United States Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Northeastern Station

General Technical Report NE-85

1983

canusa

Proceedings

Forest Defoliator - Host Interactions: A Comparison between Gypsy Moth and Spruce Budworms





FOREWORD

The Canada/U.S. Spruce Budworms Program in cooperation with the Center for Biological Control of Northeastern Forest Insects and Diseases of the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station co-sponsored this Forest Defoliator-Host Interaction Workshop. This invitational workshop was limited to investigators of the spruce budworms and gypsy moth in the Forest Service, Canadian Forestry Service, and the University sector. The primary purpose of this workshop was to foster communication between researchers having a mutual interest and active research projects designed to understand the relationships between the host plant and forest defoliator feeding behavior, growth, and reproduction.

This Workshop was a follow-up to two previous meetings on host-insect interaction. In 1980, Dr. W. Mattson hosted a CANUSA-sponsored meeting at the North Central Forest Experiment Station, St. Paul, MN. This informal gathering brought together CANUSA Program investigators from the US and Canada for the purpose of sharing preliminary information and data on host-insect interactions. The second meeting took place in the fall of 1982. CANUSA(E) sponsored a Symposium on Spruce Budworm-Host Interaction at the Eastern Branch Meeting of the Entomological Society of America, Hartford, CT. The current Workshop developed from this Symposium. We found that participants were raising question concerning the similarity or differences between the spruce budworm and gypsy moth host interaction systems.

These Proceedings resulted from a three-day Workshop held in April 1983 at the Park Plaza Hotel, New Haven, CT. The structure of the Workshop allowed each participant a period for a presentation followed by lengthy discussion. These discussions were lively, friendly technical exchanges clarifying or elaborating on points raised by the speaker. Frequently, these exchanges were thought-provoking and often provided avenues for further detailed discussions and in some cases, future cooperative efforts.

The papers that make up these Proceedings were submitted at the Workshop as camera-ready copy. As a result, the participants did not have the benefit of reappraising their work in light of the discussions that followed their presentations or other ideas that developed at the Workshop.

Since the Workshop was planned late in the life of the CANUSA Program, we asked each investigator to be especially aware of the implications of these interactions on population dynamics of the insect in relation to forest management potential. When possible, we also asked that future research needs and direction be mentioned.

As technical coordinators for this Proceedings, it was our task to arrange and more effectively focus material so that papers provide a smooth transition of ideas and research activities on insect-host interactions for the spruce budworms and gypsy moth.

Lastly, we would like to acknowledge the support and confidence expressed by the following:

Denver P. Burns, Director, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station

Melvin E. McKnight, Program Leader, CANUSA

William E. Wallner, Director's Representative, Hamden, CT

August 1983 Robert L. Talerico, Broomall, PA

COVER SKETCH

Left, gypsy moth larva; right, spruce budworm larva.

PROCEEDINGS,

forest defoliator--host interactions:

A comparison between gypsy moth and spruce budworms

New Haven, Connecticut, April 5-7, 1983

Technical Coordinators:

Robert L. Talerico
Research Coordinator
CANUSA(E)
Broomall, PA 19008

Michael Montgomery
Research Entomologist
Northeastern Forest Experiment Station
Center for Biological Control of
Northeastern Forest Insects & Diseases
Hamden, CT 06514

Sponsored jointly by the
Canada/United States Spruce Budworms Program
Northeastern Forest Experiment Station

CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN

THE SPRUCE BUDWORM AND SPRUCE-FIR STANDS

John A. Witter, Ann M. Lynch, and Bruce A. Montgomery

Associate Professor, Graduate Research Assistant, and Coordinator of the Spruce Budworm Technology Transfer Program, respectively.
The University of Michigan
School of Natural Resources
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Abstract. The impact of the budworm on trees and stands and conditions that lead to susceptible and vulnerable stands are discussed. Long-term and short-term options dealing with the spruce budworm problem are presented. Examples of questions that plant-animal interaction research have answered are presented in the following scenarios: (1) can the release phase of an outbreak be detected, (2) can spruce budworm impact be predicted, (3) are region-wide rating systems accurate, and (4) what, if any, relationships exist between site classification units and spruce budworm impact.

