Studies in Avian Biology No. 17:83-91, 1996.

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND TRENDS OF NORTHERN SPOTTED OWL POPULATIONS IN NORTHWESTERN CALIFORNIA

ALAN B. FRANKLIN, R. J. GUTIÉRREZ, BARRY R. NOON, AND JAMES P. WARD, JR.

INTRODUCTION

In California, research on the distribution and numbers of Northern Spotted Owls *(Strix occidentalis caurina)* began in the early 1970's (Gould 1977). Early indications that the owl population could be declining in California received little attention until the early 1980's when focused ecological research on the owl began in northwestern California (Gutiérrez et al. 1984). Debate over trends in Northern Spotted Owl populations in California recently culminated in a petition to remove the owl in California from the federal list of threatened and endangered species (California Forestrv Association 1993). Much of this recent debate has centered around predictive modeling approaches which lacked supporting empirical data (Harrison et al. 1993). In 1985, we began a long-term study on the population ecologv of the Northern Spotted Owl in northwestern California (Franklin et al. 1990). Our study followed five years of previous studies in the same region that established baseline information on Spotted Owl habitat and dispersal ecologv (Gutiérrez et al. 1985, LaHaye 1988, Sisco 1990, Solis and Gutiérrez 1990). Objectives of our long-term demographic research have been to document life history characteristics of Northern Spotted Owls, monitor long-term population trends on public lands in northwestern California, and to relate estimates of fitness with measures of habitat structure and composition.

In this paper, we present estimates of age- and sex-specific survival probabilities, fecundity rates, and trends in those estimates over a 9-year period. In addition, we test the null hypothesis that the population of Spotted Owls in northwest California was stationary or increasing against the alternative that the population was declining during the period of our study. Throughout this paper, we use the term *stationary* when referring to constant population size over time and *stable* when referring to constant demographic parameters over time (Seber 1982:400).

STUDY AREA

We studied Spotted Owls within a 10,000-km^2 area of northwest California (Fig. 1) that included portions of the Six Rivers, Klamath and Shasta-Trinity National Forests and isolated parcels administered by the Bureau of Land Management. The area was located in the Klamath physiographic province where Mixed Evergreen, Klamath Montane, Oregon Oak and Tan Oak vegetation types predominate (Kuchler 1977). Elevations ranged from 200 to 1,700 m. Within this area, we established a 292-km^2 study area, near Willow Creek, Humboldt Co., California, which was systematically surveyed each year to estimate density of Spotted Owls (Franklin et al. 1990, Ward et al. 1991). This Willow Creek study area contained 49 Spotted Owl sites. We also selected 12 satellite areas, each 10-30 km^2 in size and each containing 2-5 Spotted Owl sites. These satellite areas contained a total of 41 Spotted Owl sites and were selected to increase sample size over a wider geographic area. We conducted surveys for Spotted Owls from 1985-1993 on the Willow Creek study area and 1987-1993 on the satellite areas.

Two additional demographic studies began in 1990 west of our study area on lands owned by Simpson Timber Company (L. Diller, personal communication) and Louisiana-Pacific Corporation (M. Pious, personal communication). A large portion of the area surrounding our study area was surveyed each year for Spotted Owls by the U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management and private land-holders. These adjacent demographic studies and surveys were useful for detecting movements of banded birds outside areas we surveyed.

METHODS

Spotted owl sites within the study areas were surveyed on multiple occasions between April and August each year to locate and mark resident owls, and to assess reproductive output. The Willow Creek study area was entirely surveyed at least twice each year. Field methods used for surveying, capturing, marking, and estimating reproductive output are detailed in Franklin et al. (*this volume*). Individuals were uniquely identified, through capture, recapture, or resighting of color bands. In this paper, we defined "capture" either as initial capture of unmarked individuals or as recapture or resighting of previously marked individuals. Marked individuals were used to estimate survival probabilities while measures of reproductive output were used to estimate fe-



FIGURE 1. Locations of the Willow Creek study area (shaded area) and satellite sites occupied by Northern Spotted Owls for ≥ 1 year (dots) in northwestern California.

cundity (see Franklin et al. *this volume).* We recognized four age-classes of birds when estimating survival and fecundity: juvenile (J), 1-year old (Sl), 2-year old (S2) and ≥3-year old (A) (see Franklin et al., *this volume).*

ESTIMATING DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS

We examined mark-recapture data for goodness-of-fit to a global model using TEST 2 and TEST 3 in program RELEASE (Burnham et al. 1987:7l-77). Goodness-of-fit for reduced models was assessed by computing likelihood ratio tests between global and reduced models and then adding the x^2 values and degrees of freedom from these tests to the values obtained from the goodness-of-fit tests for the global models (Lebreton et al. 1992). To examine the assumption that captures occurred in a brief sampling period, we tested for differences in median capture dates between years (Smith and Anderson 1987) using Kruskal-Wallis tests (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). We initially tested for differences in median capture dates between years for ≥ l-year old owls and juveniles separately, to determine if pooling across years within each age-class was appropriate, and then tested for differences between ≥ l-year olds and juveniles. Nonparametric multiple comparisons were used to test all possible pairs of years with α adjusted by the number of tests performed (Dixon et al. 1990). Smith and Anderson (1987) provided a formula for adjusting survival rates to a 12-month interval if intervals between capture occasions did not equal 1 year:

$$\tilde{\phi} = \hat{\phi}^{12/x}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ was the adjusted survival estimate, $\hat{\phi}$ the unadjusted mark-recapture survival estimate and x is the difference in months between medians.

We modeled survival probabilities using model nomenclature, selection and testing procedures outlined in Franklin et al. *(this volume*). Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) was used primarily in model selection; models with lowest AIC were selected as the best models explaining the mark-recapture data. Models within 1 unit of AIC were considered to be competing models and were examined more closely with likelihood ratio tests (Lebreton et al. 1992) that tested H_O: reduced models best fit the data, versus H_A: the more complex model best fit the data. We examined trends in survival using two sets of capture-history matrices. The first set consisted of owls which were initially captured when they were ≥3-years old, separated by sexes. The second data set included 8 subsets partitioned by sex and the four age-classes when owls were first captured (J, S1, S2 and A). We tested the null hypothesis that survival probabilities were constant over time (model φ) versus alternatives that survival was time-dependent without a linear trend (model φ_t) and with a linear trend (model ϕ_T). Sex effects were considered in all hypotheses tested.

We initially modeled the ≥3-year old data with all 64 possible combinations of effect: no sex (s) effect, no time (t) effect, t, s, $s+t$, $s*t$, $s+T$, and $s*T$ for both φ and p. In addition, we examined models where recapture probabilities were structured on methodological constraints (p_c) as follows. During the first three years of the study (1985-l987) birds were physically recaptured each year to read their USFWS bands. During the rest of the study (1988-1993), owls were resighted through the use of color bands (Franklin et al. 1990). We, therefore, included models with p_c which represented a single estimate of p for 1986 and 1987 and one for 1988 through 1993.

Juvenile Northern Spotted Owls are capable of dispersing considerable distances (Gutiérrez et al. 1985). Therefore, we suspected that estimates of juvenile survival could be biased low because of permanent emigration of juveniles from our study area. Without the use of radiotelemetry, we were unable to quantitatively estimate this bias (e.g., Burnham et al. *this volume).* However, we examined this bias qualitatively by examining (1) distances moved by each age-class estimated from observed movements of banded birds between sites, and (2) the percentages of recaptures for each age-class which would have

been missed if additional surveys had not been conducted by others outside the boundaries of areas we surveyed.

Estimates of age-specific fecundity (b_x, where x = age-class; number of female young fledged per female) were estimated according to Franklin et al. *(this volume).* In estimating fecundity, a 1:1 sex ratio was assumed. We tested this assumption using Fisher's Exact Test (Sokal and Rohlf 1981) on fledged young of known sex for 1992 (N = 48 young) and 1993 (N = 9 young) where sex was determined by chromosomal analysis of blood samples (Dvořák et al. 1992). Blood samples were analyzed by Zoogen, Inc., Davis, California. Trends in fecundity were examined using mixed-effect analysis of variance (ANOVA) models. We used PROC MIXED in program SAS (SAS Institute 1993) where age and time were fixed effects and occupied sites were random block effects (C. J. Schwarz, personal communication) because of possible lack of independence between years at a particular site. Using a linear contrast, we also tested an *a priori* hypothesis of $H_O: \mu$ for all years was equal versus $H_A: \mu_{1985-92} = \mu_{1993}$, based on the observation of a substantial decline in reproductive output in 1993.

ESTIMATING POPULATION TRENDS

We examined population trends by estimating the annual rate of population change (λ) as (1) a function of age- and sex-specific survival and fecundity (denoted as λ_d based on demographic parameters) and (2) changes in annual abundance (denoted as λ_n based on estimated annual counts of owls). We estimated λ_d by solving the characteristic equation resulting from a modified stage-based Leslie matrix (see Franklin et al. *this volume).* Size of the matrix was determined by the number of age-class groupings resulting from parameter estimation procedures for survival probabilities and fecundity.

