomas

Joe

Concern about conflict of use with day users in the Mt. Alpenglow, Seattle Creek, and Bench Creek areas. Concern regarding safety of non-helicopter skiers with regards to avalanches

Trimble

Fred

Concern regarding encroachment on day users.

Tryck

Lauri

Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.

Wharton

Paul

Supports alternative 1. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with recreational day users.

Winkler

Candace

Supports 5 year permit for CPG, but opposes expanded area.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
	Zaccaro	Marco	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2. No adverse impact of day users in core areas.
<i>Homer</i>	Martin	Mildred	Opposes the inclusion of the Moose Creek and Ptarmigan areas. Support the yearly issuance of permits.
<i>Hope</i>	Green	Hugh	Opposes inclusion of Seattle Creek. Concern regarding conflict with day users.
<i>Kodiak</i>	Rosenkranz	Greg	Supports CPG proposals 1 and 2.
<i>Lower 48 States</i>	Athey	Robert	Opposes expansion of CPG's permit due to conflicts with recreational day users.
	Kronenberger	Jennifer	Supports granting CPG as much access as possible.

Community

Last Name
Petty

Author's Name
Clarence

Document Summary
Opposes proposals 1 and 2.

Schure

Garett

Supports alternative 3. Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users.

Moose Pass

Follett

Jeanne

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. The areas under proposals 1 and 2 are for non-motorized use. To allow CPG to operate in these areas would have the effect of allowing a specific user group the only access to these areas.

Odhmer

Judith

Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Opposes any helicopters in Moose Pass.

Odhner

Jan

An EIS should be performed before any expansion of CPG's permit is allowed. The use of helicopters in the exploratory areas is disturbing to day users.

Rosenfanz

Hope

Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones under proposals 1 or 2. There has been no research done on the effects of heli-skiing on the Dall Sheep populations. The Forest Service is acting unfairly by restricting snowmachining yet proposing to allow heli-skiing. The noise caused by heli-skiing would be burdensome to the Moose Pass community. There is no economic benefit of expanding CPG's permit to the Moose Pass residents.

Community
No Address Given

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Americus	Leo	Opposes expansion into Seattle Creek and Skookum Placer area.
Beatty	Tom	Concern about the conflicts between day users. Concern about expansion into areas near road access.
Boucher	Tina	Opposes CPG proposals 1 and 2. Concerns regarding conflicts with day users in the Seattle Creek, Bench Creek, Lynx Creek, Johnson Pass, and Silvertip. Concerns about wildlife, including, wolverine and goats.
Burkholder	Sally	Opposes CPG expansion into the any areas near road access. These areas include ski side of Turnagain Pass, Portage Valley, Skookum, and Spencer areas.
Colter	Alan	Concern about areas accessible from the road.
Dewane	Dennis	Concern regarding encroachment on day users.
Flowers	Chris	Concern regarding encroachment on day users, crowding, and trash left behind by CPG.

Community

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Goldmann	Edward	Supports Proposal 2 with the exception of the Seattle Creek Area.
Jones	Matt & Anne Wilkas	Opposes any expansion into the Seattle Creek area. Concern regarding conflicts with day users.
Wheeler	Toby	Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users.

Other Alaska Towns

Carol	Alisa	Recommends issuance of a one year permit. Recommends an increase in non-motorized areas.
Lacey	Dave	Concern regarding motorized use on wildlife.
Wells	Kathy	Concern regarding noise, day users, wildlife
Wunnicke	Paul	Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding non-motorized use for Bench Peak, Placer-Skookum areas, and Mt. Ascension. Concern regarding increase of user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.

*Community
Seward*

<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
Berklund	Kent	Opposes proposals 1 and 2. CPG should be restricted to their core areas. Allowing CPG to operate in these areas is not fair to snowmobilers.
Gillespie	Tom	Opposes expansion into any area south and east of the Seward Highway and south of the Portage Glacier area due to conflicts with day users.
Page	John	Opposes CPG operating in any of the exploratory zones. Recommends 1400 client days be granted for proposal 1 and 1800 client days be granted for proposal 2.
Pfeiffenberger	Jim	Supports CPG proposal 1 with the exception of the Mt. Ascension. Opposes proposal 2 because of the duration of the permit.
Sieminski	Dan	Supports 5 year permit and expansion into all areas requested.

Sunrise

Schelske	Merlyn	Opposes expansion into any areas near the road due to conflicts with day users.
----------	--------	---

Client Day Comments

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Anchorage/Wasilla/Eagle River</i>		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's operation. Concerns regarding impacts to all wildlife and recreation conflicts with day users. Recommends considering an alternative that addresses the level of client days between the 1999-2002 seasons.
		AQRC	Concern regarding noise in the Bench Peak area. Concern regarding the number of client days under Alternative 4. Would like to see the number of client days reduced to 500-800. Concern regarding day use recreation conflicts.
		Sierra Club	Opposes any expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding all wildlife; including, Moose, Brown Bears, Black Bears, Wolverine, Raptors and other birds, Goats, Sheep, lynx, and wolves. Concern regarding noise and conflicts with non-motorized use.
	Hansen	Lena	Opposes expansion of CPG's permit. Concern regarding day use recreation and wildlife.
	Pauls	Teri	Concern regarding noise, wildlife, and recreational user conflicts in the Snow River, Mt. Ascension, and 20 Mile areas. Concern regarding increasing user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.
	Twardock	Paul	Concern regarding conflicts with recreational day users, impacts to wildlife, amount of motorized use areas, increased user days from 1999-2002 seasons, and noise.

<i>Community</i>	<i>Last Name</i>	<i>Author's Name</i>	<i>Document Summary</i>
<i>Other Alaska Towns</i>	Carol	Alisa	Recommends issuance of a one year permit. Recommends an increase in non-motorized areas.
	Vrsalovic	Ric	Opposes any increase in client days
	Wunnicke	Paul	Supports alternative 4. Concern regarding non-motorized use for Bench Peak, Placer-Skookum areas, and Mt. Ascension. Concern regarding increase of user days beyond the 1999-2002 seasons.