

EXHIBIT E - Standard Contractor Performance Report

Highlighted blocks are required to be completed.

Evaluation Type: Interim _ Final _ (check one)			
Evaluating Organization (Fire Name):		Reporting Period: From	
Contracting Office:		Contract Number:	to
Contractor Name:		Order Number (Resource Order/Incident #):	
Contractor Address:		City:	
DUNS:		State:	
Additional or Alternate Contractor Name:		Zip/Postal Code:	Country:
TIN:	Industrial Code (NAICS):	Commodity Code:	Contract Type:
Contract Award Date:	Contract Expiration Date:	Contract Value:	
Requirement Description (Equipment Type):			

Ratings

Summarize contractor performance and check the number which corresponds to the rating for each rating category (See attached Rating Guidelines).

Quality of Product or Service (How did the Contractor perform, document any noncompliance or performance issues)

<input type="checkbox"/> 0=Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 1=Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 2=Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> 3=Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 4=Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 5=Outstanding
---	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Government Comments for Quality of Product or Service (2000 characters maximum):

Timeliness of Performance (Did the Contractor arrive when expected, demob timely; and perform the work in a timely manner)

<input type="checkbox"/> 0=Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 1=Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 2=Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> 3=Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 4=Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 5=Outstanding
---	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Government Comments for Timeliness of Performance (2000 characters maximum):

Business Relations (Did the Contractor perform in a business-like manner; complete administrative requirements timely)

<input type="checkbox"/> 0=Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 1=Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 2=Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> 3=Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 4=Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 5=Outstanding
---	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Government Comments for Business Relations (2000 characters maximum):

Additional Info

Contractor Key Personnel

Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator (*Owner's Name*):

Government Comment on Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator (2000 characters maximum): *(If applicable, describe working relationship with government representatives for this assignment)*

Contractor Key Person (*Equipment Operator's Name*):

Government Comment on Contractor Key Person (2000 characters maximum): *(Describe working relationship with government representatives for this assignment)*

Customer Satisfaction

Is/was the contractor committed to customer satisfaction? Yes No (*Check one*)

Would you recommend the selection of this firm again? Yes No (*Check one*)

Government Comments on Customer Satisfaction (2000 characters maximum): *If no to either of above, explain below*

Admin Info

Project Officer/COTR (*Individual completing the evaluation*)

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail Address: _____

Contractor Representative

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail Address: _____

Alternate Contractor Representative

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail Address: _____

Contracting Officer:

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

E-Mail Address: _____

Rating Guidelines

Quality of Product or Service

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory	Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.
Poor	Overall compliance requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Fair	Overall compliance requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Good	There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.
Excellent	There are no quality issues, and the Contractor has substantially exceeded the contract performance requirements without commensurate additional costs to the Government.
Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Timeliness of Performance

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory	Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.
Poor	Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Fair	Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.
Good	There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of contract requirements.
Excellent	There are no delays and the contractor has exceeded the agreed upon time schedule.
Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Business Relations

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.
Poor	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is marginally effective.
Fair	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is somewhat effective.
Good	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is consistently effective.
Excellent	Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceeds Government expectation.
Outstanding	The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".