We examined trends in abundance for females from (1) the Willow Creek study area combined with 21 selected sites that were consistently surveyed on the satellite areas from 1987 through 1993, and (2) both sexes on the Willow Creek study area only from 1985 through 1993. These two data sets were chosen *a priori* to achieve greatest possible statistical power. Abundance was estimated using open mark-recapture estimators (Pollock et al. 1990). We used the recapture probabilities (p) estimated from the analysis of survival probabilities to estimate numbers of individuals (N) in each year (t) as:

$$\hat{N}_t = \frac{(n_t + 1)M_t}{m_t + 1} \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{M}_t = \frac{m_t}{\hat{p}_t}$$

and n_t (number of marked and unmarked owls captured on occasion t) and m_t (number of marked owls captured on occasion t) were treated as constants (Pollock et al. 1990). Sampling variances for $\hat{M}_t$ and $\hat{N}_t$ were estimated using the delta method (Oehlert 1992). We felt estimating numbers in this manner was more reliable because we had more confidence in estimating $\hat{p}_t$ from the flexible modeling approach discussed in Franklin et al. *(this volume)* than using existing software (e.g., JOLLY and JOLLYAGE; Pollock et al. 1990). An annual change in population size (λ_i) between years t and $t + 1$ can be estimated as:

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{\hat{N}_{t+1}}{\hat{N}_t}$$

Estimates of $SE(\lambda_i)$ tend to be negatively correlated because the numerator of one time interval becomes the denominator of the next time interval (Eberhardt 1970). For this reason, we regressed $\ln(\hat{N}_t)$ on time (Caughley and Birch 1971, Eberhardt 1985):

$$\ln(\hat{N}_t) = a + \beta t + \varepsilon_t$$

where the slope of the regression (β) estimates r, and ϵ the error term is assumed $N(0, \sigma^2)$. We used weighted regression in PROC GLM to estimate r and $SE(r)$ where the inverse of $SE(\hat{N}_t)$ was used to weight $\hat{N}_t$ (SAS Institute 1990). The annual rate of change (λ_n) can then be estimated for a birth pulse population (Eberhardt 1985) as:

$$\hat{\lambda}_n = 1 + \hat{r} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}_n) = G(1)$$

We used a l-tailed t-test of H_0: $r \geq 0$ versus H_A: $r < 0$, which was equivalent to H_A: $\lambda < 1$.

We used the approach for estimating power from trends in abundance described by Gerrodette (1987). In the power calculations, we used parameters representative of the trends observed on the Willow Creek study area. These parameters represented the best-case scenario for detecting trends given our data and included an 8-year sampling period, an initial CV of 4.9% for the first estimate ofabundance, and $\alpha = 0.05$. We assumed a l-tailed t-test to detect a negative rate of change and that precision of the abundance estimates was relatively constant over time.

RESULTS

TRENDS IN SURVIVAL PROBABILITIES

From 1985 through 1993, we individually marked 274 juveniles, 46 l-year old birds (27 females, 19 males), 38 2-year old birds (19 females and 19 males), and 197 $\geq$ 3-year old birds (97 females and 100 males). Results of goodness-of-fit tests indicated the mark-recapture data adequately fit the global model for each data set (Table 1).

TABLE 1. RESULTS OF GOODNESS-OF-FIT TESTS FROM TESTS 2 AND 3 IN PROGRAM RELEASE FOR MARK-RECAPTURE DATA ON NORTHERN SPOTTED OWLS IN NORTHWESTERN CALIFORNIA FROM 1985 THROUGH 1993. GLOBAL MODELS CONTAIN ALL EFFECTS CONSIDERED WITHIN EACH DATA SET. REDUCED MODELS ARE MODELS SELECTED WITHIN EACH DATA SET BASED ON AIC AND LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS

Data set	Model	TEST 2 + 3			TEST 2	TEST 3
		x^2	df	P	P	P
≥ 3-year-olds	Global $\{\phi_{s*t}, p_{s*t}\}$	15.97	28	0.966	0.600	0.972
	Reduced $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$	36.18	55	0.977	0.846	0.976
Age-class	Global $\{\phi_{a*s*t}, p_{a*s*t}\}^a$	40.53	50	0.828	0.451	0.875
	Reduced $\{\phi_t, p_c\}^a$	7.16	16	0.970	0.985	0.994

[a] Juvenile age-class not included (see text for explanation).

Median date of capture for juveniles was not significantly different among years (χ^2 = 12.01, df = 8, P = 0.15) suggesting that annual distributions of capture dates were similar from year to year. For birds ≥ 1 year old, median capture dates significantly differed (χ^2 = 37.01, df = 1, P < 0.001) by 19 days in only two of the nine years (from 1987 to 1988 and from 1992 to 1993). Median capture dates for birds captured when ≥ l-year old and birds captured as juveniles significantly differed by 37 days (χ^2 = 215.07, df = 1, P < 0.001). we examined the effect of this bias in estimating annual rates of population change (λ_d).

Three competing models resulted from the analysis of the data containing owls first captured as ≥3-year olds, $\{\phi_{s+t}, p_c\}$, $\{\phi_{s*T}, p_c\}$, and $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$, which were all within one AIC unit of each other (Table 2). The structure of the recapture probabilities constrained by capture methods (p_c) yielded the lowest AIC models without sex or other time effects. Although $\{\phi_{s*T}, p_c\}$ had the lowest AIC, it was not significantly different from $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$ (Table 2) and the slope parameter for the interaction term was not significantly different from zero (Wald test: χ^2 = 2.02, df = 1, P = 0.154). Therefore, we selected $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$ as the model which best explained the mark-recapture data because the interaction term in $\{\phi_{s*T}, p_c\}$ was not supported. Model $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$ had significant time and sex effects (Table 2), still adequately fit the data based on goodness-of-fit tests (Table 1) and estimated slopes for sex ($\hat{\beta}_1$ = 0.5126, $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ = 0.2298; χ^2 = 4.98, df = 1, P = 0.03) and time ($\hat{\beta}_2$ = -0.1307, $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_2$ = 0.0537, χ^2 = 5.91, df = 1, P = 0.02) that were significantly different from zero. Thus, we concluded that survival of owls first captured when ≥3-years old declined in a linear fashion, the trend differed according to sex, but survival in both sexes declined at the same rate (i.e., slopes were the same for both sexes: Fig. 2). The slope parameter for time ($\hat{\beta}_2$) was in terms of logit-transformed variables (Lebreton et al. 1992) which did not reflect a meaningful rate of decline. Therefore, we regressed the transformed estimates of annual survival against year to obtain the appropriate estimate (K. P. Burnham, personal communication) of $\hat{\beta}_2$ = -0.0 182 ($\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta}_2)$ = 0.004), which indicated a 1.82% annual decline.

Based on analysis of 45 models that included all age-classes, model $\{\phi_{J\ At}, p_{a4'\ c}\}$ had the lowest AIC (Table 2) where A' included all birds ≥ 1 year old. Models that included separate estimates for l-year, 2-year, and ≥ 3-year old age-classes had higher AICs (> 1636) and were not considered further. Model $\{\phi_{J\ At}, p_{a4'\ c}\}$ had significant variable time effects in 'adult survival estimates but sex or linear time effects were not supported (Table 2). Sex and time effects were not supported in estimating juvenile survival (Table 2). Therefore, model $\{\phi_{J\ At}, p_{a4'\ c}\}$ was selected as the most parsimonious explanation of our mark-recapture data. This model indicated that (1) survival of owls > l-year old varied over time, (2) juvenile survival was constant over time, (3) there was no difference in survival by sex for any age-class, (4) recapture probabilities were different for the three years following initial capture as a juvenile, and (5) adults and subadults had similar capture probabilities regardless of sex but differed according to the method of capture during the study (Table 3, Fig. 2). We were unable to assess goodness-of-fit for this model because of the lack of identifiability of parameters when juveniles were treated as separate groups in TESTs 2 and 3 of RELEASE. However, an assessment of the portion of this model that included owls ≥ 1-year old indicated adequate goodness-of-fit (Table 1).

TRENDS IN FECUNDITY

Based on chromosomal analysis, the sex ratio of juveniles captured in 1992-1993 (28 males: 29 females) was not significantly different from a 1:1 sex ratio (Fisher's exact P = 1.00), which



FIGURE 2. Estimates of juvenile and nonjuvenile survival (±1 SE) for Northern Spotted Owls in northwestern California, 1985–1993. **A.** Estimates for birds first captured when ≥3-years old. Lines for males (solid) and females (dashed) represent linear time trends in estimates under model $\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$ with an average standard error of 0.0301 for females and 0.0207 for males. Solid (males) and open (females) dots with 1 standard error are estimates under the variable time model $\{\phi_{s*t}, p_c\}$. **B.** Estimates (±1 SE) for juveniles and birds ≥1-year old under model $\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$. Estimates for juveniles is solid line with 1 SE represented by dashed lines under model $\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$ and dots under the variable time model $\{\phi_{Jt,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$.

supported our assumption of a 1:1 sex ratio in estimating fecundity. Fecundity differed significantly by age-class (F = 8.54; df = 2, 392; P = 0.0002) and time (F = 3.92; df = 8, 392; P = 0.0001), but the interaction between the two was not significant (F = 1.00; df = 15, 377; P = 0.45). Site effects were about 5% of the residual error for both sexes and were not significantly different from zero (z = 1.51, P = 0.13) which suggested that observations at a particular site were independent from year to year. Based on the *a priori* contrast, there was a significant difference (F = df = 22.35; 1, 444; P > 0.0001) in fecundity between 1993 and the other years combined (Fig. 3). We, therefore, concluded that fecundity differed by age-class (Table 4) but was relatively constant for all years except 1993 when fecundity declined significantly (Fig. 3).

TABLE 2. RESULTS OF LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS BETWEEN NESTED MARK-RECAPTURE MODELS USED TO ESTIMATE SURVIVAL PROBABILITIES. HYPOTHESES TESTED ARE H_O: REDUCED MODEL BEST EXPLAINS DATA, VERSUS H_A: MORE GENERAL MODEL BEST EXPLAINS DATA

H_O			H_A			Test			
Model	K[a]	AIC[b]	Model	K[a]	AIC[b]	χ^2	df	P	Effect tested	Decision
Owls banded as ≥3-year olds										
$\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$	5	728.043	$\{\phi_{s+t}, p_c\}$	11	728.968	11.08	6	0.086	Variable time	Do not reject H_O
			$\{\phi_{s*T}, p_c\}$	6	728.018	2.03	1	0.155	Interaction term	Do not reject H_O
$\{\phi_s, p_c\}$	4	732.073	$\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$	5	728.043	6.03	1	0.014	Linear time	Reject H_O
$\{\phi_T, p_c\}$	4	731.350	$\{\phi_{s+T}, p_c\}$	5	728.043	5.31	1	0.021	Sex	
Owls banded in all age-classes										
$\{\phi_{J,AT}, p_{a4'c}\}$	8	1633.976	$\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4'c}\}$	14	1633.611	12.37	6	0.054	Linear time in A	Reject H_O
$\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4'c}\}$	14	1633.611	$\{\phi_{J,At+t}, p_{a4'c}\}$	15	1634.525	1.09	1	0.297	Sex in A	Do not reject H_O
$\{\phi_{J,A}, p_{a4'c}\}$	7	1634.878	$\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4'c}\}$	14	1633.611	15.26	7	0.033	Variable time in A	Reject H_O
$\{\phi_{J,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$	14	1633.611	$\{\phi_{JT,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$	15	1635.495	0.12	1	0.733	Linear time in J	Do not reject H_O
			$\{\phi_{Jt,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$	21	1639.151	8.46	7	0.294	Variable time in J	Do not reject H_O
			$\{\phi_{Js,At}, p_{a4',c}\}$	15	1635.105	0.51	1	0.477	Sex in J	Do not reject H_O

[a] Number of estimate parameters.
[b] Akaike's information criterion.