Introduction

The North American boreal forests have experienced periodic spruce budworm outbreaks for hundreds of years. Although the spruce budworm is an integral component of spruce-fir forests in North America, it normally does not prevent the continuity of spruce-fir forests. Fir and spruce usually regenerate after an outbreak, reaching a merchantable size in 40 to 60 years.

The spruce budworm was not considered a major problem in eastern North America until 40 years ago. Expansion and addition of numerous pulp and paper mills led to greater market demand for spruce and fir. More intensive forest management practices were needed to meet this demand and to reduce the amount of impact of the spruce budworm on spruce-fir stands. These practices had to be based on a thorough understanding of the interactions between budworms and forests under a variety of management scenarios.

As researchers, we understand the value of basic studies involving plant-animal interactions in forest ecosystems. However, we have not done a very good job in justifying this kind of research to the applied forestry community. Hopefully, this paper and others presented at this workshop will help show how both basic and applied studies on plant-animal interactions are vital if we are going to provide the land manager with sound forest pest management programs. This paper is divided into three sections: biological information, management options, and interesting scenarios involving plant-animal interactions.

Biological Information

Insect impact is any effect that insect activity has on a forest resource. Impact can be described as having a positive effect, negative effect, or no effect. Damage implies a harmful or negative effect. Land managers are usually interested in the evaluation of this negative effect.

The interaction between the spruce budworm and the spruce-fir forest involves the effect of the budworm on the forest and the effect of the forest on the budworm. The terms susceptibility and vulnerability have been applied to these interactions. Susceptibility is the probability that a stand will be attacked by the budworm. Vulnerability is the probability of tree mortality in the stand once a budworm attack occurs. In this section, we describe the impact of the budworm on trees and stands and conditions that lead to susceptible and vulnerable stands.

Impact on Trees and Stands

Budworm impact includes growth loss, cone and seed mortality, top-kill, tree and stand mortality, changes in stand composition, and various interactions between the budworm and other organisms in the forest (Table 1). Studies on growth loss in North America have shown a 30 to 90 percent reduction in radial growth in spruce-fir stands heavily defoliated by the spruce budworm for 2 to 6 years (MacLean 1981). A considerable increase in balsam fir cone and seed mortality occurs during an outbreak; few sound seeds are produced during a severe outbreak (Hudak and Raske 1981). Top-kill usually begins during the third year of an outbreak. The total number of dead tops often reaches 50 percent or more. Fir trees in the codominant and dominant crown classes usually die after about 5 years of repeated defoliation of current year's growth. Complete stand mortality can occur after 7 to 10 years of continuous heavy defoliation. Mortality in mature fir stands usually ranges from 70 to 100 percent, while mortality in immature stands varies from 30 to 70 percent (MacLean 1980). Budworm attack can result in changes in stand composition (Ghent et al. 1957, Turner 1952). However, spruce budworm destroyed forests usually regenerate with spruce and fir. Repeated removal of current year's needles by the budworm results in reduced tree vigor and subsequently makes the trees more susceptible to bark beetles and fungi (Basham and Belyea 1960, Belyea 1952). The impact of spruce budworm attack may be transitory or long-lasting (Batzer 1969, Blais 1958).

Conditions Leading to Susceptible and Vulnerable Stands

Any spruce-fir stand or host tree in eastern North America is susceptible to a spruce outbreak. As a general rule, certain factors usually increase the amount of volume loss and tree mortality in a spruce-fir stand during a

Table 1. Succession of events associated with a spruce budworm outbreak, on balsam fir (modified from Montgomery et al. 1982).

Years of severe defoliation[a]	Impact
1	Flowers and cone crops die. Radial growth loss occurs in the upper crown.
2 to 3	Small roots begin to die. Radial growth loss occurs over the entire stem. Height growth ceases. Some treetops die.
4 to 6	Suppressed trees in the understory and mature and overmature trees in the overstory begin to die. Tree growth and wood production nearly ceases.
7 to 15	Budworm populations begin to collapse. More trees die, particularly balsam fir. Some seedlings and saplings die. Dead trees begin to deteriorate as a result of disease, secondary insect attack, and wind breakage. Protective cover in deer yards is diminished.

