

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture  
Forest Service  
Northeastern Area  
State and Private  
Forestry  
December 2002  
NA-TP-02-03

# NEW YORK – NEW JERSEY HIGHLANDS REGIONAL STUDY: 2002 UPDATE





## **STEWARDSHIP GOALS FOR THE NEW YORK – NEW JERSEY HIGHLANDS**

**This 2002 Update of the 1992 New York – New Jersey Highlands Regional Study embodies the following goals for the long-term stewardship of the Highlands:**

- 1. Manage future growth that is compatible with the region's ecological constraints;**
- 2. Maintain an adequate surface and ground water supply that meets the needs of local and downstream users;**
- 3. Conserve contiguous forests using management practices that are consistent with private property rights and regional resources;**
- 4. Provide appropriate recreational opportunities; and**
- 5. Promote economic prosperity that is compatible with goals 1-4.**

# NEW YORK – NEW JERSEY HIGHLANDS REGIONAL STUDY: 2002 UPDATE

Marcus G. Phelps  
Martina C. Hoppe  
Compilers

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest Service

Northeastern Area  
State and Private  
Forestry

Newtown Square, PA

December 2002

NA-TP-02-03



The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Contents .....	I
List of Figures .....	IV
List of Illustrations .....	VI
List of Tables .....	VII
Acknowledgments .....	IX

## CONTENTS

### SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

Background .....	2
Conservation Successes Since 1992 .....	3
Need to Update the 1992 Study .....	3
Study Area .....	4
Study Process .....	6
Pre-Draft Input .....	8
Input on the Draft Report .....	9
About This Report .....	10
Section 1 References .....	11

### SECTION 2. RESOURCE ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION VALUES

Water .....	14
Ground Water—Aquifers and Wells .....	15
Aquifer Types .....	15
Bedrock Aquifers .....	15
Glacial Aquifers .....	17
Aquifer Recharge .....	17
Ground Water Use .....	19
High-Capacity Wells .....	19
Domestic Wells .....	19
Monitoring Ground Water Levels .....	22
Key Findings .....	24
Surface Water—Streams, Rivers, and Reservoirs .....	24
Surface Water Use .....	26
Reservoir Storage and Transfer .....	28
Key Findings .....	30
Water Quality .....	31
Ground Water .....	31
Surface Water .....	32
Biological Indicators .....	34
Key Findings .....	38
Water Budget .....	39
Analysis at a Regional Scale .....	39
Analysis at a Watershed Scale .....	42
Watershed Conditions .....	44
Key Findings .....	47



Forest. . . . . 48  
 Forest Land Ownership and Management. . . . . 48  
 Forest Health . . . . . 49  
 Key Findings . . . . . 50  
 Biodiversity. . . . . 51  
 Fish and Wildlife . . . . . 51  
 Endangered and Threatened Species . . . . . 51  
 Natural Communities. . . . . 54  
 Migratory Flyway . . . . . 58  
 Invasive Species . . . . . 58  
 Key Findings . . . . . 59  
 Farmland. . . . . 60  
 Key Findings . . . . . 62  
 Recreation . . . . . 63  
 Key Findings . . . . . 68  
 Conservation Values Assessment . . . . . 70  
 Key Findings . . . . . 78  
 Section 2 References. . . . . 80

**SECTION 3. POTENTIAL CHANGES AND RESOURCES AT RISK**

Population Growth . . . . . 84  
 Key Findings . . . . . 89  
 Future Change Scenarios—Build-Out Analysis and Econometric Modeling. . 92  
 Build-Out Analysis . . . . . 93  
 Limitations of Build-Out Analysis . . . . . 93  
 Criteria for the Low-Constraint Scenario. . . . . 96  
 Criteria for the High-Constraint Scenario . . . . . 97  
 Results of the Build-Out Analysis . . . . . 98  
 Econometric Analysis . . . . . 104  
 Limitations of Econometric Analysis. . . . . 105  
 Results of the Econometric Analysis . . . . . 106  
 Key Findings . . . . . 106  
 Possible Consequences of Future Change to Resources. . . . . 108  
 Changes in Land Use and Land Cover . . . . . 108  
 Key Findings . . . . . 111  
 Landscape Indicators of Forest and Watershed Integrity. . . . . 112  
 Key Findings . . . . . 119  
 Changes in Water Resources . . . . . 121  
 Water Budget . . . . . 121  
 Available Water. . . . . 122  
 Key Findings . . . . . 128  
 Resources at Risk . . . . . 129  
 Key Findings . . . . . 135  
 Section 3 References. . . . . 136