TABLE 3. ESTIMATES OF RECAPTURE PROBABILITIES (P) FOR THE SELECTED MARK-RECAPTURE MODEL $\{\varphi_{J,At}, p_{A4',c}\}$ DESCRIBING AGE- AND SEX-SPECIFIC SURVIVAL PROBABILITIES FOR NORTHERN SPOTTED OWLS IN NORTHWESTRN CALIFORNIA FROM 1985-1993.

Class	Recapture probability $\hat{p}$	$\widehat{SE}(\hat{p})$
Juveniles first recaptured as l-year olds	0.2028	0.0467
Juveniles first recaptured as 2-year olds	0.3962	0.0693
J first recapture as ≥ 3-year olds	0.5654	0.0844
Owls ≥ l-year old first recaptured in 1986-l987	0.7766	0.0379
Owls ≥ l-year old first recaptured in 1988-l993	0.9182	0.0124



FIGURE 3. Estimates of fecundity (± 1SE) for Northem Spotted Owls ≥ 1 year old in northwestern California, 1985-l993. Numbers above estimates represent sample sizes.

ESTIMATES OF POPULATION CHANGE

Trends based on demographic parameters

To estimate the annual rate of change based solely on demographic parameters (λ_d), we used estimates of fecundity and survival averaged over the period 1985-1993 (Table 4). For fecundity, we used the age-specific estimates from the ANOVA models and, for survival, we used the estimates of φ from model $\{\phi_{J\ At}, p_{a4'\ c}\}$ of the mark-recapture analyses. Average survival of birds ≥ 1-year old was calculated by averaging the annual estimates from the variable time portion of the model with a standard error estimated using the delta method, which incorporated the annual standard error estimates and the covariances between years. Using our estimates of average demographic parameters, and their standard errors, in a 3-stage Leslie matrix, $\hat{\lambda}_d$ was 0.9656 ($\widehat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}_d)$ = 0.0138) which was significantly different from a stationary population (1-tailed z = 2.08, P = 0.019). Values for partial derivatives of each parameter estimate with respect to λ_d suggested that model sensitivity was highest for ≥ l-year old survival followed by juvenile survival and adult fecundity which had roughly similar sensitivities (Table 4).

Our estimate ofjuvenile survival was probably biased low because of permanent emigration from our study area. Inter-site distances moved by 56 juveniles ($\bar{X}$ = 19.61 km, SE = 2.70) were significantly higher (t-test with unequal variances: t = -5.32, df = 63, P = 0.0001) than the relatively short, with respect to study area size, distances moved by 45 ≥ l-year old owls ($\bar{X}$ = 4.75 km, SE = 0.73). We never observed marked juveniles on their natal sites, indicating they always

TABLE 4. AGE-SPECIFIC ESTIMATES AND STANDARD ERRORS OF DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS, PARTIAL DERIVATIVES ($\delta\hat{\lambda}_d/\delta\theta$) FOR DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS, AND ESTIMATES OF DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE A STATIONARY POPULATION ($\theta_{|\lambda=1}$) FOR FEMALE NORTHERN SPOTTED OWLS IN NORTHWESTERN CALIFORNIA, 1985-1993. PARAMETER ESTIMATES WERE USED TO CALCULATE THE ANNUAL RATE OF POPULATION CHANGE ($\hat{\lambda}_d$).

Parameter (θ)	$\hat{\theta}$	$\widehat{SE}(\hat{\theta})$	$\delta\hat{\lambda}_d/\delta\hat{\theta}$	$e_{\lambda=1}$
Fecundity				
1-year old	0.0938	0.0669	0.0302	0.9613
2-year old	0.2046	0.0773	0.0272	1.2044
≥ 3-year old	0.3333	0.0292	0.2408	0.4858
Survival				
Juvenile	0.3295	0.0489	0.2690	0.4614
1-year old	0.8677	0.0115	0.0989	>1.0000
≥ 2-year old	0.8677	0.0115	0.9118	0.9053

moved. However, movements for owls ≥ l-year old were relatively rare; they moved only 6.6% of the time based on number of movements detected divided by the number of their recaptures (45/685; Table 5). In terms of recaptures outside of our study areas, we would have missed 20.8% ofjuvenile recaptures, if other observers had not located them during their surveys (Table 5). In contrast, <1% of owls ≥1-year old were detected outside of the study area suggesting that emigration of these age-classes from our study area was very low. Regardless, juvenile survival would have to increase by 40.0% to achieve a stationary population (i.e., $\lambda = 1$) under the Leslie matrix model, given that all other parameter estimates remained the same (Table 4). Adult survival would have to increase by 4.3% to achieve the same effect.

To examine the effect of unequal sampling intervals on survival and, hence, estimates of λ_d, we corrected our single estimate of juvenile survival to obtain $\tilde{\phi}_J = 0.2907$ ($\widehat{SE}(\tilde{\phi}_J) = 0.0421$) and the two years included in average survival of ≥3-year olds to obtain a new average $\tilde{\phi}_A = 0.866\ 1$ ($\widehat{SE}(\tilde{\phi}_A) = 0.0125$). We used these adjusted survival estimates, with the same fecundity estimates used before, to estimate $\tilde{\lambda}_d = 0.9535$ ($\widehat{SE}(\tilde{\lambda}_d) = 0.0169$) which was lower than our previous estimate.

Trends based on counts

Based on annual estimates of population size from counts of owls on the study area, trends for females on the Willow Creek study area plus the selected satellite areas ($\hat{\lambda}_n = 1.000$, $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}_n) = 0.014$, N = 6 years) was not significantly different (t = 0.02, df = 5, 1-tailed P = 0.49 1) from a stationary population (i.e., $\lambda = 1$). Trends for both sexes on the Willow Creek study area alone ($\hat{\lambda}_n = 1.009$, $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}_n) = 0.008$, N = 8 years) was also not significantly different (t = 1.16, df = 7, 1 -tailed P = 0.145) from a stationary population. Estimates of N_t ranged from 55 to 62 for females in the larger sample, and 75 to 85 for both sexes on the Willow Creek study area alone, and were precise with CV's ranging from 1.3 to 4.9%. We achieved 100% power for detecting a linear annual decline of r = -0.034 (our point estimate of $\lambda_d - 1$), 80% power if r = -0.020, and 33% power if r = -0.0 10, given $CV(\hat{N}_t) = 0.049$ remaining constant over an 8-year period and a l-tailed t-test with $\alpha = 0.05$. The latter values of r were both within the upper 95% confidence interval of $\hat{\lambda}_d$. To detect an r = -0.01 with 80% power, would require 4 more years of monitoring.

DISCUSSION

Direct inferences from analysis of our data can, at most, be extended to the resident, territorial population of owls on public lands within northwestern California and, at the least, to specific Spotted Owl sites sampled within our study area because selection of study areas and Spotted Owl sites within the study area were not random. In both cases, we limited our inferences to the years when data were collected.

TABLE 5. NUMBER OF RECAPTURES BETWEEN 1985 THROUGH 1993 OF NORTHERN SPOTTED OWLS THAT WERE DETECTED WITHIN AND OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE AREAS SURVEYED IN NORTHWESTERN CALIFORNIA. PERCENT MISSED (OUTSIDE + {OUTSIDE + WITHIN}) REPRESENTS THE PERCENTAGE OF RECAPTURES WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN MISSED IF ADDITIONAL SURVEYS BY OTHER OBSERVERS HAD NOT BEEN CONDUCTED OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SURVEY AREAS.

Age-class	Number of recaptures: Within survey area	Number of recaptures: Outside survey area	Percent missed
Juvenile	80	21	20.8
1-2 years old	156	6	3.7
≥ 3-years old	523	0	0

TRENDS IN DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS

Survival of owls banded as ≥3-year olds decreased significantly over the period of our study. Possible hypotheses for this decrease included (1) a density-dependent response to changes in environmental conditions as the population adjusted to a new, lower carrying capacity; (2) a real decline in survival with the decline continuing to some lower level beyond which it will not recover; (3) a response to some environmental factor related to time; and (4) senescence. The latter hypothesis was partially supported (but not tested) by the fact that the decreasing trend in survival disappeared when younger age-classes were included in the mark-recapture models. If owls ≥3-years old were fairly old when first captured, then time would represent increasing age. This effect may have been masked when younger (1-2 years old) birds were included in the sample. If senescence was occurring, estimates of λ_d would be negatively biased (Noon and Biles 1990). The variable survival of owls ≥ l-year old over time (based on the age-class models) suggested that external influences, such as weather, may affect survival. The decreasing nature of survival for owls ≥3-years old could, therefore, represent a trend imposed by environmental factors. The alternative hypotheses explaining decreasing survival need to be examined in greater detail and was beyond the scope of this paper. Therefore, we cannot ascribe a specific cause for this decrease in survival for owls ≥3-years old or for

the variability of survival for owls ≥ l-year old during our study period.

Although fecundity declined significantly in one year, we found it to be stable over the majority of years in this study in contrast to other populations of Northern (Forsman et al. 1984) and California Spotted Owls *(S. o. occidentalis;* Noon et al. 1992).