[a] 75 percent or more of current year's growth.

Table 2. Factors that increase the amount of damage (volume loss and tree mortality) in a spruce-fir stand (from Witter et al. 1983).

General factor	Conditions leading to severe damage
Intensity and duration of outbreak	Stand mortality usually increases with the severity and length of outbreak.
Species composition	Stands with large balsam fir components have greater potential for mortality than stands comprised mostly of spruce and hardwoods.
Stand age	Mature fir stand (60 years or older)
Stand density	High basal area of balsam fir, red spruce, and white spruce
Stand structure	Open stands in which spike tops of host species protrude from forest canopy
Site condition	Poorly drained stands, abnormally dry or wet
Stand size	Extensive stands of mature host trees (except black spruce)
Stand location	Stands located downwind (often east) of the current outbreak
Topography and latitude	Stands growing at elevations lower than 2300 ft (700 m) and south of 50° latitude

budworm outbreak (Table 2). Stand mortality usually increases with the duration and severity of the outbreak. Percent tree mortality is greatest in stands with the highest proportion of balsam fir followed in descending order by white, red, and black spruce. Mortality is usually much higher in stands greater than 60 years old. Open stands in which spike tops of host trees protrude from the forest canopy often suffer more damage. Stands on abnormally dry or wet sites usually sustain more damage.

The factors presented in Table 2 usually hold true, but there is great variation within the boreal forest (Mog et al. 1982, Blais 1968). For more information, Witter et al. (1983) presented a detailed review on the impact of the budworm on trees and stands.

Management Options

From a land manager's perspective, nothing can be done to prevent or control a regional outbreak of the spruce budworm since management actions are directed at individual stands (Simmons et al. 1983). There are three types of options available to the land manager: (1) actions directed at the stand (i.e., silvicultural techniques), (2) actions directed at the budworm (i.e., microbial or chemical insecticides), or (3) no action. None of these management options will result in the control of a regional outbreak.

The land manager can influence the time, place, and quantity of mortality that will occur in his or her forest. Various intensive forest management practices reduce spruce-fir vulnerability by replacing budworm-prone forests with less susceptible forest types. The following recommendations are good long-term goals (Flexner et al. 1983):

(1) Shorten the rotation age of fir to 50 years or less.
(2) Break up the continuity of extensive areas of mature forest.
(3) Maintain a mixed-species composition whenever feasible.

(4) Convert the stand to less susceptible species.
(5) On a regional basis, optimize the spatial diversity of different even-aged stands.

Research on plant-animal interactions will help us to plan better forest management approaches for reducing future budworm impact. However, even the best approach will never prevent budworm outbreaks.

Once an outbreak occurs, short-term options available to help protect or to harvest the trees in the most seriously threatened stands are salvage operations and spraying valuable stands with microbial or chemical insecticides.

Using biological information, the land manager can rank the probability of damage to his or her stands. Salvage operations can be conducted first in the highest risk stands. A land manager may decide to spray an insecticide in the most valuable mature spruce-fir stands that are heavily attacked by the budworm and may die within a few years. If markets are poor, the land manager may choose to abandon the stand.

Interesting Scenarios Involving Plant-Animal Interactions

Current studies are helping land managers and researchers to better understand interactions between the budworm and spruce-fir forests. These studies have and will continue to produce techniques to reduce the amount of budworm-prone forests and to provide more environmentally sound techniques to reduce the impact of the budworm on the forest. Four scenarios are presented to show the examples of some of the questions that research on plant-animal interactions have answered or are trying to answer.

Scenario 1: The Release Phase Of An Outbreak Can Be Detected -- Yes, No, Maybe

In studying the current outbreak in Quebec, Hardy et al. (1983) found that the outbreak started in seven epicenters. All were located in mixed-wood stands that included sugar maple, yellow birch, and white pine. Softwoods, about 50 years of age, occupied less than 30 percent of the stand. Ecological disturbances such as fire and logging occurred in all epicenters. The outbreak was first detected in areas with few balsam fir. Also, the major front of the outbreak was preceded by the establishment of a number of infestation centers distributed in an east-west pattern. If we can locate epicenters, early detection of incipient outbreaks may make modification of management plans possible.