## SECTION 4. RESOURCE SUMMARY AND CONSERVATION STRATEGIES

Resource Condition . . . . .	138
Land Stewardship in the Highlands . . . . .	140
Land Management Challenges . . . . .	141
Land Stewardship Opportunities . . . . .	142
Land Management Framework . . . . .	143
Existing Partner Roles . . . . .	143
Potential Partner Roles . . . . .	145
USDA Forest Service . . . . .	145
Other Federal Partners . . . . .	146
State Partners . . . . .	146
Local Government Partners . . . . .	146
Organizations and Citizens . . . . .	147
Conservation Goals and Strategies . . . . .	148
Stewardship Goals . . . . .	148
Partnership Model . . . . .	148
Conservation Strategies . . . . .	149
Section 4 References . . . . .	154

## SECTION 5. A FRAGILE FUTURE

A Fragile Future . . . . .	156
----------------------------	-----

## APPENDIXES

Appendix A. Legislative Language for the New York – New Jersey Highlands Regional Study and Update . . . . .	160
Appendix B. Municipalities and Counties in the Highlands Study Area . . .	163
Appendix C. Ecosystem-Based Management and Ecological Classification . . . . .	167
Appendix D. Work Plan and Budget for the Study Update . . . . .	172
Appendix E. Study Team Members . . . . .	173
Appendix F. Work Group Members . . . . .	174
Appendix G. Public Comments on the Draft Report . . . . .	178
Appendix H. Topics in the New York – New Jersey Highlands Technical Report . . . . .	183
Appendix I. Resource Assistance Programs . . . . .	186
Appendix J. History of Conservation Successes in the Highlands . . . . .	195
Appendix K. Land Conservation Projects . . . . .	200



## LIST OF FIGURES

### SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

#### Study Area

Figure 1-1. Highlands study area . . . . .	5
Figure 1-2. Areas ecologically similar to the study area . . . . .	7

### SECTION 2. RESOURCE ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION VALUES

#### Water

Figure 2-1. Aquifer types . . . . .	16
Figure 2-2. Withdrawals from high-capacity wells . . . . .	20
Figure 2-3. Withdrawals from domestic wells by township . . . . .	21
Figure 2-4. Trends in ground water levels . . . . .	23
Figure 2-5. Major reservoirs . . . . .	25
Figure 2-6. Surface water withdrawals . . . . .	27
Figure 2-7. Condition of macroinvertebrate communities in streams . . . . .	35
Figure 2-8. Land use and biological status of streams . . . . .	37
Figure 2-9. Highlands regional water budget . . . . .	41
Figure 2-10. Relationship of streamflow and precipitation . . . . .	43
Figure 2-11. Variations in baseflow by subwatersheds . . . . .	45

#### Biodiversity

Figure 2-12. Threatened and endangered wildlife habitat . . . . .	52
Figure 2-13. Contiguous forest tracts . . . . .	53
Figure 2-14. Threatened and endangered plant habitat . . . . .	56
Figure 2-15. Important natural communities . . . . .	57

#### Farmland


Figure 2-16. Agricultural resources . . . . .	61
---	----

#### Recreation

Figure 2-17. Ownership of open space . . . . .	64
Figure 2-18. Trails, and cultural and historic sites . . . . .	65
Figure 2-19. Water recreation resources . . . . .	66

#### Conservation Values Assessment

Figure 2-20. Water resource values . . . . .	72
Figure 2-21. Forest resource values . . . . .	73
Figure 2-22. Biodiversity resource values . . . . .	74
Figure 2-23. Farmland resource values . . . . .	75
Figure 2-24. Recreation resource values . . . . .	76
Figure 2-25. Composite conservation values . . . . .	77