POPULATION TRENDS

Based on our estimate of λ_n, we found no evidence for a rate of change as low as -0.0334 ($1-\hat{\lambda}_d$), r even -0.02. We had sufficient statistical power to detect such trends. However, our estimates of λ_d and λ_n were subject to a number of biases that make estimating the exact rate of change difficult. Several alternatives exist that could explain the discrepancy between our estimate of λ_d, which indicated a declining population, and λ_n, which indicated a stationary population. One explanation of the projected decline under λ_d was that we underestimated juvenile survival because of permanent emigration from our study area. However, our estimate of juvenile survival would have to be substantially higher to achieve a stationary population. Understanding juvenile survival over the long term may be a key to understanding the demographics of Northern Spotted Owl populations. As estimated under the Leslie matrix model, changes in λ_d appear to be most sensitive to adult survival (see also Noon and Biles 1990). However, model sensitivity should not be confused with process sensitivity. Large-scale temporal changes in juvenile survival may be a process that ultimately regulates Spotted Owl populations. We believe this process can be examined best using radio-telemetry to estimate juvenile survival rather than through mark-recapture studies.

Another alternative to explain the discrepancies between our estimates of λ_d and λ_n was proposed by Franklin (1992), where a non-territorial "floating" population serves to stabilize the observed territorial population through immigration, even though the entire population as a whole may be declining. The Leslie model can include immigration if recruitment is used in lieu of fecundity and juvenile survival (Caswell 1989). Unfortunately, statistical models to estimate recruitment lack the current sophistication of those used to estimate survival and fecundity (see Pollock et al. 1990). True λ_d for the female portion of the population lies between 0.9385 and 0.9926 with a 95% probability. Therefore, decline in the female portion of the population could be less than 1% a year. If true λ_d was 1%, our study population of about 65 females would decline to 62 females after 5 years. With this magnitude of change, we lacked the power to detect this trend when estimating λ_n. Additional years of monitoring will be required before such rates will be detectable with sufficient statistical power.

We believe appropriate inferences regarding rates of change of the Spotted Owl population in our study is that this population is currently not declining dramatically. The possibility exists that the population is either stationary or slightly declining. Estimates of λ_n are estimates of population change over the sample period only, whereas estimates of λ_d are properly interpreted as the rate of change in the population if the conditions during the study period were maintained indefinitely. Therefore, we do not know if estimated declines will continue past the time period when estimates of demographic traits and numbers were measured. We caution against attempts to forecast population trends using our estimates beyond the time period when the population was sampled because of uncertainty concerning the underlying causes of these trends. Even though there is considerable uncertainty in our estimates of population trends, our results suggest it would be prudent to exercise caution with management of Spotted Owl populations. Since our results suggest a slow rate of decline, management actions which may accelerate this decline should be avoided.

We believe that reliable information concerning the effects of land management activities on Northern Spotted Owl populations can be best achieved through well-designed experiments. For example. if logging continues in Spotted Owl habitat on public lands. different harvesting regimes could be applied to randomly selected control and treatment sites to examine the effects of such practices on survival, fecundity, (or their correlates) and, ultimately, fitness. Such a design could be incorporated into existing demographic studies. Demographic studies such as ours provide observational information for establishing the biologically-based hypotheses to be experimentally tested, as well as the initial pre-treatment data.

SUMMARY

A contentious point in the controversy surrounding Northern Spotted Owls is whether populations are declining or stationary. We estimated age- and sex-specific survival probabilities and fecundity rates from 1985 through 1993 in a population of Northern Spotted Owls on public lands in northwestern California. We used mark-recapture models to estimate survival probabilities and ANOVA models to estimate fecundity rates. We estimated annual rates of population change using average estimates of demographic parameters in a 3-stage Leslie matrix and by estimating counts of owls over time. We

found a significant decline in survival for owls ≥3-years old in mark-recapture models which only included this age-class. However, survival of owls ≥ 1 -year old was variable over time when all age-classes were included in the mark-recapture models. Estimates of juvenile survival and fecundity (with the exception of one year) were constant during the study period. Using our estimates of demographic parameters in a Leslie matrix, we estimated an annual rate of population change (λ) of 0.9656, which was significantly different (P = 0.019) from a stationary population. In contrast, trends in numbers of owls (λ = 1.000-1009) were not different (P = 0.15-49) from a stationary population. A number of biases made estimation of population rates of change problematic and were possible explanations for the discrepancy between our estimates of λ. During the period of study, we concluded that the population of Spotted Owls in our study were not in dramatic decline, but may have been in either slight decline or stationary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study would not have been possible over the years without the field assistance of D. Delaney, T. J. Evans, D, Fix, T. Hall, Heinz, M. Herrera, M. Kasper, C. Jewett, D, Lamphear, A. Padilla, K. White, J. Woodford, and K. E. Young. In addition, we are grateful to J. A. Blakesley, J. Hunter, C. Jewett and C. A. Moen for leading field crews and their assistance with data preparation and analysis. We also thank the many people at the Six Rivers, Shasta-Trinity, and Klamath National Forests, the Bureau of Land Management, and The Nature Conservancy for their help and encouragement. D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham and G. C. White provided insights for data analysis. D. R. Anderson, W. R. Clark, S. DeStefano, E. D. Forsman, T. M. Shenk and G. C. White reviewed earlier drafts of the manuscript. Funding for this project was provided by California Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Projects W-6 5-R-3 and 4 and California Environmental License Plate Funds; U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Cooperative Agreement No. PSW-87- 00llCA; and McIntire-Stennis Project No. 85.

Key *words:* California, demography, fecundity, Northern Spotted Owl, population trends, *occidentalis caurina,* survival.

LITERATURE CITED

ADAMCIK, R. S., A. W. TODD, AND L. B. KEITH. 1978. Demographic and dietary responses of Great Homed Owls during a snowshoe hare fluctuation. Can. Field-Nat. 92:156-l66.

ADAMCIK, R. S., A. W. TODD, AND L. B. KEITH. 1979. Demographic and dietary responses of Red-tailed Hawks during a snowshoe hare fluctuation. Can. Field-Nat. 93:16-27.

AGEE, J. K. 1993. Fire ecology of the Pacific Northwest forests. Island Press, Washington, DC.

AKAIKE, H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. Pp. 267-281 *in* B. N. Petran and F. Csaki (eds.), International symposium on information theory. Second edition. Akademiai Kiadi, Budapest, Hungary.

ALVAREZ-BUYLLA, E. R., AND M. SLATKIN. 1994. Finding confidence limits on population growth rates: three real examples revised. Ecology. 75:255-260.

ANDERSON, D. R., J. BART, T. C. EDWARDS, JR., C. B. KEPLER, AND E. C. MESLOW. 1990. 1990 Status review: Northern Spotted Owl *(Strix occidentalis caurina).* USDI Fish and Wildl. Serv., Portland, OR.

ANDERSON, D. R., AND K. P. BURNHAM. 1992. Demographic analysis of Northern Spotted Owl populations. Pp. 3 19-328 *in* Draft recovery plan for the Northern Spotted Owl. USDI Fish and Wildl. Serv., Portland, OR.

ANDERSON, D. R., K. P. BURNHAM, AND C. G. WHITE. 1985. Problems in estimating age-specific survival rates from recovery data of birds ringed as young. J. Anim. Ecol. 54:89-98.

ANDERSON, D. R., K. P. BURNHAM, AND C. G. WHITE. 1994. AIC model selection in overdispersed capture-recapture data. Ecology 75:1780-l793.

ANONYMOUS. 1982. Average annual precipitation, 1960-l 980, in southwest Oregon. Oregon State Univ. Extension Serv., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis OR.

ATZET, T., AND L. A. MCCRIMMEN. 1990. Preliminary plant associations of the southern Oregon Cascades Province. USDA For. Serv., Siskiyou National Forest, Grants Pass, OR.

ATZET, T., AND D. L. WHEELER. 1984. Preliminary plant associations of the Siskiyou Mountain Province. USDA For. Serv., Siskiyou National Forest, Grants Pass, OR.

BALDWIN, J. L. 1973. Climates of the United States. U.S. Dep. Commerce, Washington, DC.

BARROWCLOUGH, G. F., AND R. J. GUTIERREZ. 1990. Genetic variation and differentiation in the Spotted *Owl (Strix occidentalis).* Auk 107:737-744.

BARROWS, C. W. 1980. Summer roost selection by Spotted Owls: an adaptation to heat stress. M.S. thesis. California State Univ., Long Beach, CA.

BARROWS, C. W. 1981. Roost selection by Spotted Owls: an adaptation to heat stress. Condor 83:302-309.

BARROWS, C. W. 1985. Breeding success relative to fluctuations in diet for spotted owls in California. Pp. 50-54 *in* R. J. Gutierrez and A. B. Carey (eds.), Ecology and management of the spotted owl in the Pacific Northwest. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-185. Portland, OR.

BARROWS, C. W. 1987. Diet shifts in breeding and nonbreeding Spotted Owls. J. Raptor Res. 21:95-97.

BARROWS, C., AND K. BARROWS. 1978. Roost characteristics and behavioral thermoregulation in the Spotted Owl. West. Birds 9:1-8.

BART, J. 1995a. Evaluation of population trend estimates calculated using capture-recapture and population projection methods. Ecol. Appl. 5:662-671.

BART, J. 1995b. Amount of suitable habitat and viability of Northern Spotted Owls. Conserv. Biol. 9:943-946.

BART, J., AND S. EARNST. 1992. Suitable habitat for Northern Spotted Owls: an update. Pp. 28 l-3 17 *in* Draft recovery plan for the Northern Spotted Owl, USDI, Fish and Wildl. Serv., Portland, OR.

BART, J., AND E. D. FORSMAN. 1992. Dependence of Northern Spotted Owls *Strix occidentalis caurina* on old-growth forests in the western USA. Conserv. Biol. 62:95-100.

BART, J., AND D. S. ROBSON. 1992. Methods and recommendations for population monitoring. Pp. l-16 (Appendix A) *in* Draft recovery plan for the Northern Spotted Owl, Vol. 2. U.S. Dep. Interior, Washington, DC.