An understanding of where epicenters may occur could be helpful to pest management specialists responsible for monitoring budworm populations. The use of a pheromone sampling system to monitor low population levels of the spruce budworm is now feasible (Allen and Dorais 1983). The pheromone sampling system is currently being pilot-tested in eastern North America. This type of sampling system, when it becomes operational, will allow a land manager to detect an increase in the budworm population as many as five years prior to noticeable defoliation.

Scenario 2: Spruce Budworm Impact Can Be Predicted -- Yes, No, Maybe

Land managers must be able to predict the type and amount of damage from the budworm to effectively manage their stands. A number of rating systems (both short-term and long-term) have been developed to assist the forest manage in determining the vulnerability of the forest to budworm attack (McCarthy et al. 1983, 1982; Blais 1975; Batzer 1973, 1969; Graham 1956; Bea and Batzer 1956; Westveld 1954, 1945; Morris an Bishop 1951; McLintock 1948, 1949; Balch 1946). Budworm impact can be predicted.

Many of the rating systems currently in us in eastern North America concentrate on short-term objectives. These rating systems are used to help managers determine which stands need to be salvaged or sprayed during the next year or two.

An example of a short-term rating system using 35mm aerial photographs is described by Olson et al. 1982. This system is based on the proportion of host species within the stand, average tree condition ranking for the stand, and the existing percent mortality of host species. The land manager uses the stand-rating mortality of host species. The land manager uses the stand-rating value for each stand to plan which stands should be salvaged o protected during the next several years. The system also has been adapted and used with a 70mm camera system.

Long-term rating systems are based on the concept of vulnerability and are used to help the land manager reduce the vulnerability of t forest over time. Lynch, Fowler, and Witter developed a long-term rating system for Michigan's Upper Peninsula to predict the amount o balsam fir basal area per hectare that will di due to the budworm. Factors which influenced budworm impact in the Upper Peninsula were: (1) the length of time the outbreak has been i progress in different parts of the Peninsula, (2) the quantity of balsam fir present in the stand, (3) stand species composition, (4) sit factors, particularly drainage, and (5) past a present land management practices. This rati system will provide the land manager in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan with a useful management tool by estimating potential losse The estimates can be used to plan preventive presalvage, and salvage harvesting programs. The system can be easily implemented by land managers because the necessary data are readi available from routine compartment examinatio and inventory systems.

Scenario 3: Regional-Wide Rating Systems Are Accurate -- Yes, No, Maybe

The rating systems developed so far do not have high predictive accuracy throughout the insect's entire range or have not been tested over the insect's entire range. However, a rating system developed to help the land manager determine the vulnerability of the forest to budworm attack at the management unit level in eastern Canada is compatible for both New Brunswick and Quebec (MacLean 1982, Blais and Archambault 1982). Their vulnerability index provides a rating based on the combined volume of balsam fir and white spruce, combined volume of black and red spruce, the maturity of balsam fir, and climate. This system depends on the availability of forest inventory data and is not fully operational at this time. Long-term rating systems in the Great Lakes Region do not appear to be compatible over the entire Region. For example, Lynch, Witter, and Fowler had to use five models to predict the amount of balsam fir basal area per hectare that will die due to the spruce budworm in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. Their system differs from the system developed by Batzer and Hastings (1981) for Minnesota. The answer to the question on whether region-wide rating systems are accurate appears to vary by region. The land manager must be very careful about using any rating system that has not been validated for his or her area.

Scenario 4: There Is A Relationship Between Site Classificiation Units and Impact From The Spruce Budworm -- Yes, No, Maybe

Stand mortality is not evenly distributed within a state or province. These differences may be partially due to site conditions. A number of site classification systems are currently being developed in North America. A logical approach is to break an area into ecosystem units that are consistently found in the stand. These ecosystem units can be distinguished by differences in physiography, soils, and vegetation (Barnes et al. 1982). Individual characteristics such as topography, drainage, aspect, slope, depth of organic matter, soil pH and tecture, and plant species groups may be incorporated into a site classification scheme. Hix et al. (1983) developed a classification system for spruce-fir stands in the Ottawa National Forest in Michigan's Upper Peninsula based on site and vegetative characteristics. Currently, the possible relationships between site classification units and damage is being analyzed. This type of study helps determine if there are relationships between sites, the budworm, and damage.