### SECTION 3. POTENTIAL CHANGES AND RESOURCES AT RISK

#### Population Growth

Figure 3-1. Population density in municipalities . . . . . 86

Figure 3-2. Change in municipality populations . . . . . 87

#### Future Change Scenarios—Build-out Analysis and Econometric Analysis

Figure 3-3. Land available for development, low-constraint scenario . . . . . 94

Figure 3-4. Land available for development, high-constraint scenario . . . . . 95

Figure 3-5. Population levels, low-constraint scenario . . . . . 100

Figure 3-6. Population levels, high-constraint scenario . . . . . 101

Figure 3-7. Population increase, low-constraint scenario . . . . . 102

Figure 3-8. Population increase, high-constraint scenario . . . . . 103

Figure 3-9. Likelihood of change . . . . . 107

#### Possible Consequences of Future Change to Resources

Figure 3-10. Urban development in the Highlands . . . . . 110

Figure 3-11. Change in land cover . . . . . 115

Figure 3-12. Change in impervious surface cover . . . . . 116

Figure 3-13. Change in riparian zones . . . . . 117

Figure 3-14. Change in interior forest . . . . . 118

Figure 3-15. Effect of impervious surfaces on streamflow . . . . . 124

Figure 3-16. Predicted changes in streamflow . . . . . 125

Figure 3-17. Sustainable water yield, 1995 . . . . . 126

Figure 3-18. Sustainable water yield, low-constraint scenario . . . . . 127

#### Resources at Risk

Figure 3-19. Conservation focal areas . . . . . 131

Figure 3-20. Conservation priorities . . . . . 134

### APPENDIXES

#### Appendix C. Ecosystem-Based Management and Ecological Classification

Figure C-1. Land Type Associations (LTAs) in the Highlands . . . . . 169

#### Appendix K. Land Conservation Projects


Figure K-1. Land conservation projects . . . . . 201

Figure K-2. Water resources in Philipstown . . . . . 203

Figure K-3. Morris Land Conservancy's greenways and blueways . . . . . 205

Figure K-4. Study area for the Spruce Run Initiative . . . . . 207

Figure K-5. Land preservation and water supply project area . . . . . 209




**LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS**

**SECTION 2. RESOURCE ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION VALUES**

**Water**

Illustration 2-1. Recharge and flow in bedrock aquifers ..... 18  
Illustration 2-2. Recharge and flow in glacial aquifers ..... 18  
Illustration 2-3. Hydrologic cycle ..... 40



## LIST OF TABLES

### SECTION 2. RESOURCE ASSESSMENT AND CONSERVATION VALUES

#### Water

Table 2-1. Use of Highlands surface water, 1995 . . . . .	26
Table 2-2. Highlands reservoir systems, storage capacity, yield, and 1995 withdrawals . . . . .	28

#### Biodiversity

Table 2-3. Habitat area for imperiled wildlife species in the Highlands, by conservation status . . . . .	55
Table 2-4. Habitat area for imperiled plant species in the Highlands, by conservation status . . . . .	55
Table 2-5. Important natural community areas in the Highlands, by ranked biodiversity status . . . . .	55

#### Conservation Values Assessment

Table 2-6. Conservation values and area of resources in the Highlands . . . . .	71
---	----

### SECTION 3. POTENTIAL CHANGES AND RESOURCES AT RISK

#### Population Growth

Table 3-1. Population change in the Highlands, 1990-2000 . . . . .	84
Table 3-2. Demographic trends in the Highlands, 1990-2000 . . . . .	90
Table 3-3. Housing trends in the Highlands, 1990-2000 . . . . .	91

#### Future Change Scenarios—Build-Out Analysis and Econometric Analysis

Table 3-4. Highlands population in 2000 and estimates from the build-out analysis . . . . .	98
--	----

#### Possible Consequences of Future Change to Resources

Table 3-5. Land cover (acres) in the Highlands, 1972 to 2000 . . . . .	109
Table 3-6. Rates of land cover change in the Highlands, 1984-2000 . . . . .	109