BENT, A. C. 1938. Life histories on North American birds of prey. Part 2. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. No. 170. Washington, DC.

BLAKESLEY, J. A., A. B. FRANKLIN, AND R. J. GUTIERREZ. 1992. Spotted Owl roost and nest site selection in northwestern California. J. Wildl. Manage. 56:388-392.

BLONDEL, J., A. GOSLER, J. D. LEBRETON, AND R. H. MCCLEERY (EDS.). 1990. Population biology of passerine birds: an integrated approach. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

BOLSINGER, C. L., AND K. L. WADELL. 1993. Area of old-growth forests in California, Oregon and Washington. USDA For. Serv. Res. Bull. PNW-RB-197.

BOTSFORD, L. W., T. C. WAINWRIGHT, J. T. SMITH, S. MASTRUP, AND D. F. LOTT. 1988. Population dynamics of California quail related to meteorological conditions. J. Wildl. Manage. 52:469-477.

BOYCE, M. S. 1987. A review of the U.S. Forest Service's viability analysis for the Spotted Owl. National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc., Corvallis, OR.

BOYCE, M. S., J. S. MEYER, AND L. IRWIN. 1994. Habitat-based PVA for the Northern Spotted Owl. Pp. *in* N. Ferguson and B. F. J. Manly (eds.), Proc. conference on statistics in ecology and environmental monitoring. Univ. Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

BROWNIE, C., D. R. ANDERSON, K. P. BURNHAM, AND D. S. ROBSON. 1985. Statistical inference from band recovery data: a handbook. USDI Fish and Wildl. Serv., Resour. Publ. 156.

BROWNIE, C., AND J. D. NICHOLS. 1983. Estimation of time-specific survival rate from tag-resighting samples: a generalization of the Jolly-Seber Model. Biometrics 39:437-45.

BUCHANAN, J. B. 1991. Spotted Owl nest site characteristics in mixed conifer forests of the eastern Cascade Mountains, Washington. M.S. thesis. Univ. Washington, Seattle.

BUCHANAN, J. B., L. L. IRWIN, AND E. L. MCCUTCHEN.

1993. Characteristics of Spotted Owl nest trees in the Wenatchee National Forest. J. Rapt. Res. 27: 1-7.

BUCHANAN, J. B., L. L. IRWIN, AND E. L. MCCUTCHEN. 1995. Within-stand nest site selection by Spotted Owls in the eastern Washington Cascades. J. Wildl. Manage. 59:301-310.

BURNHAM, K. P., AND D. R. ANDERSON. 1992. Data-based selection of an appropriate biological model: the key to modem data analysis. Pp. 16-30 in D. R. McCullough and R. H. Barrett (eds.), Wildlife 2001: populations. Elsevier Applied Science, London.

BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, AND G. C. WHITE. 1994a. Evaluation of the Kullback-Leibler discrepancy for model selection in open population capture-recapture models. Biometrical J. 36:299-315.

BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, AND G. C. WHITE. 1994b. Estimation of vital rates of the Northern Spotted Owl. Pp. l-44 (Appendix J) *in* Final supplemental environmental impact statement, on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl. Vol. 2. USDA For. Serv., Portland, OR.

BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, AND G. C. WHITE. 1995a. Model selection strategy in the analysis of capture-recapture data. Biometrics 51:888-898.

BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, AND G. C. WHITE. 1995b. Selection among open population capture-recapture models when capture probabilities are heterogeneous. J. Appl. Stat. 22:61l-624.

BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, G. C. WHITE, C. BROWNIE, AND K. H. POLLOCK. 1987. Design and analysis methods for fish survival experiments based on release-recapture. American Fisheries Society Monogr. 5.

CALIFORNIA FORESTRY ASSOCIATION. 1993. A petition to remove the California Spotted Owl from the federal list of threatened species. California Forestry Assoc., Sacramento, CA.

CAMPBELL, R. W., E. D. FORSMAN, AND B. M. VAN DER RAAY. 1984. An annotated bibliography of literature on the spotted owl. Land Manage. Rept. No. 24. British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Victoria, Canada.

CAREY, A. B., S. P. HORTON, AND B. L. BISWELL. 1992. Northern Spotted Owls: influence of prey base and landscape character. Ecological Monogr. 62:223-250.

CAREY, A. B., AND K. C. PEELER. 1995. Spotted Owls: resource and space use in a mosaic landscape. J. Rapt. Res. 29: In press.

CAREY, A. B., J. A. REID, AND S. P. HORTON. 1990. Spotted Owl home range and habitat use in southern Oregon coast ranges. J. Wildl. Manage. 54:11-17.

CARROLL, J. E., AND R. H. LAMBERSON. 1993. The owl's odyssey, a continuous model for the dispersal of territorial species. J. Appl. Mathematics 53:205-218.

CARROLL, R. J., AND D. RUPPERT. 1988. Transformation and weighting in regression. Chapman and Hall, New York.

CASWELL, H. 1989. Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and interpretation. Sinauer Assoc., Inc., Sunderland, MA.

CAUGHLEY, G. 1977. Analysis of vertebrate populations. J. Wiley and Sons, New York.

CAUGHLEY, G. AND L. C. BIRCH. 1971. Rate of increase. J. Wildl. Manage. 35:658-663. Caughley G., and A. R. E. Sinclair. 1994. Wildlife ecology and management. Blackwell Sci., Cambridge MA.

CHAVEZ-LEON, G. 1989. Characteristics of fragmented habitats used by the Northern Spotted Owl *(Strix occidentalis caurina)* in northwestern California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

CLOBERT, J., J. D. LEBRETON, AND D. ALLAINE. 1987. A general approach to survival rate estimation by recaptures or resightings of marked birds. Ardea 75: 133-142.

COHEN, J. E., S. W. CHRISTENSEN, AND C. P. GOODYEAR. 1983. A Stochastic age-structured population model of striped bass *(Morone saxatilis)* in the Potomac River. Can. J. Fisheries and Aquatic Sci. 40:2170-2183.

COHEN, W. B., T. A. SPIES, AND M. FIORELLA. 1995. Estimating the age and structure of forests in a multi-ownership landscape of western Oregon, USA. Int. J. Remote Sensing 16:72l-746.

CONROY, M. J. 1993. Testing hypotheses about the relationship of habitat to animal survivorship. Pp. 33 l-342 *in* J. D. Lebreton and P. M. North (eds.), Marked individuals in the study of bird population. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.

CORMACK, R. M. 1964. Estimates of survival from the sighting of marked animals. Biometrika 51:429-438.

COWARDIN, L. M., D. S. GILMER, AND C. W. SHAIFFER. 198 5. Mallard recruitment in the agricultural environment of North Dakota. Wildl. Monogr. 92.

Cox, D. R. 1983. Some remarks on overdispersion. Biometrika 70:269-274.

DAWSON, W. L. 1923. The birds of California. Book-lovers Edition, Vol. 3. South Moulton Company, San Diego, Los Angeles and San Francisco, CA.

DAWSON, W. R., J. D. LIGON, J. R. MURPHY, J. P. MYERS, D. SIMBERLOFF, AND J. VERNER. 1987. Report of the scientific advisory panel on the Spotted Owl. Condor 89:205-229.

DINERSTEIN, E., AND L. PRICE. 1991. Demography and habitat use by greater one-homed rhinoceros in Nepal. J. Wildl. Manage. 55:401-411.

DIXON, W. J., M. B. BROWN, L. ENGELMANN, AND R. I. JENNRICH. 1990. BMDP statistical software manual, Vol. 1. Univ. Calif Press, Berkeley, CA.

DOAK, D. 1989. Spotted Owls and old growth logging in the Pacific Northwest. Conserv. Biol. 3:389-396.

DUNBAR, D. L., B. P. BOOTH, E. D. FORSMAN, A. E. HETHERINGTON, AND D. WILSON. 1991. Status of the Spotted Owl *(Strix occidentalis)* and Barred Owl *(Strix varia)* in southwestern British Columbia. Can. Field-Nat. 105:464-468.

DUNCAN, J. R. 1992. Influence of prey abundance and snow cover on Great Gray Owl breeding dispersal. Ph.D. diss. Univ. Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB.

DVORAK, J., J. L. HALVERSON, P. GULICK, K. A. RAUEN, U. K. ABBOTT, B. J. KELLEY, AND F. T. SHULTZ. 1992. cDNA cloning of a Z- and W-linked gene in gallinaceous birds. J. Heredity 83:22-25.

EASTERBROOK, G. 1994. The Birds. The New Republic 210:22-29.

EBERHARDT, L. L. 1970. Correlation, regression, and density dependence. Ecology 51:306-310.

EBERHARDT, L. L. 1985. Assessing the dynamics of wild populations. J. Wildl. Manage. 49:997-1012

EFRON, B. 1982. The jackknife, the bootstrap and other resampling plans. Soc. Industrial and Appl. Math. Series 38.

ESTES, J. A. 1990. Growth and equilibrium in sea otter populations. J. Anim. Ecol. 59:385-401.

FERNANDEZ-DUQUE, E., AND C. VALEGGIA. 1994. Meta-analysis: a valuable tool in conservation research. Conserv. Biol. 8:555-561.

FITTON, S. D. 1991. Vocal learning and call structure of male Northern Spotted Owls in northwestern California. MS. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

FOLLIARD, L. 1993. Nest site characteristics of Northem Spotted Owls in managed forests of northwest California. M.S. thesis. Univ. Idaho, Moscow, ID.

FORSMAN, E. D. 1976. A preliminary investigation of the Spotted Owl in Oregon. M.S. thesis. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR.

FORSMAN, E. D. 1980. Habitat utilization by Spotted Owls in the west-central cascades of Oregon. Ph.D. diss. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR.

FORSMAN, E. D. 1981. Molt of the Spotted Owl. Auk 98:735-742.

FORSMAN, E. D. 1983. Methods and materials for locating and studying Spotted Owls. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-162.

FORSMAN, E. D. 1988. A survey of Spotted Owls in young forests in the northern coast range of Oregon. Murrelet 69:65-68.