Final Discussion

If we are going to manage the forest in a way that is both ecologically sound and financially rewarding, a thorough understanding of the interactions between the budworm, site conditions, and stands is necessary. Progress has been made during the last decade in building a knowledge base as shown by the scenarios in this paper and in the other papers presented at this workshop. The implementation of this knowledge base has already resulted in improved management decisions. We must continue to support long-term studies on plant-animal interactions in order to further improve our knowledge base and decision making abilities.

Literature

Allen, D. C.; Dorais, L.; Kettela, E. G. Survey and detection. In: Schmitt, D.; Searcy, J., eds. Managing the spruce budworm in Eastern North America. USDA Handbk. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; in press; 1983.

Balch, R. E. The spruce budworm and forest management in the Maritime Provinces. Publ. 60. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Department of Agriculture, Division of Entomology Proceedings, 1946. 7 p.

Barnes, B. V.; Pregitzer, K. S.; Spies, T. A.; Spooner, V. H. Ecological forest site classification. J. For. 80: 493-498; 1982.

Basham, J. T.; Belyea, R. M. Death and deterioration of balsam fir weakened by spruce budworm defoliation in Ontario. Part III. The deterioration of dead trees. For. Sci. 6: 78-96; 1960.

Batzer, H. O. Forest character and vulnerability of balsam fir to spruce budworm in Minnesota. For. Sci. 15: 17-25; 1969.

Batzer, H. O. Net effect of spruce budworm defoliation on mortality and growth of balsam fir. J. For. 71: 34-37; 1973.

Batzer, H. O.; Hastings, A. R. Rating spruce-fir stands for spruce budworm vulnerability in Minnesota. In: Hedden, R. L.; Barras, S. J.; Coster, J. E., tech. coords. Hazard-rating systems in forest insect pest management: symposium proceedings; 1980 July 31-August 1; Athens, GA. Gen Tech. Rep. WO-27. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1981: 105-108.

Bean, J. L.; Batzer, H. O. A spruce budworm risk-rating for the spruce-fir types in the Lake States. Sta. Tech. Note 453. St. Paul, MN: Lake States Forest Experimental Station; 1956. 2 p.

Belyea, R. M. Death and deterioration of balsam fir weakened by spruce budworm defoliation in Ontario. Part II. An assessment of the role of associated insect species in the death of severely weakened trees. J. For. 50: 729-738; 1952.

Blais, J. R. Effects of defoliation by spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* Clem.) on radial growth at breast height of balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.) and white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss.). For. Chron. 34: 39-47; 1958.

Blais, J. R. Regional variation in susceptibility of eastern North American forests to budworm attack based on history of outbreaks. For. Chron. 44: 17-23; 1968.

Blais, J. R.; Archambault, L. Rating vulnerability of balsam fir to spruce budworm attack in Quebec. Info. Rep. LAU-X-51. Sainte-Foy, PQ: Canadian Forestry Service, Laurentian Forest Research Centre; 1982. 22 p.

Flexner, J. L.; Bassett, J. R.; Montgomery, B. A.; Simmons, G. A., Witter, J. A. Spruce-fir silviculture and the spruce budworm in the Lake States. Handbk. 83-2. East Lansing, MI: Michigan Cooperative Forest Pest Management Program; 1983. 30 p.

Ghent, A. W.; Fraser, D. A.; Thomas, J. B. Studies on regeneration of forest stands devastated by the spruce budworm. I. Evidence of trends in forest succession during the first decade following budworm devastation. For. Sci. 3: 184-208; 1957.

Graham, S. A. Hazard rating of stands containing balsam fir according to expected injury by spruce budworm. For. Note 13. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan, School of Natural Resources; 1956. 2 p.

Hardy, Y. J.; Lafond, A.; Hamel, L. The epidemiology of the current spruce budworm outbreak in Quebec. For. Sci. (in press); 1983.