#### Resources at Risk

Table 3-7. Protected and unprotected land in the Highlands, by resource and conservation value . . . . .	133
---	-----

### SECTION 4. RESOURCE SUMMARY AND CONSERVATION STRATEGIES

#### Conservation Goals and Strategies

Table 4-1. Conservation strategies for the Highlands . . . . .	152-153
--	---------



**APPENDIXES**

**Appendix C. Ecosystem-Based Management and Ecological Classification**

Table C-1. Land Type Associations (LTAs) in the New York –  
 New Jersey Hudson Highlands Subsection (221Ae) . . . . . 170

Table C-2. Land Type Associations (LTAs) in the Reading Prong  
 Subsection (221Am) . . . . . 171



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Highlands study update and the writing of this report was a group effort. The compilers thank the dozens of individuals who contributed to the project. If we have inadvertently forgotten to name anyone here, please accept our sincerest apologies and know that we greatly appreciate your help.

Special thanks go to members of the study team, who guided the study process, provided the technical services and skills needed to conduct the study and prepare the report, and tirelessly wrote and rewrote sections of the report:

- Otto Zapecza, Don Rice, and Vince dePaul of U.S. Department of the Interior's Geological Survey, for their contributions to the water resources assessment and analysis sections;
- Rick Lathrop, Colleen Hatfield, and David Tulloch of Rutgers University's Grant F. Walton Center for Remote Sensing and Spatial Analysis, for their contributions to the terrestrial resources assessment and analysis sections;
- Mark Buccowich of the USDA Forest Service, for his review of the draft report;
- Connie Carpenter of the USDA Forest Service, for compiling information on ecological mapping;
- Wayne Zipperer of the USDA Forest Service, for assistance with the resource summary and report conclusions;
- Rob Pirani of the Regional Plan Association, for his contribution to the conservation strategies and land conservation project summaries;
- Stephanie Diamond of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, for her assistance creating maps; and
- Wayne Martin of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection for his assistance with the forest and farmland resource sections.

We thank the many work group members who ensured a regional perspective, helped guide the study process, and commented on draft material. Work group members numbered over 100 and are named in Appendix F.

Special acknowledgment is given to the New Jersey and New York Districts of the U.S. Geological Survey and to the following U.S. Geological Survey scientists who provided water resources data and technical assistance:

- Leon Kauffman, for watershed model and water budget data;
- John Nawyn, for New Jersey water use;
- Deborah Lumia, for New York water use;
- Andrew Cohen, for New York geographic information systems data;
- Margaret Phillips, for New York water quality data;
- William Ellis, for technical illustrations;



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Gary Firda, for New York surface water data;  
Richard Lumia, for New York surface water data;  
John Williams, for New York geohydrology information; and  
Robert Rogers, for New York hydrologic investigations and research.

Special thanks also go to Stephanie Diamond and Ted Kerpez for serving as our primary liaisons to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and to Wayne Martin for serving a similar role with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

We thank the following individuals and groups who provided access to resource data and shared critical information with us:

Nick Conrad of the New York Natural Heritage Program;  
Tom Breden of the New Jersey Natural Heritage Program;  
Larry Niles, Mandy Dey, and Jeff Tash of the New Jersey Endangered and Nongame Species Program;  
Gerald Rasmussen and Wayne Richter of the Habitat Inventory Unit, New York Department of Environmental Conservation;  
Jason Patrick of Environmental Defense;  
David Lukens of the Hudson Highlands Lands Trust;  
Tom Gilbert and Sean Sullivan of the Appalachian Mountain Club;  
Joseph Simoes and Doug Schuetz of Rockland County Planning Department;  
Adam Mednick and Ingrid Vandegaer of the New Jersey Conservation Foundation;  
Tim Tear of The Nature Conservancy;  
Barbara Walsh of the New Jersey Office of State Planning;  
Ella Fillipone, Marc Korpus, and Andrew Baumgartner of the Passaic River Coalition;  
Terry Spies of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection;  
Edward Goodell of the New York-New Jersey Trail Conference;  
Sally Dudley of the Association of New Jersey Environmental Commissions;  
Seth McKee of Scenic Hudson;  
Bob Stokes, John Thomas, and Gail Kenney of New Jersey Green Acres;  
Sean McGinnis of the North Jersey Resource, Conservation, and Development Council;  
Fred Suljic of the Sussex County Department of Planning;  
Sarah Love of the Dutchess County Environmental Management Council;  
Fred Douthitt of the New Jersey Department of Agriculture;  
Jay Beaumont and Daniel Munoz of the Orange County Water Authority;  
Lloyd Casey, Brett Butler, Margaret Miller-Weeks, and Tom Luther of the USDA Forest Service; and  
Paul Elconin of the Open Space Institute.