FORSMAN, E. D., C. R. BRUCE, M. A. WALTER, AND E. C. MESLOW. 1988. A current assessment of the Spotted Owl population in Oregon. Murrelet 68:5l-54.

FORSMAN, E. D., A. R. GIESE, D. J. MANSON, AND S. G, SOVERN. 199 5. Renesting by Spotted Owls. Condor 97:1078-1080.

FORSMAN, E. D., AND E. C. MESLOW. 1986. The Spotted Owl. Pp. 742-761 in Audubon wildlife report: 1986. Nat. Aud. Soc., New York.

FORSMAN, E. D., E. C. MESLOW, AND M. J. STRUB. 1977. Spotted Owl abundance in young versus old-growth forests, Oregon. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 5:43-47.

FORSMAN, E. D., I. A. OTTO, D. AUBUCHON, J. C. LEWIS, S. G. SOVERN, K. J. MAURICE, AND T. KAMINSKI. 1994. Reproductive chronology of the northern flying squirrel. Northwest Sci. 68:273-276.

FORSMAN, E. D., E. C. MESLOW, AND H. M. WIGHT. 1984. Distribution and biology of the Spotted Owl in Oregon. Wildl. Monogr. 87:1-64.

FOSTER, C. C., E. D. FORSMAN, E. C. MESLOW, G. S. MILLER, J. A. REID, F. F. WAGNER, A. B. CAREY, AND J. B. LINT. 1992. Survival and reproduction of radio-marked adult Spotted Owls. J. Wildl. Manage. 56:91-95.

FRANKLIN, A. B. 1992. Population regulation in Northern Spotted Owls: theoretical implications for management. Pp. 815-827 *in* D. R, McCullough and R. H. Barrett (eds.), Wildlife 200 1: populations. Elsevier Applied Sciences, London, England.

FRANKLIN, A. B., J. P. WARD, R. J. GUTIERREZ, AND G. I. GOULD, JR. 1990. Density of Northern Spotted Owls in northwest California. J. Wildl. Manage. **54:** l-10.

FRANKLIN, J. F., AND C. T. DYRNESS. 1973. Natural vegetation of Oregon and Washington. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rept. PNW-GTR-8.

GAO (GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE). 1989. Endangered species: Spotted Owl petition evaluation beset by problems. RCED 89-79. U.S. General Accounting Office, Washington, DC

GARCIA, E. R. 1979. A survey of the Spotted Owl in southwestern Washington. Pp. 18-28 *in* P. P. Schaeffer, and S. M. Ehlers (eds.), Owls of the west: their ecology and conservation. Proc. Nat. Aud. Soc. Symposium. Nat. Aud. Soc. Western Education Ctr., Tiburon, CA.

GERRODETTE, T. 1987. A power analysis for detecting trends. Ecology 68:1364-l372.

GERRODETTE, T., D. GOODMAN, AND J. BARLOW. 1985. Confidence limits for population projections when vital rates vary randomly. Fisheries Bull, 83:207-215.

GOODMAN, L. A. 1960. On the exact variance of products. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 55:708-713.

GOODMAN, L. A. 1968. An elementary approach to the population projection-matrix, to the population reproductive value, and to related topics in the mathematical theory of population growth. Demography 5:382-409.

GOULD, G. I., JR. 1977. Distribution of the Spotted Owl in California. West. Birds 8:13l-l46.

GRINNELL, J., AND A. H. MILLER. 1944. The distribution of the birds of California. Pacific Coast Avifauna, No. 27. The Nuttall Ornithological Club, Berkeley, CA.

GUTIERREZ, R. J. 1985. An overview of recent research on the Spotted Owl. Pp. 39-49 in R. J. Gutierrez and A. B. Carey (eds.), Ecology and management of the Spotted Owl in the Pacific Northwest. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-185.

GUTIERREZ, R, J. 1994a. Changes in the distribution and abundance of Spotted Owls during the past century. Studies in Avian Biol. 15:293-300.

GUTIERREZ, R. J. 1994b. Conservation planning: lessons from the Spotted Owl. Pp. 51-58 *in* W. W. Covington and L. F. DeBano (eds.), Sustainable ecological systems: implementing an ecological approach to land management. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rept. RM-247.

GUTIERREZ, R.J., AND A. B. CAREY (TECHEDS.). 1985. Ecology and management of the Spotted Owl in the Pacific Northwest. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rept. PNW-185.

GUTIERREZ, R. J., A. B. FRANKLIN, AND W. S. LAHAYE. 1995. "Spotted owl." A. Poole and F. Gill (eds.), The birds of North America no. 179. The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, DC.

GUTIERREZ, R. J., A. B. FRANKLIN, W. LAHAYE, V. J. MERETSKY, AND J. P. WARD. 1985. Juvenile Spotted Owl dispersal in northwestern California: preliminary results. Pp. 60-65 *in* R. J. Gutierrez and A. B. Carey (eds.), Ecology and management of the Spotted Owl in the Pacific Northwest. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-185.

GUTIERREZ, R. J., AND S. HARRISON. In Press. Ap-

plications of metapopulation theory to Spotted Owl management: a history and critique. *In* D. R. McCullough (ed.), Wildlife metapopulations. Island Press, Covelo, CA.

GUTIERREZ, R. J., D. M. SOLIS, AND C. SISCO. 1984. Habitat ecology of the Spotted Owl in northwestern California: implications for management. Pp. 368-373 *in* Proceedings of 1993 annual convention of the Society of American Foresters. Soc. Am. Foresters, Bethesda, MD.

HAMER, T. E. 1988. Home range size of the Northern Barred Owl and Northern Spotted Owl in western Washington. MS. thesis. Western Washington Univ., Bellingham, WA.

HAMER, T. E., E. D. FORSMAN, A. D. FUCHS, AND M. L. WALTERS. 1994. Hybridization between Barred and Spotted Owls. Auk 111:487-492.

HARRISON, S., A. STAHL, AND D. DOAK. 1993. Spatial models and Spotted Owls: exploring some biological issues behind recent events. Conserv. Biol. 7:950-953.

HENDERSON, J. A., D. H. PETER, R. D. LESHER, AND D. C. SHAW. 1989. Forested plant associations of the Olympic National Forest. USDA For. Serv. Ecological Tech. Paper R6-ECOL-TP 00l-88.

HOLTHAUSEN, R. S., M. G. RAPHAEL, K. S. MCKELVEY, E. D. FORSMAN, E. E. STARKEY, AND D. E. SEAMAN. 1995. The contribution of federal and nonfederal habitat to persistence of the Northern Spotted Owl on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington: report of the reanalysis team. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rept. PNW-GTR-352.

HOSMER, D. W., AND S. LEMESHOW. 1989. Applied logistic regression. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.

HUNTER, J. E. 1994. Habitat configuration around Spotted Owl nest and roost sites in northwestern California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

HUNTER, J. E., R. J. GUTIERREZ, AND A. B. FRANKLIN. 1995. Habitat configuration around Spotted Owl sites in northwestern California. Condor 97:684-693.

JOHNSON, D. H. 1980. The comparison of usage and availability measurements for evaluating resource preference. Ecology 61:65-71.

JOHNSON, D. H. 1992. Spotted Owls, Great Homed Owls, and forest fragmentation in the central Oregon Cascades. M.S. thesis. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR.

JOHNSON, K. N., J. F. FRANKLIN, J. W. THOMAS, AND J. GORDON. 1991. Alternatives for management of late-successional forests in the Pacific Northwest – a report to the Agriculture Committee and the Merchant Marine and Fisheries Committee of the United States House of Representatives.

JOLLY, G. M. 1965. Explicit estimates from capture-recapture data with both death and immigration-stochastic model. Biometrika 52:225-247.

JOLLY, G. M. 1982. Mark-recapture models with parameters constant in time. Biometrics 38:30l-321.

KEYFITZ, N. 1972. On future population. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 67:347-363.

KLOMP, H. 1972. Regulation of the size of bird populations by means of territorial behavior. Netherlands J. 2001. 22:456-488.

KOENIG, W. W., AND R. L. MUMME. 1987. Population ecology of the cooperatively breeding Acorn Woodpecker. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.

KOSTRZEWA, A., AND R. KOSTRZEWA. 1990. The relationship of spring and summer weather with density and breeding performance of the buzzard *Buteo buteo*, Goshawk *Accipiter gentilis* and Kestrel *Falco tinnunculus*. Ibis 132:550-559.

KROEL, K. W., AND P. J. ZWANK. 1992. Renesting of Mexican Spotted Owl in southern New Mexico. J. Raptor Res. 26:267-268.

KUCHLER, A. W. 1977. The map of the natural vegetation of California. Pp. 909-938 *in* M. Barbour and J. Majors (eds.), Terrestrial vegetation of California. J. Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.

LAHAYE, W. S. 1988. Nest site selection and nesting habitat of the Northern Spotted Owl *(Strix occidentalis caurina)* in northwestern California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

LAMBERSON, R. H., R. MCKELVEY, B. R. NOON, AND C. VOSS. 1992. A dynamic analysis of Northern Spotted Owl viability in a fragmented forest landscape. Conserv. Biol. 6:505-512.

LAMBERSON, R. H., B. R. NOON, C. VOSS, AND K. S. MCKELVEY. 1994. Reserve design for territorial species: effects of patch size and spacing on the viability of the Northern Spotted Owl. Conserv. Biol. 8:185-195.

LANDE, R. 1987. Extinction thresholds in demographic models of territorial populations. Amer. Natur. 130:624-635.

LANDE, R. 1988. Demographic models of the Northem Spotted Owl. Oecologia 75:601-607.

LANDE, R., G. ORIANS, AND J. WIENS. 1994. A best guess scenario – Spotted Owl demography and option 9. Inner Voice 6:6-7.

LAYMON, S. A. 1988. Ecology of the Spotted Owl in the central Sierra Nevadas, California. Ph.D. diss. Univ. California, Berkeley, CA.

LEBRETON, J. D., K. P. BURNHAM, J. CLOBERT, AND D. R. ANDERSON. 1992. Modeling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: a unified approach with case studies. Ecol. Monogr. 62: 67-l 18.