Hix, D. M.; Barnes, B. V.; Witter, J. A. Site classification of spruce-fir ecosystems of the Ottawa National Forest. Tech. Rep. East Lansing, MI: Michigan Cooperative Forest Pest Management Program; in press; 1983.

Hudak, J.; Raske, A. G., eds. Review of the spruce budworm outbreak in Newfoundland -- its control and forest management implications. Info. Rep. N-X-205. St. John's, NF; Canadian Forestry Service, Newfoundland Forest Research Centre; 1981. 280 p.

MacLean, D. A. Vulnerability of fir-spruce stands during uncontrolled spruce budworm outbreaks: a review and discussion. For. Chron. 56: 213-221; 1980.

MacLean, D. A. Impact of defoliation by spruce budworm populations on radial and volume growth of balsam fir: a review of present knowledge. Wien, Austria: Mitteilungen Der Forstlichen Bundesversuchsanstalt 142: 293-306; 1981.

MacLean, D. A. Vulnerability rating of forests in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia to budworm attack. Info. Rep. M-X-132. Fredericton, NB: Canadian Forestry Service, Maritimes Forest Research Centre; 1982. 21 p.

McCarthy, J.; Olson, C. E., Jr.; Witter, J. A. Evaluation of spruce-fir forests using small-format photographs. Photogram. Eng. Remote Sensing 48: 771-778; 1982.

McCarthy, J.; Olson, C. E., Jr.; Witter, J. A. Assessing spruce budworm damage with small-format aerial photographs. Can. J. For. Res. 13: (in press); 1983.

McLintock, T. F. Evaluation of tree risk in the spruce-fir region of the Northeast. Stn. Pap. NE-16. Upper Darby, PA: Northeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1948. 7 p.

McLintock, T. F. Mapping vulnerability of spruce-fir stands in the Northeast to spruce budworm attack. Stn. Pap. NE-21. Upper Darby, PA: Northeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1949. 20 p.

Mog, T. P.; Lynch, A. M.; Witter, J. A. Impact of the spruce budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) on the Ottawa and Hiawatha National Forests, 1978-1980. Great Lakes Entomol. 15: 1-24; 1982.

Montgomery, B. A.; Simmons, G. A.; Witter, J. A.; Flexner, J. L. The spruce budworm handbook: a management guide for spruce-fir stands in the Lake States. Handbk. 82-7. East Lansing, MI: Michigan Cooperative Forest Pest Management Program; 1982. 35 p.

Morris, R. F.; Bishop, R. L. A method of rapid forest survey for mapping vulnerability to spruce budworm damage. For. Chron. 27: 171-178; 1951.

Olson, C. E., Jr.; Sacks, P. J.; Witter, J. A.; Bergelin, L. A. Spruce budworm damage assessment with 35mm air photos: a training manual. Rep. 82-1A. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan, School of Natural Resources, Remote Sensing Laboratory; 1982. 41 p.

Prebble, M. L. Aerial Control of Forest Insect in Canada. Ottawa, ON: Thorn Press; 1975.

Simmons, G. A.; Cuff, W.; Montgomery, B. A.; Hardman, J. M. Integrated pest management. In: Schmitt, D.; Searcy, J., eds. Managing the spruce budworm in Eastern North America USDA Handbk. Washington, DC: U.S. Departmen of Agriculture, Forest Service; in press; 1983.

Turner, K. B. The relation of mortality of balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.), caused by the spruce budworm (*Choristoneur fumiferana* Clem.), to forest composition i the Algoma Forest of Ontario. Publ. 875. Ottawa, ON: Canada Department of Agricultur 1952. 107 p.

Westveld, M. A suggested method for rating the vulnerability of spruce-fir stands to budworm attack. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station; 1945. 4 p.

Westveld, M. A budworm vigor-resistance classification for spruce and balsam fir. J. For. 52: 11-24; 1954.

Witter, J. A.; Ostaff, D.; Montgomery, B. A. Damage assessment. In: Schmitt, D.; Searcy, J., eds. Managing the spruce budworm in Eastern North America. USDA Handbk. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; in press; 1983.