We thank the following individuals at the Center for Remote Sensing and Spatial Analysis at Rutgers University who contributed to this project: Peter Parks, John Bognar, Jim Trimble, Kathy Peirano, Scott Haag, Nan Shao, Jim Myers, Joe Geib, and Jennifer Daniels. Special thanks go to Caroline Phillipuk for her patience during numerous rounds of map edits.

Thanks go to Spider Barbour of Hudsonia; Ed Stein of the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; and Ed Hoxsie of the Dutchess County Soil and Water Conservation District for assistance with ecological classification and mapping.

We also appreciate the contributions of our coworkers at the USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry—John Hazel for successfully planning and facilitating two public listening sessions, Roberta Burzynski for her thoroughness and patience in editing this report, and Wendy Harding for her flexibility and creativity in designing and producing the layout.

All photographs are by George M. Aronson and are used by permission.

The New York – New Jersey Highlands Regional Study: 2002 Update was accomplished through the cooperation of Federal, State and university natural resource specialists. Logos of the agencies and organizations involved in the study update are displayed below.



US Department  
of Agriculture  
Forest Service



Regional Plan  
Association



Grant F. Walton  
Center for Remote Sensing  
and Spatial Analysis



US Geological  
Survey



New York State  
Department of  
Environmental Conservation



New Jersey  
Division of  
Parks and Forestry

## Photo Captions

Cover: View north from Bearfort fire tower on Bearfort Mountain in Newark's Pequannock Watershed, West Milford Township, Passaic County, New Jersey Highlands (winter; summer)

Insets, p. I, etc.: Lake Valhalla Hemlock Glen, Pyramid Mountain Natural & Historic Area, Morris County, New Jersey Highlands

p. 1 (Sect. I and insets that follow) Little Dam Lake along the Appalachian Trail, Sterling Forest State Park, New York

p. 13 (Sect. II ) Sterling Lake from Sterling fire tower, Sterling Forest State Park, New York

Insets, pp. 14-47: Wanaque Reservoir, Passaic County, New Jersey Highlands

Insets, pp. 48-50: Forest interior near Spruce Swamp, Sterling Forest State Park, New York

Insets, pp. 51-59: Great blue heron, one of over 100 species of nesting birds in the New York--New Jersey Highlands

Insets, pp. 60-62: Wick farm, Morristown National Historic Park, Morris County, New Jersey Highlands

Insets, pp. 63-69: Hikers on Appalachian Trail near Little Dam Lake, Sterling Forest State Park, New York

Insets, pp. 70-79: View to Vernon Valley from the Appalachian Trail on Wawayanda Mountain, Sussex County, New Jersey Highlands

Insets, pp. 80-82: same as p. 13

p. 83 (Sect. III and insets that follow): New York skyline at sunset from Ramapo Mountain State Forest, border Bergen and Passaic counties, the New Jersey Highlands

p. 137 (Sect. IV and insets that follow): Four Corners Pond in autumn, Sterling Forest State Park, New York

p. 155 (Sect. V and insets that follow): Sunset over the Delaware Water Gap, overlooking the Kitattiny Valley, from Jenny Jump State Forest in the New Jersey Highlands

p. 159 (Appendices and insets that follow--to end): Eagle Lake, Sterling Forest State Park, New York