LEFKOVITCH, L. P. 1965. The study of population growth in organisms grouped by stages. Biometrics 21:1-18.

LEHMKUHL, J. F., AND M. G. RAPHAEL. 1993. Habitat pattern around Northern Spotted Owl locations on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. J. Wildl. Manage. 57:302-315.

LESLIE, P. H. 1945. On the use of matrices in certain population mathematics. Biometrika 33:183-212.

LESLIE, P. H. 1948. Some further notes on the use of matrices in population mathematics. Biometrika 35: 213-245.

LEWIS, J. C., AND B. C. WALES. 1993. Northern Spotted Owl pair successfully renests. J. Field Omithol. 64:323-325.

LOERY, G., K. H. POLLOCK, J. D. NICHOLS, AND J. E. HINES. 1987. Age-specificity of Black-Capped Chickadee survival rates: analysis of capture-recapture data. Ecology 68:1038-1044.

LUNDBERG, A. 1980. Vocalizations and courtship

feeding of the Ural Owl *(Strix uralensis).* Ornis Scand. 11:65-70.

MARSHALL, J. T., JR. 1942. Food and habitat of the Spotted Owl. Condor 44:66-67.

MARZLUFF, J. M., AND R. P. BALDA. 1992. The Pinyon Jay: behavioral ecology of a colonial and cooperative corvid. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA.

MAX, T. A., R. A. SOUTER, AND K. A. O'HALLORAN. 1990. Statistical estimators for monitoring Spotted Owls in Oregon and Washington in 1987. USDA Forest Service Research Paper PNW-RP-420. Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR.

MCCULLAGH, P., AND J. A. NELDER. 1983. Generalized linear models. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY.

MCCULLOUGH, D. R. 1982. Population growth rate of the George Reserve deer herd. J. Wildl. Manage. 46:1079-1083.

MCDONALD, D. B., AND H. CASWELL. 1993. Matrix methods for avian demography. Pp. 139-185 in D. M. Power (ed.), Current ornithology, Vol. 10. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

MCKELVEY, K., B. R. NOON, AND R. H. LAMBERSON. 1993. Conservation planning for species occupying fragmented landscapes: the case of the Northern Spotted Owl. Pp. 424-450 in P. Kareiva, J. Kingsolver, and R. Huey (eds.), Biotic interactions and global change. Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland, MA.

MEARNS, R., AND I. NEWTON. 1988. Factors affecting breeding success of Peregrines in south Scotland. J. Anim. Ecol. 57:903-916.

MESLOW, E. C. 1993. Spotted Owl protection: unintentional evolution toward ecosystem management. Endangered Species Update 3/4:34-38.

MIELKE, P. W., K. J. BERRY, P. J. BROCKWELL,AND J. S. WILLIAMS. 198 1. A class of nonparametric tests based on multiresponse permutation procedures. Biometrica 68:720-724.

MILLER, G. S., K. NELSON, AND W. C. WRIGHT. 1985. Two-year-old female Spotted Owl breeds successfully. West. Birds 16:69-73.

MILLER, G. S. 1989. Dispersal of juvenile Northern Spotted Owls in western Oregon. M.S. thesis. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR.

MILLS, L. S., R. J. FREDRICKSON, AND B. B. MOORHEAD. 1993. Characteristics of old-growth forests associated with Northern Spotted Owls in Olympic National Park. J. Wildl. Manage. 57:315-321.

MOEN, C. A., A. B. FRANKLIN, AND R. J. GUTIERREZ. 1991. Age determination of subadult Northern Spotted Owls in northwest California. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 19:489-493.

MORRIS, W. G. 1934. Forest fires in western Oregon and western Washington. Oregon Historical Quarterly 35(4):313-339.

MUNGER, T. T. 1930. Ecological aspects of the transition from old forests to new. Science 72:327-332.

MURPHY, D. D., AND B. R. NOON. 1992. Integrating scientific methods with habitat conservation planning: reserve design for Northern Spotted Owls. Ecol. Appl. 2:3-l7.

NELSON, L. J., D. R. ANDERSON, AND K. P. BURNHAM. 1980. The effect of band loss on estimates of annual survival. J. Field Omith. 51:30-38.

NERO, R. W. 1980. The Great Gray Owl: phantom of the northern forest. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

NEWTON, I. 1976. Population limitation in diurnal raptors. Can. Field-Nat. 90:274-300.

NEWTON, I. 1979. Population ecology of raptors. Poyser, Berkhamsted, England.

NEWTON, I. 1986. The sparrowhawk. T. and A. D. Poyser, Calton, England.

NEWTON, I., I. WYLLIE, AND P. ROTHERY. 1993. Annual survival of sparrowhawks *Accipter nisus* breeding in three areas of Britain. Ibis 135:49-60.

NOAA. 1990-1994. Climatological data for Washington, Vols. 93-96. U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Asheville, NC.

NICHOLS, J. D., B. R. NOON, S. L. STOKES, AND J. E. HINES. 1981. Remarks on the use of mark-recapture methodology in estimating avian population size. Pp. 121-136 in C. J. Ralph and J. M. Scott (eds.), Estimating the numbers of terrestrial birds. Studies in Avian Biol. 6.

NOON, B. R., AND C. M. BILES. 1990. Mathematical demography of Spotted Owls in the Pacific northwest. J. Wildl. Manage. 54:18-27.

NOON, B. R., K. S. MCKELVEY, D. W. LUTZ, W. S. LAHAYE, R.J. GUTIERREZ,AND C. A. MOEN. 1992. Estimates of demographic parameters and rates of population change. Pp. 175-186 *in* J. Vemer, K. S. McKelvey, B. R. Noon, R. J. Gutierrez, G. I. Gould, Jr., and T. W. Beck (eds.), The California Spotted Owl: a technical assessment of its current status. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR- 133.

NOON, B. R., AND J. R. SAUER. 1992. Population models for passerine birds: structure, parameterization and analysis. Pp. 441-464 *in* D. R. McCullough and R. H. Barrett (eds.), Wildlife 2001: populations. Elsevier Applied Sciences, London, England.

NORTH, P. M. 1993. Stand structure and truffle abundance associated with Northern Spotted Owl habitat. Ph.D. diss. Univ. Washington, Seattle, WA.

NORUSIS, M. J. 1990. SPSS/PC+ Statistics 4.0 for the IBM PC/XT/AT and PS/2. SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL.

OEHLERT, G. W. 1992. A note on the delta method. Am. Statistician 46:27-29.

OLSEN, P. D., AND J. OLSEN. 1989a. Breeding of the Peregrine Falcon *Falco peregrinus:* II. Weather, nest quality, and the timing of egg laying. Emu 89:l-5.

OLSEN, P. D., AND J. OLSEN. 1989b. Breeding of the Peregrine Falcon *Fdco peregrinus:* III. Weather, nest quality, and breeding access. Emu 89:6-14.

PATON, P. W. C., C. J. ZABEL, D. L. NEAL,G. N. STEGER, N. G. TILGHMAN, AND B. R. NOON. 1991. Effects of radio tags on Spotted Owls. J. Wildl. Manage. 55:617-622.

PATTERSON, I. J. 1980. Territorial behavior and the limitation of population density. Ardea 68:53-62.

PETERMAN, R. M. 1990. Statistical power analysis can improve fisheries research and management. Can. J. Fish. and Aquatic Sci. 47:2-15.

PITELKA, F. A., P. Q. TOMICH, AND G. W. TREICHEL. 195 5. Ecological relations of jaegers and owls as lemming predators near Barrow, Alaska. Ecol. Monogr. 25:85-l17.

POLLOCK, K. H. 198la. Capture-recapture models

allowing for age-dependent survival and capture rates. Biometrics 37:52l-529.

POLLOCK, K. H. 1981b. Capture-recapture models: a review of current methods, assumptions and experimental design. Pp. 426-435 in C. J. Ralph and J. M. Scott (eds.), Estimating the numbers of terrestrial birds. Studies in Avian Biol. 6.

POLLOCK, K. H., J. E. HINES, AND J. D. NICHOLS. 1985. Goodness-of-fit tests for open capture-recapture models. Biometrics 41:399-410.

POLLOCK, K. H., J. D. NICHOLS, C. BROWNIE, AND J. E. HINES. 1990. Statistical inference from capture-recapture experiments. Wildl. Monogr. 107.

PRADEL, R. J., J. CLOBERT, AND J. D. LEBRETON. 1990. Recent developments for the analysis of multiple capture-recapture data sets: an example concerning two Blue Tit populations. The Ring 13: 193-204.

RAPHAEL, M. G., J. A. YOUNG, K. MCKELVEY, B. M. GALLEHER, AND K. C. PEELER. 1994. A simulation analysis of population dynamics of the Northern Spotted Owl in relation to forest management alternatives. Appendix J3 *in* Final environmental impact statement of management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl, Vol. 2. USDA For. Serv. and USDI Bur. Land Manage., Portland, OR.

RAVELING, D. G., AND M. E. HEITMEYER. 1989. Relationships of population size and recruitment of Pintails to habitat conditions and harvest. J. Wildl. Manage. 53:1088-l103.

RICHARDS, J. E. 1989. Spotted Owl food habits and prey availability on the east slope of the Washington Cascades. MS. thesis. Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, CO.

RICHARDSON, E. 1980. BLM's billion-dollar checkerboard. Forest History Soc., Santa Cruz, CA.

RIPPLE, W. J., D. H. JOHNSON, K. T. HERSHEY, AND E. C. MESLOW. 1991. Old-growth and mature forests near Spotted Owl nests in western Oregon. J. Wildl. Manage. 55:316-318.

ROSENBERG, D. K. 1991. Characteristics of northern flying squirrel and Townsend's chipmunk populations in second- and old-growth forests. M.S. thesis. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR.

ROTELLA, J. J., AND J. T. RATTI. 1992. Mallard brood survival and wetland habitat conditions in southwestern Manitoba. J. Wildl. Manage. 56:499-507.

RUSCH, D. H., E. C. MESLOW, P. D. DOERR, AND L. B. KEITH. 1972. Response of Great Homed Owl populations to changing prey densities. J. Wildl. Manage. 36:282-296.

RYAN, B. F., B. L. JOINER, AND T. A. RYAN, JR. 1980. Minitab handbook. Second edition. Duxbury Press, Boston, MA.

SANDLAND, R. L., AND P. KIRKWOOD. 1981. Estimation of survival in marked populations with possibly dependent sighting probabilities. Biometrika 68:531-541.

SAS INSTITUTE, 1990a. SAS @ Language: Reference, Vers. 6, 1st ed. SAS Institute, Inc., Carey, NC.

SAS INSTITUTE. 1990b. SAS/STAT ® User's Guide, Ver. 6, 4th ed., Vol. 2. SAS Institute, Inc., Carey, NC.

SAS INSTITUTE. 1993. SAS/STAT ® Software: Changes and Enhancements, Release 6.07. SAS Tech. Rep. P-229. SAS Institute, Inc., Carey, NC.

SEBER, G. A. F. 1965. A note on the multiple recapture census. Biometrika 52:249-259.

SEBER, G. A. F. 1973. Estimation of animal abundance and related parameters. Griffin, London, England.

SEBER, G. A. F. 1982. The estimation of animal abundance and related parameters. Second edition. Macmillan, New York, NY.

SEBER, G. A. F. 1986. A review of estimating animal abundance. Biometrics 42:267-292.

SIMBERLOFF, D. 1987. The Spotted Owl fracas: mixing academic, applied, and political ecology. Ecology 68:766-772.

SINCLAIR, A. R. E. 1989. Population regulation in animals. Pp. 197-241 *in* J. M. Cherrett (ed.), Ecological concepts. Blackwell Scientific Publ., Oxford, England.

SISCO, C. L. 1990. Seasonal home range and habitat ecology of Spotted Owls in northwestern California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

SKALSKI, J. R., A. HOFFMAN, AND G. S. SMITH. 1993. Testing the significance of individual- and cohort-level covariates in animal survival studies. Pp. 9-28 *in* J. D. Lebreton and P. M. North (eds.), Marked individuals in the study of bird populations. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland.

SMITH, D. R., AND D. R. ANDERSON. 1987. Effects of lengthy ringing periods on estimators of annual survival. Acta Omithol. 23:69-76.

SOKAL, R. R., AND F. J. ROHLF. 1981. Biometry. 2nd ed. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, CA.

SOLIS, D. M., JR. 1983. Summer habitat ecology of Spotted Owls in northwestern California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

SOLIS, D. M. AND R. J. GUTIERREZ. 1990. Summer habitat ecology of Northern Spotted Owls in northwestern California. Condor 92:739-748.

SOUTHERN, H. N. 1970. The natural control of a population of Tawny Owls *(Strix aluco)*. J. Zool. London 162: 197-285.

SOVERN, S. G., E. D. FORSMAN, B. L. BISWELL, D. N. ROLPH, AND M. TAYLOR. 1994. Diurnal behavior of the Spotted Owl in Washington. Condor 96:200-202.

STOKES, S. L. 1984. The Jolly-Seber model applied to age-stratified populations. J. Wildl. Manage. 48: 1053-1059. (also see the corrigendum in J. Wildl. Manage. 49:282).

TAYLOR, A. L., AND E. D. FORSMAN. 1976. Recent range expansion of the Barred Owl in western North American, including the first records for Oregon. Condor 78:560-561.

TAYLOR, B. L., AND T. GERRODETTE. 1993. The uses of statistical power in conservation biology: the vaquita and Northern Spotted Owl. Conserv. Biol. 7:489-500.

TAYLOR, I. R., A. DOWELL, AND G. SHAW. 1992. The population ecology and conservation of Barn Owls *(Tyto alba)* in coniferous plantations. Pp. 16-21 *in* A. Galbraith et al. (eds.), The ecology and conservation of European owls. U.K. Nature Conservation No. 5, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, U.K.

TEENSMA, P. D. A., J. T. RIENSTRA, AND M. A. YEITER. 199 1. Preliminary reconstruction and analysis of change in forest stand age classes of the Oregon Coast Range from 1850 to 1940. USDI Bur. Land Manage., Oregon State Office, Tech. Note T/N OR-9.

THOMAS, J. W., E. D. FORSMAN, J. B. LINT, E. C. MESLOW, B. R. NOON, AND J. VERNER. 1990. A conservation strategy for the Northern Spotted Owl. Report of the Interagency Scientific Committee to address the conservation of the Northern Spotted Owl. USDA For. Serv., USDI Bur. Land Manage., Fish and Wildl. Serv., and Natl. Park Serv. U.S. Government Printing Office 79l-l71/ 20026, Washington DC.

THOMAS, J. W., ET AL. 1993a. Viability assessments and management considerations for species associated with late-successional and old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest. The report of the Scientific Analysis Team. USDA For. Serv., Portland, OR.

THOMAS, J. W., M. G. RAPHAEL, R. G. ANTHONY, E. D. FORSMAN, A. G. GUNDERSON, R. S. HOLTHAUSEN, B. G. MARCOT,G. H. REEVES, J. R. SEDELL,AND D. M. SOLIS. 1993b. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment. Report of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team. USDA For. Serv. and USDI Bur. Land Manage., Portland OR.

THOMPSON, F. R., III, AND E. K. FRITZELL. 1989. Habitat use, home range, and survival of territorial male Ruffed Grouse. J. Wildl. Manage. 53:15-21.

TUWAPURKAR, S. D. 1982. Population dynamics in variable environments. 11. Correlated environments, sensitivity analysis, and dynamics. Theoretical Pop. Biol. 21:114-140.

TULJAPURKAR, S. D., AND S. H. ORZACK. 1980. Population dynamics in variable environments, 1. Long-run growth and extinction. Theoretical Pop. Biol. 18:314-342.

USHER, M. B. 1972. Developments in the Leslie matrix model. Pp. 29-60 in R. Jeffers (ed.), Mathematical models in ecology. Blackwell, Oxford, England.

USDA. 1988. Final supplement to the environmental impact statement for an amendment to the Pacific Northwest regional guide, 2 Vols. USDA For. Serv., Portland, OR.

USDA. 1989. Siskiyou National Forest land and resource management plan. USDA For. Serv., Grants Pass, OR.

USDA. 1992. Final environmental impact statement on management for the Northern Spotted Owl in the national forests. USDA For. Serv., Portland, OR.

USDA AND USDI. 1994a. Final supplemental environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl, 2 Vols. USDA For. Serv. and USDI Bur. Land Manage., Portland, OR.

USDA AND USDI. 1994b. Record of decision for amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl. USDA For. Serv. and USDI Bur. Land Manage., Portland, OR.

USDC. 1994. Climatological data annual summary, Oregon 1993. Vol. 99, No. 13. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Dep. Commerce, Asheville, NC.

USDI. 1973. Threatened wildlife of the United States. USDI Fish and Wildl. Serv. Resource Publ. 114 (Rev. Resour. Publ. 34).

USDI. 1990. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants: determination of threatened status for the Northern Spotted Owl. Fed. Register 55:26114-26194.

USDI. 1992a. Draft recovery plan for the northern spotted owl. U.S. Dep. Interior, Washington, DC.

USDI. 1992b. Final draft recovery plan for the Northern Spotted Owl, 2 Vols. U.S. Dep. Interior, Washington, DC.

USDI. 1994. Desert tortoise (Mojave population) recovery plan. USDI Fish and Wildl. Serv., Portland, OR.

USDI BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. 1992a. Roseburg District resource management plan and environmental impact statement. Draft. Vol. 1. Roseburg, OR.

USDI BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. 1992b. Salem District draft resource management plan and environmental impact statement, 2 Vols. USDI But-. Land Manage., Salem, OR.

USDI BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. 1995. Final Medford District resource management plan and environmental impact statement. Vol. 1. USDI Bur. Land Manage., Medford, OR.

VAN BALLENBERGHE, V. 1983. Rate of increase of white-tailed deer on the George Reserve: a re-evaluation. J. Wildl. Manage. 47:1245-l247.

VAN HORNE, B. 1983. Density as a misleading indicator of habitat quality. J. Wildl. Mange. 47:893-901.

VERNER, J., R. J. GUTIIERREZ, AND G. I. GOULD. 1992. The California Spotted Owl: general biology and ecological relations. Pp. 55-78 *in* J. Vemer, K. S. McKelvey, B. R. Noon, R. J. Gutierrez, G. I. Gould, Jr., and T. W. Beck (tech. coords.), The California spotted owl: a technical assessment of its current status. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-133.

VICKERY, P. D., M. L. HUNTER, JR., AND J. V. WELLS. 1992a. Is density an indicator of breeding success? Auk 109:706-710.

VICKERY, P. D.,M. L. HUNTER, JR., AND J.V. WELLS. 1992b. Use of a new relationship index to evaluate relationship between habitat quality and breeding success. Auk 109:697-705.

WARD, J. P., JR. 1990. Spotted Owl reproduction, diet and prey abundance in northwest California. M.S. thesis. Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, CA.

WARD, J. P., A. B. FRANKLIN, AND R. J. GUTIIERREZ. 199 1. Using search time and regression to estimate abundance of territorial Spotted Owls. Ecol. Appl. 1:207-214.

WEDDERBURN, R. W. M. 1974. Quasi-likelihood functions, generalized linear models, and the Gauss-Newton method. Biometrika 61:439-447.

WHITE, G. C. 1983. Numerical estimation of survival rates from band-recovery and biotelemetry data. J. Wildl. Manage. 47:716-728.

WILSON, R. R., M. P. WILSON, AND J. W. DURKIN.

1986. Breeding biology of the Barn Owl *(Tyto alba)* in central Mali. Ibis 128:8l-90.

ZABEL, C. J., K. MCKELVEY,AND J.P.WARD, JR. 1995. Influence of primary prey on home range size and habitat use patterns of Spotted Owls *(Strix occidentalis*. Can. J. Zool. 73:433-439.

ZAR, J. H. 1984. Biostatistical analysis, 2nd edition. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.